QR Code goes here



	Map Label	Geologic units	Surface drainage	Seasonal frost susceptibility	Permafrost and thaw stability	Slope stability	Suitability for construction	Potential engineering considerations
A1	A1	Qa Qaa	Well drained near steep stream banks and where water table is deep; seasonally flooded	Subject to deep dry freezing where coarse grained and water table is deep; subject to intense frost heaving where silty	Unfrozen to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content where silty; can be thaw unstable where perennially frozen	Highly susceptible to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels	Excellent source of clean sandy gravel aggregate and clean fill material, can be poorly graded; well-drained sand and gravel provide excellent foundation	Subject to inundation every 1–5 yr during high stream stages and by aufeis in braided reaches; shallow water table limits depth of excavation; thawed fine sand and silt subject to liquefaction; responses to seismic shaking can vary considerably, especially near frozen zones
A2	A2	Qai	Generally poor due to shallow water table and shallow permafrost; moderate to good on natural levees and crevasse splays	Generally subject to intense heaving in fine-grained cover deposits and channel fills; otherwise, generally not susceptible unless silty	Unfrozen in younger areas to discontinuous in older areas generally with low to moderate ice content; high ice content in frozen organic sand and silt channel fills; thaw unstable where frozen and ice rich	Highly susceptible to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels; subject to differential settlement when thawed	Where thawed, excellent source of sandy gravel aggregate beneath silty surface layer; presence of permafrost and shallow water can limit potential as source of sandy gravel aggregate and suitability for foundation	Subject to inundation at least once or twice every 100 yr; shallow water table limits depth of excavation; where thawed, fine sand and silt subject to liquefaction; responses to seismic shaking can vary considerably
A3	A3	Qab	Generally poor due to widespread shallow permafrost	Subject to intense heaving in fine-grained cover deposits and silty channel fills; not susceptible where coarse grained	Generally frozen with low to moderate ice content; high ice content in frozen surface peats and organic sand and silt channel fills; thaw unstable where frozen and ice rich	Susceptible to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels; subject to differential settlement when thawed	Widespread permafrost and shallow water table limit potential as source of sandy gravel aggregate and suitability for foundation	Subject to inundation every 500 to 1,000 yr; shallow water table and presence of permafrost limit depth of excavation; subject to liquefaction where thawed; responses to seismic shaking can vary considerably; sensitive to surface disturbance
A4	A4	Qat Qft Qfte	Good near descending scarps; fair to poor away from scarps; subject to local flooding	Intense in fine-grained cover sediments and silty channel fills; not susceptible where coarse grained	Continuously to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content; high ice content in frozen surface peat; thaw unstable where frozen and ice rich	Susceptible to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels; frozen zones subject to differential settlement when thawed	Excellent source of sand and gravel beneath fine-grained cover sediments, although shallow permafrost can limit depth of excavation; bedrock shallow in strath terraces; excellent foundation where thawed	Bedrock shallow in strath terraces; locally subject to seasonal slope and stream flooding; where saturated, fine-grained cover sediments subject to liquefaction; seismic shaking can vary considerably, especially near frozen zones; locally sensitive to surface disturbance
A5	A5	Qaf	Generally good, except in frozen distal zones	Intense in fine-grained cover deposits and silty zones, otherwise not frost susceptible	Unfrozen to discontinuously frozen, except in fine-grained distal zones, where permafrost is continuous; ice content low to moderate; thaw unstable where fine grained	Subject to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels	Generally unsuitable as aggregate source in proximal and distal areas due to numerous boulders, high silt content, and permafrost; moderate suitability for foundations	Proximal zones subject to torrential flooding, snow avalanches, debris flows, and mudflows; subject to sudden shifts in channels and sites of deposition and erosion
A6	A6	Qer Qfs	Generally poor; can be seasonally flooded	Intense	Permafrost is discontinuous to continuous with moderate to high ice content; thaw unstable	Highly susceptible to gullying and piping when vegetation is removed; subject to differential settlement when thawed	Source of organic material for landscaping; suitable for foundations only when permafrost is preserved	Thawing produces mudflows and hyperconcentrated flows; subject to seasonal stream and slope icings; sensitive to surface disturbance
F	F	Qfb Qfbe Qfbo Qfby	Generally excellent to good, except moderate to poor in areas of ground water emergence or where shallowly frozen	Intense in fine-grained cover sediments; otherwise, not susceptible	Unfrozen to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content; generally thaw stable, except unstable where silty	Subject to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels	Good source of sand and gravel; large flood boulders locally abundant; excellent foundation material	Bedrock shallow in strath terraces; areas of emergence can be subject to seasonal surface icings and saturated soil conditions
