## STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF GEOLOGICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS

July 1975

This report is preliminary and has not been edited or reviewed for conformity with Alaska Geological and Geophysical Surveys standards.

Alaska Open File Report 81

MINERAL OCCURRENCES IN THE UPPER WOOD RIVER, EDGAR CREEK, AND WEST FORK GLACIER AREAS, CENTRAL ALASKA RANGE

by K.W. Sherwood, C. Craddock, T.E. Smith, T.C. Trible, and T.K. Bundtzen

# CONTENTS

•	Page
Introduction	2 5 7 7
FIGURES	
Figure 1. Location map showing areas described in the report ~	3
Figure 2. Generalized geologic map of the upper Wood River area,  Alaska	4
Figure 3. Generalized geologic map, Edgar Creek area, Central Alaska Range	6
Figure 4. Regional aeromagnetic survey, northeast part, Healy 1:250,000 Quadrangle	8
Figure 5. Location map, geochemical samples, upper Wood River	
area, Alaska	9
Alaska	10
area, Alaska	12
TABLES	
Table 1. Atomic absorbtion spectrophotometric analyses of grab samples from the upper Wood River, Edgar Creek, and West Fork Glacier areas, Alaska	. 13
Table 2. Semi-quantitative emission spectrographic analyses of samples from the upper Wood River, Edgar Creek, and West Fork Glacier area. Alaska	

# MINERAL OCCURRENCES IN THE UPPER WOOD RIVER, EDGAR CREEK, AND WEST FORK GLACIER AREAS, CENTRAL ALASKA RANGE

#### Introduction

Reconnaissance geological mapping and geochemical sampling during the summer of 1973 disclosed the presence of small mineralized areas near the headwaters of the Wood River, Edgar Creek, and at the head of the West Fork Glacier, central Alaska Range. This report provides locations and a general description of these mineral occurrences as well as elemental analyses of selected rock samples.

## **Acknowledgments**

Geologic mapping in the upper Wood River and Edgar Creek areas was carried out by Kirk W. Sherwood of the University of Wisconsin in 1973 and funded through National Science Foundation Research Grant G.A.-28966, awarded to Dr. Campbell Craddock.

Geochemical sampling was conducted by Kirk W. Sherwood of the University of Wisconsin and Thomas E. Smith and Thomas K. Bundtzen of the Alaska Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys.

The atomic absorbtion analysis was carried out by Thomas C. Trible at the Mineral Analyses & Research Laboratory in College, Alaska. A 10.00-gram sample was digested with an appropriate amount of aqua regia. The digestate was diluted to 100 milliliters with distilled H<sub>2</sub>O and centrifuged. The elements copper, lead, zinc, silver, and nickel were aspirated directly into an air—acetylene flame while gold was determined following a DIBK/Aliquat 336 solvent-solvent extraction.

Optical emission spectrographic analyses of all samples were performed by Spe Comp Services, Inc. of Steamboat Springs, Colorado using a 1.5-meter Wadsworth Mounted Jarrell-Ash DC arc emission spectrograph.

#### General Area

The study area (figure 1) includes some of the most rugged country in the central Alaska range. No roads exist in the general area, although the Denali Highway is a few miles to the south.

Fixed-wing aircraft can land on air strips on the Wood and Delta Rivers.

Much of the field work was helicopter supported.


General Geology, Upper Wood River Area

The bedrock geology near the head of the Wood River consists of two distinct terranes, separated by major east-west discontinuity known as the Hines Creek Fault (fig. 2). The Hines Creek Fault is considered to be an ancient and presently inactive segment of the Denali Fault System; a presently active trace of this system, the McKinley Strand, lies 29 kilometers to the south.

The terrane north of the Hines Creek Fault is divided into the following three rock units: 1) the Birch Creek Schist, a highly deformed assembledge of quartzites and pelitic phyllites of undetermined age; 2) a monolithologic unit of black phyllites, possibly of Devonian age (Wahrhaftig, 1968); and 3) an upper Devonian (Hickman, 1974) sequence of metavolcanoclastic rocks of felsic to intermediate compositions.


