UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

FLOOD-PRONE AREA MAPS AT THREE SITES ALONG THE
TRANS-ALASKA PIPELINE, ALASKA

by

Robert D. Lamke and Stanley H. Jones

Prepared in cooperation with the Bureau of Land Management

OPEN-FILE REPORT 80-209

Anchorage, Alaska
1980

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CECIL D. ANDRUS, Secretary

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

H. William Menard, Director

For additional information write to:

U.S. Geological Survey
Water Resources Division
218 E Street, Skyline Building
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction.....	1
Site analyses.....	1
Sagavanirktok River near Pump Station 3.....	1
Middle Fork Koyukuk River at Coldfoot Camp.....	5
Jim River near Pump Station 5.....	11
Selected references.....	13

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1. Map showing location of flood-prone area study sites along the trans-Alaska pipeline.....	2
2. Map showing location of flood-prone area photographs for Sagavanirktok River near Pump Station 3.....	3
3. Aerial photograph of northern segment of flood-prone area of Sagavanirktok River near Pump Station 3.....	6
4. Aerial photograph of southern segment of flood-prone area of Sagavanirktok River near Pump Station 3.....	7
5. Map showing location of flood-prone area photograph for Middle Fork Koyukuk River at Coldfoot Camp.....	8
6. Aerial photograph of flood-prone area of Middle Fork Koyukuk River at Coldfoot Camp.....	10
7. Map showing location of flood-prone area photograph for Jim River near Pump Station 5.....	12
8. Aerial photograph of flood-prone area of Jim River near Pump Station 5.....	14

TABLE

Table 1. Summary of data for surface-water gaging stations, partial-record stations, and flood-prone mapping sites.....	4
---	---

INTRODUCTION

The Bureau of Land Management requested on May 3, 1979 that the U.S. Geological Survey determine the base (100-year) flood-plain limits at three sites (fig. 1) along the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System Highway (Haul Road) between the Yukon River and Prudhoe Bay in order to issue land-use permits. The Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (ADOT) has constructed maintenance camps at Coldfoot and adjacent to the Jim River near Pump Station 5. The site along the Sagavanirktok River near Pump Station 3 is under consideration as a site for potential development.

An analysis of the flood data available at nearby gaging stations, at prior flood-survey sites, and from regional flood analyses was made. The discharge of the 100-year flood was calculated for the three sites using methods described by U.S. Water Resources Council (WRC, 1977) and given in Lamke (1979). Next field examination was made of the sites. Flood-deposited debris upon the overbank floodways was used to determine elevations of the maximum evident flood at the three flood-prone sites mapped. The maximum evident floodmarks for these floods were at elevations and locations which indicated that they were independent of ice scars. Ice scars higher than the highest flood debris were found at the Jim River site. Flood evidence of recent peaks was also used as an aid in determining the base flood boundaries. These limits have been delineated on aerial photographs of the sites. Surveying was limited to that necessary to adequately define the base flood boundaries; step-backwater computations were not made. Although some additional pertinent information is shown on the photographs, no attempt was made to delineate areas of inadequate local drainage or seasonal problems of high ground-water tables.

Aerial photographs of the three sites were obtained from North Pacific Aerial Survey, Inc., with the permission of Alaskan Natural Gas Transportation Co. One photograph was obtained from Air Photo Tech, Inc., with the permission of Aleyska Pipeline Service Co.

SITE ANALYSES

Sagavanirktok River near Pump Station 3

The Sagavanirktok River borders the trans-Alaskan pipeline and Pump Station 3 to the east of the area proposed for potential development (fig. 2) on the west bank. Gaging station flood information is available for 10 years, 1969-78, at a site 19 mi (miles) downstream from Pump Station 3. The maximum evident flood at the gage has a discharge of 62,000 ft³/s (cubic feet per second) (table 1). The maximum observed discharge for the period of record was 34,900 ft³/s in August 1969. The log-Pearson Type III distribution of the annual series of flood events at the gage was computed using the maximum evident flood of 62,000 ft³/s as the highest since 1961. This resulted in a 100-year flood discharge of 76,400 ft³/s (WRC, 1977). An analysis using regional flood frequency techniques (Lamke, 1979) and the above value resulted in an estimated 100-year flood discharge at the gage of 76,000 ft³/s. The drainage area at the site near Pump Station 3 is 1,691 mi² (square miles) as compared to the drainage area at the gage of 2,208 mi². The

EXPLANATION

TRANS-ALASKA PIPELINE

1. SAGAVANIRKTOK RIVER NEAR PUMP STATION 3
2. MIDDLE FORK KOYUKUK RIVER AT COLDFOOT CAMP
3. JIM RIVER NEAR PUMP STATION 5

Figure 1.--Location of flood-prone area sites along the trans-Alaska pipeline.

Figure 2.--Location of flood-prone area photograph for Sagavanirktok River near Pump Station 3.

Table 1.--Summary of data for surface-water gaging stations, partial-record stations, and flood-prone mapping sites.