C	C	Qc Qca Qcd Qcf Qcft Qcg Qcl Qcr Qct	Generally good	Fine-grained cover sediments susceptible	Unfrozen to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content; generally thaw stable, except where silty	Generally stable unless toe or margin of slope is removed; locally subject to sloughing and sliding; subject to snow avalanching and rock falls	Generally unsuitable as aggregate source because numerous large, angular fragments require special handling; where frozen, can require ripping or blasting; poor foundation where blocks are loose and unstable to good foundation where coarse and fine fractions are mixed and stable	Could become unstable if margins or toe removed
E1	E1	Qe Qel	Generally good, except poorly drained where frozen	Intense where moist to wet; low where dry	Generally unfrozen, except discontinuously to continuously frozen with moderate to high ice content on lower south-facing and on north-facing slopes; thaw unstable where ice content is moderate to high	Highly susceptible to gullying and piping; subject to differential settlement upon thawing where frozen and ice rich	Source of fines for landscaping and mixing; makes good foundation where thawed and dry; muddy when wet; dusty when dry	Vertical cuts can be stable if drainage is provided; ice-rich areas sensitive to surface disturbance
E2	E2	Qes	Generally good, except poorly drained where covered with frozen silt	Generally unsusceptible, except in silty cover deposits	Generally unfrozen to frozen, except silty cover sediments are discontinuously to continuously frozen and locally ice rich	Highly susceptible to gullying and deflation	Difficult to compact for foundations	Subject to deflation where unprotected
G1	G1	QTgdp Qgdo Qgdy Qgdh	Generally good on upland surfaces and poor in depressions	Generally low susceptibility where well drained, moderate to intense where matrix is silty and in silty slopewash deposits in depressions	Unfrozen to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content, depending on silt content of matrix; generally thaw stable, except can be thaw unstable in silty tills and silty kettle fillings	Generally stable where frozen or dry; subject to instability where fine-grained tills are thawed and ice content is moderate to high	Highly variable but can be good local source of mixed coarse and fine fractions for fill; local sources of water-washed sand and gravel; good foundations where thawed and dry	Subject to gullying where surface runoff is concentrated
G2	G2	Qgey Qgeo	Generally good	Generally low susceptibility where drained	Unfrozen to discontinuously frozen with low ice content	Generally stable, except subject to raveling where steep gravel slopes are undercut	Highly variable but can be good source of water-washed sand and gravel; good foundation where thawed and dry	Locally rich in oversize material
G3	G3	Qgfo Qgfy Qgfh Qgfyy	Good	Generally unsusceptible; can be intense in silty cover deposits	Unfrozen to discontinuously frozen with low ice content	Subject to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels, steep cut faces subject to raveling	Excellent source of sand and gravel; excellent foundations	Easily compacted, although locally contains numerous large boulders
L1	L1	Qlb Qld	Very poor; subject to seasonal flooding	Intense	Discontinuous to continuous permafrost with moderate to high ice content; thaw unstable	Near active channels subject to lateral thermo-erosion and collapse	Generally unsuitable; muddy during wet weather	Subject to seasonal flooding during high stream stages
L2	L2	Qlr	Generally good, but variable	Intense if wet or moist	Unfrozen to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content; thaw unstable where frozen and ice rich	Subject to differential settlement where frozen and ice rich	Possible low-volume source of sandy gravel and organic material for landscaping; generally unsuitable for foundations	Subject to ice shoving in winter near lake shores
H	H	Qhf	Generally good	Only where fine grained; otherwise not susceptible	Sporadically to discontinuously frozen with low to moderate ice content; generally thaw stable, except where silty	Subject to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels	Suitable foundation material for runways, taxiways, ramps, roads, buildings, embankments, and artificial levees	Subject to inundation every 500 to 1,000 yr; shallow water table; subject to liquefaction where thawed; can become locally unstable if margins undercut
P	P	Qp	Generally very poor; subject to seasonal flooding	Intense	Discontinuous to continuous permafrost with moderate to very high ice content; thaw unstable	Subject to lateral erosion and collapse near active channels; subject to subsidence when thawed	Source of organic material for landscaping; unsuitable for foundations unless permafrost is preserved	Difficult to excavate and compact; subject to seasonal slope and stream icings
B	B	b b' b+b' (Qc) (Qcf)	Generally poor except where highly broken	Low, except where rock is highly weathered or fractured	Generally thaw stable, except where ice forms in extensive fracture spaces	Generally stable, except where orientation of joints, fractures, or foliation facilitate failure	Can be good source for crushed aggregate and rip rap, where rock is hard, fresh, and not highly fractured	Quality of rock varies depending on lithology, degree of weathering, and fracturing; local zones of weathering or shearing can be clay rich