The Birch Creek Schist (bc) has undergone multiple deformations, and a secondary foliation ( $S_2$ ) forms the most conspicious mesoscopic structural element of these rocks. The black phyllites (Dbp) are also strongly deformed and possess a well-developed primary foliation ( $S_1$ ) locally overprinted by a secondary cleavage ( $S_2$ ). The most conspicious structural element of the metavolcanic rocks (Dmv) is a single primary foliation ( $S_1$ ) parallel to compositional banding.

The terrane south of the Hines Creek Fault has been divided into four rock units: 1) a strongly deformed sequence of cherts and pyrophyllitic



ICE FIELD OR Location Map Showing Areas Described In This Report

10 Miles BASE MAP; NORTHEAST PART, USGS HEALY 1:250.000



- 4 -

phyllites (Pc) of probable early Paleozoic age; 2) a folded sequence of metagraywackes, slates, and calcarenites (Om) of possible Ordovician age, based on possible graptolite imprints (Anderson, 1973) [highly sheared rocks (sh) probably belongs to this unit and may represent the base of a thrust sheet]; 3) a voluminous swarm of west-trending gabbro sills (ga), possibly emplaced during the Triassic (Wahrhaftig, 1970); and 4) the Paleocene Cantwell Formation (Tc), a mildly folded sequence of conglomerates, sandstones, and carbonaceous shales preserved as small in-faulted outliers within the older metamorphic-igneous terrane. Triassic(?) gabbros similar to those described above can be found to the west in Mt. McKinley National Park (Gilbert, personal communication).


The structure of the pre-Cantwell rocks is complex. The pre-Ordovician(?) cherts and phyllites (Pc) apparently sustained multiple deformations prior to deposition of the Ordovician(?) graywackes, shales, and calcarenites (Om). The Ordovician(?) sediments (Om) and intrusive Triassic(?) gabbro sills (ga) have been deformed into tight recumbent folds and are locally overthrust by the older cherts and phyllites (Pc).

A large granodiorite body (gd), known as the Buchanan Creek Pluton (Wahrhaftig, 1970), of middle Cretaceous age, truncates the Hines Creek Fault in the eastern part of the area (fig. 2).

During the Paleocene, the coarse detritus of the Cantwell Formation accumulated on an erosional surface of the older rocks. The presence of minor angular unconformities within the Cantwell Formation suggests that recurrent tilting accompanied deposition. The Cantwell rocks have subsequently been deformed into assymetrical folds and are locally truncated by reverse faults.

## General Geology, Edgar Creek Area

The bedrock geology of the Edgar Creek area (fig. 3) is very similar to that of the upper Wood River area, and mapping has delineated four distinct



rock units similar to those found in that location: 1) a highly deformed assembledge of cherts and pyrophyllitic phyllites (Pc) of probably early Paleozoic age; 2) a sequence of Ordovician(?) (Anderson, 1973) metagray—wackes, slates, and calcarenites, (Om), locally conglomeratic (mc) near the base; 3) a swarm of northeast—trending steeply inclined gabbro sills (ga) of possible Triassic age (Wahrhaftig, 1970); and 4) the Paleocene Cantwell Formation (Tc), a folded sequence of conglomerates, sandstones, and carbonaceous shales. Unconformities are inferred at the base of the Ordovician(?) rocks (Om) and at the base of the Cantwell Formation.

## Aeromagnetics


A regional aeromagnetic map is shown in figure 4 for the general vicinity. A 400-gamma magnetic high outlines the Cretaceous Buchanan Creek Pluton of the Upper Wood River area (figs. 2 and 4).

The swarms of gabbro sills present at Edgar Creek and the Wood River may be represented by the small aeromagnetic highs, usually less than 100 gammas in magnitude, that form a diffuse southwesterly trend which extends from Edgar Creek to the southeastern end of the Upper Wood River map area.

