STATE OF ALASKA Department of Natural Resources DIVISION OF MINES AND GEOLOGY

LABORATORY REPORT NO. 3

Geochemical Analytical Procedure For Copper, Lead, and Zinc by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy

By

Paul L. Anderson

College, Alaska

April 1969

CONTENTS

Pa	ge
ABSTRACT	1
INTRODUCTION	1
	1
PRECISION AND ACCURACY	6
DATA REPORTING	8
LOWER LIMITS OF ANALYSIS	8
SPECIFIC PROCEDURE	8
SPEED OF ANALYSES	9
REFERENCES	9
TABLES	
Table 1 Test of Various Acids for Digestion	3
2 Comparison of Geochemical Digestion Procedure with Regular Assay Procedure	4
3 Precision Test of Geochemical Analysis	5
4 Comparison of Apalyses	7

ABSTRACT

A procedure for analyzing geochemical samples for copper, lead, and zinc by atomic absorption spectroscopy is described. The precision and accuracy compares rather well with assay type analyses and the results on numerous samples compare well with geochemical analyses from an independent laboratory. The procedure is capable of detecting background levels of the three metals in geochemical samples. The procedure leaches 2 grams of sample in 20 ml of HCl + aqua regia + $\rm H_20$ in a hot water bath. The analyte is centrifuged and read directly by atomic absorption spectroscopy. Usually no further dilutions are required. Starting from oven-dried, minus 80 mesh material the analysis under optimum conditions requires only about five to ten minutes per sample.

INTRODUCTION

A geochemical field investigation requires numerous samples in order to establish a geochemical pattern and significant deviations from the pattern. Because of the large number of samples, an acceptable geochemical analysis requires that it be fast. The best possible precision and accuracy, however, is not required. An additional requirement for geochemical analyses is that the sensitivity be such that the background level, or average level of the metal in non-anomalous sample, is easily detected. This implies that the procedure can detect at least 50 ppm zinc, 20 ppm copper, and 10 ppm lead in the sample (Andrews-Jones, 1968, p 9). Ideally, the procedure should routinely be able to detect the metal at about half the above stated value, although even greater sensitivity is required for some types of samples.

The Division's laboratory has done "ore-type" analyses routinely for Zn, Cu, and Pb by atomic absorption for some time and has accumulated a good deal of experience in the use of the atomic absorption technique. A previous report covers this analysis thoroughly (Anderson and Cho, 1969). The reader may wish to refer to that report for additional details.

EXPERIMENT

DIGESTION PROCEDURE

The procedure routinely uses oven-dried (80°C) sample of minus 80 mesh material obtained by sieving through stainless steel. The digestion vessel chosen is a standard glass culture tube* of 20 ml capacity. The procedure uses two grams of sample taken to 20 ml. This system provides

-1-

*Can be obtained from Van Waters and Rogers, Cat. No. 60828-241, 16×150 mm (20 ml) size, with teflon lined caps.

for: 1) an adequate sample size, 2) convenient analytical range (only rarely would a dilution be required), 3) small enough volume to not be wasteful of reagents, 4) ease of relating metal level in the solution to that in the sample (20/2 = 10) and 5) because of the culture tube's small volume and tall form many samples can be digested simultaneously. The tubes are sturdy and fairly easily cleaned.

A test was designed to determine the best acid combination. Three acids (HCl, HNO₃, and aqua regia), and acid combinations, were tested. The design for the test was as follows:

- add 2 3 ml of conc. acid to the empty culture tube
- 2. add 2.00 g of sample to the acid
- 3. heat in a near boiling water bath for 15 minutes
- 4. add a second acid to bring the tube to one-half full
- 5. add 4 6 grains of soild $KMnO_4$ to help oxidize the sample
- 6. digest for 45 minutes, then bring to 20 ml volume with deionized H20
- 7. centrifuge

The acid combinations used and the results are shown in table 1. The table shows there is a significant difference depending on the acids used for digestion. Certain combinations are excluded because the vigorous reaction made the digestion impossible. The table also shows a significant difference in metal values depending on the acid combinations. The highest values, and therefore the acid combination adopted, were obtained when using HCl as the starting acid and then adding boiled aqua regia after the initial heating.

The completeness of this geochemical digestion procedure was tested by comparing the values obtained using this method to values obtained with our regular atomic absorption assay procedure. The accuracy of the ore assay procedure has been previously proven. The results are shown in table 2.