INTRODUCTION

This map is derived electronically from the surficial-geologic map of the Alaska Highway corridor (this report) using Geographic Information System (GIS) software. Surficial-geologic units were initially identified by interpretation of ~1:65,000-scale false-color infrared aerial photographs taken in July 1978 and August 1981 and locally verified by field checking in 2008, 2009, and 2010. The map shows the distribution of surficial-geologic and bedrock units grouped genetically with common properties that are typically significant for engineering applications:

A — Alluvial deposits
C — Colluvial deposits
E — Eolian deposits
F — Flood deposits
G — Glacial deposits
H — Manmade deposits
L — Lake deposits
P — Paludal peat deposits
B — Bedrock and residual

The accompanying table lists generalized properties of these groups, including surface drainage, effects of seasonal freezing, the presence of perennially frozen ground, and the consequences of thawing, stability of slopes, suitabilities and limitations of material for construction purposes, and potential constraints. Physical properties of map units are interpretive, based on extrapolation from verified localities and from previously published reports and data. Potential geologic hazards are inferred from the typical physical properties of map units, including sediment texture and ground-ice content, and their typical topographic settings. Except for a few test pits, no subsurface investigations or significant laboratory analyses were performed for this publication. The reader is cautioned that this map is intended only as a general guide, and that unevaluated geologic resources and hazards may be present. Detailed geotechnical investigations should be conducted prior to utilization of any map units for engineering purposes.

REFERENCES CITED

Chapin, F.S., III, Viereck, L.A., Adams, P.C., Van Cleve, Keith, Fastie, C.L., Ott, R.A., Mann, Daniel, and Johnston, J.F., 2006, Successional processes in the Alaska boreal forest, *in* Chapin, F.S., III, Oswood, M.W., Van Cleve, Keith, Viereck, L.A., and Verbyla, D.L., eds., Alaska's changing boreal forest: Oxford, England, Oxford University Press, p. 100–120.

Mann, D.H., Fastie, C.L., Rowland, E.L., and Bigelow, N.H., 1995, Spruce succession, disturbance, and geomorphology on the Tanana River floodplain, Alaska: Ecoscience, v. 2, no. 2, p. 184–199.

Mason, O.K., and Begét, J.E., 1991, Late Holocene flood history of the Tanana River, Alaska, U.S.A.: Arctic and Alpine Research, v. 23, no. 4, p. 392–403.