## Mineral Occurrences


Much of the sulfide mineralization in the upper Wood River and Edgar Creek areas seems to be within contact zones adjacent to gabbro sills and the Buchanan Creek Pluton. Subsequent weathering and oxidation of the pyritic contact rocks has produced conspicious limonitic staining in the bedrock of these area. The localities of such outcrops have been mapped as "gossan" on figures 5 and 6. Some sulfide rich outcrops near the gabbro-sediment contacts contain abundant pyrrhotite and traces of chalcopyrite.


In the north-central part of figure 5 and in figure 6, a second type of mineralization not related to metasomatic activity appears to have been



Regional Aeromagnetic Survey, Northeast Part, Healy 1:250,000 Sheet
Taken From ADGGS Aeromagnetic Survey EAST ALASKA RANGE, HEALY, ALASKA

O 5 IOmiles





localized within fracture zones subsidiary to the Hines Creek Fault. At several such locations in the upper Wood River and Edgar Creek areas, malachite stain was observed along fracture fillings and foliations of the metasedimentary rocks. Although most of these showings were considered to be of low grade and were not sampled, each observation has been identified by the elemental symbol for copper (Cu) on both figures 5 and 6.

Four grab-sample sites are located in the vicinity of West Fork Glacier (fig. 7). Two of these samples, map numbers 29 and 30, are of rock debris on the glacier itself; the debris source has been indicated on figure 7. Samples from the above four sites have a high metal sulfide content. Their field occurrences are marked by profound iron-stained "gossan" zones in the debris and on the slopes.

## Results and Conclusions

The analytical results for the samples collected during this study are shown in tables 1 and 2. Although none of the samples analyzed bear economic concentrations of base or precious metals, the presence of the many mineralized zones described above may indicate the existence of large and richer deposits of economic significance.

Information concerning the structural and geologic setting of the mineral occurrences described in this report is valuable because it may be used to establish guidelines for future investigations. The following targets are suggested as a basis for mineral evaluation in this area: 1) the wall rocks adjacent to gabbro sills and granodicrite plutons; 2) the interior of differentiated gabbro sills (potential concentrations of primary metallic oxides and sulfides); and 3) those areas within fracture zones adjacent to major faults such as the Hines Creek Fault.

TABLE 1

ATOMIC ABSORBTION SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC ANALYSES OF GRAB SAMPLES FROM THE UPPER WOOD RIVER,

EDGAR CREEK, AND WEST FORK GLACIER AREAS, ALASKA

MAP #	FIELD #	(ppm) COPPER	(ppm) LEAD	(ppm) ZINC	(ppm) SILVER	(ppm) GOLD	(ppm)	REMARKS
							•	<del></del>
1	UW 1574/30	34	11	9.1	0.2	ND	135	
. 2	UW 1574/31	16	8	104	0.2	ND	132	Birch Crk Schist stained zone
3	WRS	17	11	66	0.2	0.5	113	"Gossan" in Birch Creek Schist
4	K469	69	13	44	2.2	ND	67	"Gossan" in contact zone, granodiorite
5	73AST 1583	202	14	42	0.4	1.0	84	Heavy "gossan" stain in gabbro' malachite in
								fractures of sediments
6	73AST 1581	193	65	78	0.2	ND	20	Sulfide bearing mafic rock
7	73AST 1580	172	28	132	ND	0.7	32	Iron stained, sulfide bearing gabbro with pyritic
								sediments
8	73AST 582a	700	18	29	0.3	0.7	6	Sulfide bearing float in flood plain
ı 9	73AST 1582	188	8	76	0.4	ND	20	Grab sample of pyritized sediments and ultramafic
<b>-</b>								(?) igneous rock
₽ 10	K563a	833	12	25	1.2	0.2	228	Gossan zone near gabbro sill, 3 samples, composite
'	К563Ь	259	2	65	2.5	ND	408	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
	K563c	13	4	270	0.4	0.5	192	и и и и и
11	WR110	72	28	125	0.9	ND	ND	Gossan zone near gabbro sill
12	WR115	100	8	82	ND	ND	20	Gabbro sill
13	73AST 583a		16	20	0.5	ND	10	Gossan in Paleozoic meta seds (Pc)
	73AST 583b	750	8	50	1.0	ND	14	11 99 H H H H
.14	73AST 1579	30	18	7	1.0	0.2	13	Iron stained silicified seds and gabbro grab sample
15	73AST 582b	460	17	24	0.7	ND	6	Sulfide bearing float in creek, gabbro

ND means that the element was looked for but not detected. - - means not analyzed.