TABLE 1
TEST OF VARIOUS ACIDS FOR DIGESTION

INITIAL ACID 2-3 ml	SECOND ACID SAMPLE BEHAVIOR TUBE 1/2 FULL DURING DIGESTION		SAMPLE 1 PPM		SAMPLE 2 PPM			SAMPLE 3 PPM			
			Cu	Pb	Zn	Cu	Pb	Zn	Cu	Рþ	Zn
HC1	HC1	boiled over upon heating				~-					
HC1	Aqua Regia	no problems	56	16	127	34	16	89	65	18	103
HC1	Вомн	boiled over upon heating									
нио ₃	HNO ₃	no problems	45	14	93	28	14	72	52	16	88
HNO ₃	Aqua Regia	no problems	55	16	118	33	14	87	63	18	115
hno ₃	HC1	boiled over upon heating									

TABLE 2

COMPARISON OF GEOCHEMICAL DIGESTION PROCEDURE
WITH REGULAR ASSAY PROCEDURE

SAMPLE	COPPER		LE	AD	ZINC			
	ASSAY	GEOCHEM	ASSAY	GEOCHEM	ASSAY	GEOCHEM		
JC-29	49	46	11	12	. 99	90		
JW-93	24	21	14	15	157	148		
JC-30	56	55	10	10	110	107		
JW-122	51	48	10	15	132	128		
GE-11	26	23	10	10	95	90		

The above table shows that the geochemical digestion procedure may give less than complete digestion; the assay values are slightly higher, but there is not a significant difference. Also, digestion time was investigated. Digestion times of 10 minutes, 20 minutes, 30 minutes, and 45 minutes were used. There was a correlation of completeness of digestion with time. Ten minutes digestion yielded somewhat lower metal values than 20 minutes; there was a possible, very small, difference between the 20 and 30 minutes of digestion; the values stabilized with time of more than 30 minutes. For the routine procedure 40 to 45 minutes is adopted.

TABLE 3

PRECISION TEST OF GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS

MPLE	PPM COPPER	PPM LEAD	PPM ZINC
! A	32	13	86
			91
			93
			91
E			90
F	32	9	92
) A	62	16	113
			110
			105
			113
			110
F	61	14	110
	F2	7.4	110
			110
			108
			108
D P			113
			110
r 			108
3 A	31	11	83
В		13	85
С	30	11	81
D	30	11	81
E	30	9	83
F	28	11	79
	A B C D E F F A B C D E F C D	2 A 32 B 32 C 33 D 32 E 30 F 32 O A 62 B 62 C 59 D 61 E 62 F 61 S A 53 B 48 C 53 D 53 E 52 F 53 S A 31 B 32 C 30 D 30	2 A 32 13 B 32 11 C 33 11 D 32 11 E 30 10 F 32 9 O A 62 16 B 62 15 C 59 15 D 61 16 E 62 15 F 61 14 S A 53 14 B 48 13 C 53 15 D 53 15 E 52 14 F 53 15 S A 31 11 B 32 13 C 30 11 D 30 11

PRECISION AND ACCURACY

A precision test was run on four samples. From each of the four samples six alaquots of sample were randomly chosen from the sample container. Each alaquot was treated as a separate sample. The precision values are then a measure of total analytical error. Table 3 shows the results of the precision test.

An additional test of precision, and a comparison with an independent laboratory, was made on a group of 40 samples. The samples were collected by R. Asher, Division Engineer, during his 1968 field season on the Seward Peninsula and represent a wide variety of sample types. These samples had been previously analyzed by the U. S. Geological Survey Laboratory by atomic absorption spectroscopy, but using a very different digestion procedure. In this test our laboratory analyzed these samples twice —on different days and by different analysts. The three separate sets of data are therefore completely independent. The results are shown in table 4.

Some comparisons are drawn from table 4. By comparing the arithmetic means of our analyses with those of the U.S.G.S. laboratory systematic biases are detected. This comparison is possible only for Cu and Zn because of the absence of U.S.G.S. values for lead below 20 ppm.

ARITHMETIC MEANS

	COPPER	ZIN		
Division Analyses	25 ppm	7 5 ppm		
U.S.G.S. Analyses	30 ppm	70 ppm		

The similarity of the mean values for 40 samples leads to the interpretation that no strong systematic bias exists between the two sets of data.

Another comparison of data is made by calculating the standard deviation of the differences between the three groups of data, i.e., Division Lab. Analyst 1, Division Lab. Analyst 2, and the U.S.G.S. Lab. These results are as follows:

STANDARD DEVIATIONS BETWEEN DATA GROUPS

STANDARD DEVIATION

	<u>Cu</u>	Pb	Zn
Div. Lab. 1 vs Div. Lab. 2	5 ppm	3 ppm	10 ppm
Div. Lab. 1 vx U.S.G.S.	10 ppm		15 ppm

The above data indicates a very close correlation between the data of analyst 1 and analyst 2 of the Division Lab. and also between the labs of the Division and the U. S. Geological Survey

