



EXPLANATION

- 5 VERY GOOD--Detailed (1:63,360 scale or larger) geologic mapping, numerous units/cell broken out, alteration zones and gossans shown; comprehensive geochemical data base at 1/4 mile spacing, possibly soil and rock chip geochemistry also available; detailed studies of mines or prospects; good surficial geology.
- 4 GOOD--Detailed (1:63,360 scale or larger) geologic mapping; ordinary geochemical coverage (one sample per square mile to one sample per five square miles density); aeromagnetic coverage at 1/2 to 1 mile spacing; some prospect studies.
- 3 FAIR--Good, careful 1:250,000 or 1:125,000 scale geologic mapping with units pertinent to mineral deposits shown; regional, low density geophysical coverage; low density geochemical data base; some deposit studies.
- 2 POOR--Lower quality 1:250,000 scale geologic mapping units generalized, pertinent units to mineral deposits not shown; regional, low density geophysical coverage; low density geochemical coverage; few, if any, local deposit studies.
- 1 VERY POOR--Only geologic mapping 1:1,000,000 scale or similar grossly generalized maps; low density or no regional geophysical coverage; poor geochemical data base; general lack of information.

This map is not a formal D.G.G.S. publication and should not be cited as such. Data used in its compilation are available for inspection at the D.G.G.S. office, College, Alaska.

MINERAL-RESOURCE DATA QUALITY OF THE KUSKOKWIM PLANNING AREA, ALASKA

Compiled by K. H. Clautice