TABLE 1 (continued)

MAP #	FIELD #	(ppm) COPPER	(ppm) <u>LEAD</u>	(ppm) ZINC	(ppm) SILVER	(PPm)	(ppm)	REMARKS
16	73AST 1577	36	44	12	1.0	0.5	13	Hydrothermally altered zone, conspicuous mineral staining
17	73AST 1578	84	9	47	0.2	ND	2,8	Pyritized sediments near unaltered(?) gabbro
18	K426C	45	10	62	0.5	ND	43	Near iron stained gabbro in meta-sediments (Om)
19	UW 1574/33	1700	5	104	0.5	ND	70	In gabbro sediment contact zone (ga) (Om)
20	73AST 584b	274	8	55	0.5	ND	17	Silicified sample in gabbro contact
21	73AST 593-3	346	31	62	0.6	ND	42	Gabbro gossan zone
22	73AST 585gb	<b>7</b> 50	9	54	2.4	ИD	96	Sulfide bearing meta-seds, gabbro; chalcopyrite visible
23	K380	153	10	152	1.0	0.4	78	Gossan zone near gabbro sill
24	73AST 1584	2300	38	142	1.1	0.5	32	Massive sulfide bearing meta-sediments, huge boulders with predominantly iron sulfides
25	73AST 587	190	40	186	0.4	0.5	270	Pyroxenite intrusive sample
26	K185	4700	4	41	ND	0.4	24	"Gossan" zone in (Pc) near gabbro sill
27	73AST 588	980	10	64	1.0	0.2	51	Cossanized gabbro and meta-sediments
28	73AST 589	92	14	141	1.0	ND	56	Gossanized meta-sediments
29	73AST 293a	205	10	71	0.3	0.4	30	Gossan of mafic intrusive(?), West Fork moraine heavily impregnated with sulfides
30	73AST 293b	1100	65	136	1.1	ND	37	Gossan of mafic intrusive(?), West Fork moraine heavily impregnated with sulfides

ND means that the element was looked for but not detected. - - means not analyzed.

TABLE 2

SEMI-QUANTITATIVE EMISSION SPECTROGRAPHIC ANALYSES OF SAMPLES FROM THE UPPER WOOD RIVER, EDGAR CREEK, AND WEST FORK GLACIER AREAS, ALASKA

<u>Мар #</u>	(%) Fe	(ppm)	(ppm) Cr	(ppm) Mn	(ppm)	(ppm).	(ppm)	(ppm) Ba	(ppm) Be	(ppm) Nb	(ppm) Sc	(ppm) Sr	(ppm) Y	(%) Ca	(Z) Mg	(Z) <u>Ti</u>
1	7.0%	30	100	5000	150	20	10	700	ND	10	ND	1500	20	7.0%	0.7%	.02%
2	5.0% 5.0%	ND 30	300 200	5000 5000	150 150	ND - 20	300 30	1000 2000	ND ND	10 10	ND ND	200 200	ND ND	2.0% 3.0%	0.4% 0.3%	.01%
4	4.0%	10	500	1500	150	200	20	2000	5	10	20	500	50	7.0%	5.0%	0.5%
6	5.0% 7.0%	30 50	200 100	1000 1000	200 200	150 150	20 10	5000 3000	3 2	10 10	30 50	500 500	30 30	5.0% 7.0%	5.0% 5.0%	0.7% 0.6%
7	10.0%	30	200	1500	200	200	10	1000	3	10	30	300	20	5.0%	5.0%	0.7%
8 9	7.0%	50 	200	1000	70 	200	20 	1000		10 <del></del> -	10 	200 	30 	1.5%	0.3%	0.5% 
10a	10.0%	30	150	5000	700	ND	20	1000	ND ND	10	ND	100 -	ND ND	1.0%	0.2%	.03%
<sup>1</sup> 10b <del>7</del> 10c	15.0% 5.0%	50 30	50 200	5000 5000	100 150	ND 50	20 10	150 <b>0</b> 100.	ND	10 10	ND ND	100 100	30	0.7%	0.3%	.05%
, 11	3.0%	20	150	500	150	200	50	2000	10 ND	10	20	200	20 10	1.0%	4.0% 5.0%	0.5%
12 13a	10.0%	50 10	200 100	1500 700	500 100	50 150	10 <b>2</b> 0	500 5000	3	10 10	30 20	300 200	10	3.0%	2.0%	0.7%
13ь	10.0%	30	150	1000	· 150	300	20	1500	5	10	50	300	<b>7</b> 0	5.0%	2.0%	1.0%