TABLE 4

COMPARISON OF ANALYSES

		COPPER			LEAD		ZINC		
SAMPLE	DML ₁	DML ₂	USGS	DML1	DMIL ₂	USGS	DMI_1	DML ₂	USGS
SP 164	18	21	20	10	11	20	65	70	80
165	26		30	13		20	83		90
166	30		3 0	13		20	105		90
168	22	21	25	11	10	20	72	74	40
169	24	23	25	11	12	20	65	74	40
170	19	20	25	10	12	20	68	74	40
171	16	18	20	10	10	20	58	66	50
172	24	25	20	10	10	20	88	86	60
173	15	34	45	9	15	20	51	91	80
175	15	16	15	9	10	20	51	61	40
176	22	20	20	11	12	20	73	74	50
177	31	27	35	13	13	20	87	89	70
178	25	23	30	11	12	20	74	76	60
182	43	43	20	17	20	20	98	96	70
183	24		40	13		20	74	64	70
184	25	23	30	12	12	20	70	71	70
187	36		45	16		20	110		100
188	20	22	40	11	13	20	68	71	60
189	57		65	35		25	232		200
190	19	22	30	7	7	20	30	29	40
19 1	26	40	60	11	13	20	123	117	130
192	26	23	35	9	8	20	60	56	60
194	24	25	30	11	15	20	6 8	76	60
195	2 2	21	25	9	13	20	65	64	50
196	20	25	20	10	12	20	37	34	40
197	22	21	30	11	12	20	62	66	50
198	20	20	35	13	20	20	67	6 6	60
199	21	20	25	10	10	20	60	66	50
200	31	30	40	20	21	20	150	152	140
201	19	21	30	10	12	20	58	64	70
202	41	50	60	25	33	20	74	96	80
203	19	18	25	12	12	20	60	61	60
204	27	27	40	20	24	20	72	36	80
205	28	18	20	7	8	20	35	61	50
206	33	34	45	15	17	20	81	84	80
207	22	20	25	11	10	20	63	74	50
208	15	18	20	14	13	20	43	56	40
209	32	32	35	15	16	20	82	91	70
211	25	23	25	13	13	20	73	81	50
212	17	16	20	11	10	20	67	81	70

 $[\]mathrm{DML}_1$, Division of Mines Laboratory, P. L. Anderson analyst

DML2, Division of Mines Laboratory, Namok Cho analyst

USGS, U. S. Geological Survey analyses; all except one sample was below their 20 ppm sensitivity for lead

DATA REPORTING

The Techtron AA-4 unit used in our laboratory is equipped with a device for direct readout of data, eliminating having to form analytical curves from standards each time. Because of this feature and the nature of the needs of geochemical data values should be reported at even five ppm intervals above five ppm, and one ppm intervals below five ppm.

LOWER LIMITS OF ANALYSIS

The procedure described here will routinely yield the following lower limit of analysis for the three elements:

Cu - 1 ppm Pb - 5 ppm Zn - 1 ppm

The above limits could be lowered for particular needs, but routine geochemical analyses would not require better sensitivity. The lead analysis uses a 5x scale expansion on the atomic absorption unit. No scale expansion is used for zinc or copper.

SPECIFIC PROCEDURE

Material must be oven-dried and screened to yield minus 80 mesh material for the analysis.

- 1. To a culture tube add 2 3 ml of conc. HC1
- 2. Weigh and add to the acid 2.00 ± 0.01g of sample wash sample into tube with about 2 ml more HCl
- 3. When all reaction stops, place the tube in a near-boiling water bath (watch for and remove from heat in case of vigorous reaction) for 10 minutes or until reaction stops
- 4. Add aqua regia* to half fill the tube
- 5. Add 4 6 grains of solid $KMnO_{\Delta}$ as an oxidizer
- 6. When the ${\rm KMnO}_4$ is dissolved, cap the tube and shake until all sample is removed from tube bottom
- 7. Loosen cap and digest in near-boiling water bath for 40 minutes
- 8. Bring analyte volume to 20 ml with deionized H₂0 by filling the tube to a level one-half way up on the neck of the culture tube. When liquid is cool the volume will be very close to 20 ml.

-8-

*This aqua regia reagent is formed by one part concentrated HNO_3 , four parts conc. HCl, boiled until nearly clear and diluted to $\frac{1}{2}$ with deionized H_2O .

- 9. Tighten the culture tube cap and again shake to mix water and remove sample from bottom of the tube
- 10. Centrifuge at 800-900 RPM for 10 minutes, by use of adaptors 16 samples can be centrifuged simultaneously
- 11. Read by AAS
- 12. Report values to the nearest 5 ppm

SPEED OF ANALYSES

Experience has indicated that starting from oven-dried, minus 80 mesh material, one person can weigh, digest, centrifuge, read by AAS, and record the data for 100 samples in about 8 hours, or approximately five minutes per sample.

REFERENCES

- Andrews-Jones, D. A., The Application of Geochemical Techniques to Mineral Exploration; Mineral Industries Bull., Colorado School of Mines Research Foundation, Inc., Nov. 1968, Vol 11, No 6, p 9.
- Anderson, Paul L. and Namok Cho, Atomic Absorption Analyses for Copper, Lead, and Zinc; Alaska State Division of Mines and Geology, Laboratory Report No. 2, 1969.