Yarie, John, Viereck, Leslie, Van Cleve, Keith, and Adams, Phyllis, 1998, Flooding and ecosystem dynamics along the Tanana River: BioScience, v. 48, no. 9, p. 690–695.

MAP SYMBOLS

Photointerpretive Boundary—All boundaries are inferred or approximately located

Water

Geologic field investigations by:
R.D. Reger[1] (2006-2012), D.S.P. Stevens[2] (2006-2008), D.N. Solie[3] (2006-2008), T.D. Hubbard[2] (2008-2012)
Geologic interpretation by:
R.D. Reger[1] (2006-2014), T.D. Hubbard[2] (2008-2014)
Airphoto interpretation by:
R.D. Reger[1] (2006-2014), T.D. Hubbard[2] (2008-2014)
Lidar interpretation by:
R.D. Reger[1], T.D. Hubbard[2] (2010-2014)
Geologic GIS data layers created by:
D.S.P. Stevens[2] (2007, 2008), G. Davidson[2] (2009), T.D. Hubbard[2] (2009-2014), R.E. Westbrook[2] (2010, 2011), P.E. Gallagher[2] (2010-2012)
Cartography by:
P.E. Gallagher[2] (2015-2016), T.J. Naibert[2] (2016)
Editorial review by:
P.K. Davis[2] (2014, 2015)
Peer review by:
D.N. Solie[3] (2016)
R.A. Combellick[4] (2016)

Topographic base map from:
U.S. Geological Survey topographic maps
Tanacross B-6 (1949)
Tanacross C-6 (1955 - minor revisions 1982)
Projection:
Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 7 North
Datum:
North American Datum of 1927

State of Alaska
Department of Natural Resources
DIVISION OF GEOLOGICAL & GEOPHYSICAL

The State of Alaska makes no express or implied warranties (including warranties for merchantability and fitness) with respect to the character, functions, or capabilities of the electronic data or products or their appropriateness for any user's purposes. In no event will the State of Alaska be liable for any incidental, indirect, special, consequential, or other damages suffered by the user or any other person or entity whether from the use of the electronic services or products, or any failure thereof or otherwise. In no event will the State of Alaska's liability to the Requestor or anyone else exceed the fee paid for the electronic

Publications produced by the Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys (DGGS) are available for free download from the DGGS website (www.dggs.alaska.gov). Publications on hard-copy or digital media can be examined or purchased in the Fairbanks office:

ALASKA DIVISION OF GEOLOGICAL & GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS
3354 College Road ♦ Fairbanks, Alaska 99709-3707
Phone 907-451-5010 ♦ Fax 907-451-5050
email: dggspubs@alaska.gov
website: www.dggs.alaska.gov

ENGINEERING-GEOLOGIC MAP, ALASKA HIGHWAY CORRIDOR, DELTA JUNCTION TO THE CANADA BORDER, ALASKA

by

R.D. Reger[1] and T.D. Hubbard[2]

2016



Location of Map Area

SCALE 1:63360

1 1/2 0 1 2 3 4 5 MILES
3000 0 3000 6000 9000 12000 15000 18000 21000 24000 27000 FEET
1 .5 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 KILOMETERS

TANACROSS B-6 AND C-6 -- CONTOUR INTERVAL 100 FEET
TANACROSS B-6 AND C-6 -- SUPPLEMENTAL CONTOUR INTERVAL 50 FEET
TANACROSS B-6 -- DATUM IS MEAN SEA LEVEL
TANACROSS C-6 -- NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929

Affiliation:

[1] Reger's Geologic Consulting, P.O. Box 3326, Soldotna, Alaska 99669
[2] Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys, 3354 College Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99709-3707
[3] Baseline Geoconsulting, LLC, P.O. Box 82293, Fairbanks, Alaska 99708-2293
[4] Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys, 3354 College Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99709-3707; Retired