Sb, Mo, W, Cd, As, Bi, Sn, and La were looked for but not detected.

ND means that the element was looked for but not detected.

<sup>--</sup> means not analyzed.

TABLE 2 (continued)

E I	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	5.0%	.05%	.02%	0.5%	t	.05%	.05%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
(X) Mg	0.7%	1.5%	0.5%	4.0%	1.5%	5.0%	3.0%	1	0.3%	5.0%	2.0%	0.1%	0.1%	4.0%	4.0%	2.0%	4.0%
(%)	2.0%	1.0%	0.3%	7.0%	7.0%	5.0%	4.0%	ŀ	0.5%	3.0%	70.4	1.5%	0.1%	7.0%	3.0%	2.0%	7.0%
(ppm)	QN	30	QN	10	20	QN	QN	1	QN	QN	ND	QN	ON	70	70	QN	20
(ppm)	200	200	100	300	1500	300	200	}	100	100	100	100	100	200	200	300	300
(ppm)	QN	10	CN CN	20	ND	(IN	10	!	ND	QN	R	ND	QN	10	10	20	30
(ppm)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
(ppm)	5	2	e	QN	2	ND	7	!	QN	7	7	7	٣	5	S	QN	ND
(ppm) Ba	1500	1000	1000	2000	1000	20	2000	1	1000	1000	200	1500	1000	2000	1500	200	1000
(ppm)	QN	70	20	07	10	20	10	1	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	10	10
(ppm) Zr	70	150	. 001	100	20	QN	100	1	ND	20	20	70	20	100	200	50	100
(mqq)	100	. 100	100	100	150	100	100	1	100	200	100	200	100	150	150	400	200
(ppm)	300	200	300	1000	2000	1500	200	i i	2000	3000	1500	200	1000	1500	200	1500	1000
(ppm) Cr	150	150	100	200	20	20	150	1	200	150	200	200	150	300	200	70	150
(ppm)	ND	30	ND	30	QN	20	8	}	20	10	100	20	10	20	20	3	20
(2) Fe	2.0%	10.0%	2.0%	7.0%	7.0%	12.0%	5.0%	ł	12,0%	15.0%	12.0%	5.0%	2.0%	7.0%	70.4	7.0%	10.0%
Мар #	14	15	16	17	18	19	50 51	21	22	23	24	25	26	1 27	82	29	) 08 -

Sb, Mo, W, Cd, As, B1, Sn, and La were looked for but not detected. ND means that the element was looked for but not detected.

-- means not analyzed.

## References

- Anderson, R.L., 1973, The Denali Fault (Hines Creek Strand) in the Wood River area, central Alaska Range: M.S. Thesis, Univ. of Wisconsin, 114 p.
- Gilbert, W.G., and Redman, E., 1975, Geologic map and structure sections of the Healy C-6 quadrangle, Alaska: Alaska Div. Geol. and Geophys. Surveys, open file report 80.
- Hickman, R.G., 1974, Structural geology and stratigraphy along a segment of the Denali Fault System, central Alaska Range, Alaska: Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Wisconsin, 276 p.
- Wahrhaftig, C., 1968, Schists of the central Alaska Range: U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1254-E, 22 p.
- 1970, The Alaska Range: Informal guidebook given to 1970 Bering Sea Symposium participants, 14 p.