Site no.	Stream name	Latitude	Longitude	Drainage area (mi ²)	Period of record	Maximum for period of record (ft ³ /s)	Maximum evident flood (ft ³ /s)	100-year flood Q 100 (ft ³ /s)	Aerial photography Date	Scale
1	Sagavanirktok R nr Pump Station 3	68°50'38"	148°48'43"	1,691	--	--	--	63,000	7-12-77 8-30-78	1:2,000 1:24,000
	Sagavanirktok R nr Sagwon	69°05'24"	148°45'34"	2,208	1969-78	34,900	62,000	76,000		
	Middle Fork Koyukuk R nr Wiseman	67°27'18"	150°04'30"	1,426	1968, 1970-78	19,100	33,000	39,000		
2	Middle Fork Koyukuk R at Coldfoot Camp	67°16'26"	150°11'48"	1,650	--	--	--	43,800	9-13-78	1:24,000
	Jim R at Bridge No. 3	66°53'05"	150°31'18"	223	--	--	13,000	16,500		
3	Jim R nr Pump Station 5	66°49'37"	150°40'20"	306	--	--	--	19,000	9- 3-78	1:24,000
	Jim R nr Bettles	66°47'10"	150°52'23"	465	1970-77	12,800	21,000	26,000		

100-year flood discharge at Pump Station 3 was calculated as 63,000 ft³/s using techniques given in Lamke (1979).

The 100-year flood elevation at the gage would be more than 3 ft higher than the peak of 1977. This flood-elevation relationship was transferred to the Pump Station 3 site where the 100-year flood elevation was assumed to be 4 ft higher than the floodmarks of the 1977 peak. The flood evidence left by the 1977 peak was the most readily identifiable evidence found at the site and was about at the top of the channel bank.

During a flood survey made in 1972 on the Sagavanirktok River at Franklin Bluffs downstream from the gage, good high-water marks were found 2-3 ft above the tops of the main channel banks at elevations documented by photographs in July 1961 (Childers, Sloan, and Heckel, 1973) of an extreme flood caused by intense rainfall. The level of the 100-year flood at the flood-prone area site near Pump Station 3 was estimated as 3-4 ft above the top of the channel bank.

A flood and channel overbank survey of the Sagavanirktok River site was made June 25-27, 1979, by the authors. The east streambank of the Sagavanirktok River in the vicinity of Pump Station 3 is steep and well defined and is not subject to overbank flooding. Evidence of the 1977 flood peak was found along the west bank of the main channel. Evidence of the 1979 peak was found also at levels about 3 ft lower than the 1977 peak. Several cross sections were surveyed perpendicular to the river. They were used to estimate the 100-year flood elevations on the ground and also were used to delineate the flood prone boundaries shown on the aerial photography (figs. 3 and 4).

The Sagavanirktok River maintains its split-channel character even at high flows. The photos show overflow channels within the vegetated flood plain (gray areas). These channels can carry flows down the flood plain from overflow points far upstream. Structures such as road fills or pads that encroach on these overflow channels can cause local flood levels several feet higher than the base (100-year) flood level for the main channel. The gradient (slope=.0035 foot per foot) of the Sagavanirktok River and the ponding effect of structures which dam the overflow streams can back water up to the point where overflow occurs (Loeffler and Childers, 1977).

Extensive icings have occurred in the main channel of the Sagavanirktok and Ribbon Rivers in the vicinity of Pump Station 3. Although the maximum known flood discharge and stage was caused by rain during open-water channel conditions, flood stages can also occur when the main channel is ice covered.

Middle Fork Koyukuk River at Coldfoot Camp

The Middle Fork Koyukuk River and its tributary, Slate Creek, border on the east and north, respectively, the vacant Alyeska Pipeline Camp and the site of the AOUT maintenance camp (fig. 5) at Coldfoot. Gaging station flood information is available for 9 years, 1968 and 1971-78, at the Haul Road bridge across the Middle Fork Koyukuk River near Wiseman, 13 mi upstream from Coldfoot. The maximum evident flood at the gage, for which the date is unknown, was about one-half foot higher

Figure 3.--Flood-prone area of Sagavanirktok River near Pump Station 3.

FIGURE 4.-- FLOOD PRONE AREA OF SAGAVANIRKTOK RIVER NEAR PUMP STATION 3.

PHOTOGRAPHY BY AIR PHOTO TECH, INC. JULY 12, 1977

EXPLANATION

Flood-prone area

Figure 4.--Flood-prone area of Sagavanirktok River near Pump Station 3.

Figure 5.--Location of flood-prone area photograph for Middle Fork Koyukuk River at Coldfoot Camp.

than the flood of 1977, which was the highest flood experienced during the period of gaging station operation. The log-Pearson Type III distribution of the annual series of flood events at the station resulted in a 100-year flood of 29,400 ft³/s (URC, 1977). An independent analysis using regional flood frequency techniques resulted in a 100-year discharge at the gage of 30,900 ft³/s (Lisle, 1979). The drainage area of the Middle Fork at Coldfoot is 1,610 mi² as compared to the drainage area at the gage of 1,326 mi². The 100-year flood discharge at Coldfoot was calculated as 43,000 ft³/s using techniques given in Lisle (1979). The 100-year flood elevation at the gage is about 1.4 ft higher than the most recent high peak (1977). These flood elevation relationships were transferred to the Coldfoot site; the 100-year flood elevation used was 1 ft higher than the maximum evident flood of 41.5 ft high at the 1977 peak. Channel elevations were considered to be similar at both sites. For hydrologic analysis, as required for State Creek,

On June 29, 1979, a flood and channel survey of the Middle Fork Koyukuk River and State Creek was made by the authors, H. H. Miller (1981), in the company of the authors and using the field-backed aerial photograph, to examine the area on June 30.

The channel bank on the east (Coldfoot) side of the Middle Fork is a steep cut bank. However, the banks of the 1977 flood are found on the vegetated flood plain terraces. Beyond the 1977 flood is a levee, but it was generally 0.5 to 1 ft lower than the previous cutoff flood. The elevations of the 100-year flood on the general level of the terrace of the levee flood could be completely established from this field evidence. The authors determined that water could flow in the low spots along the stream and side of the airstrip during the levee flood. Therefore, the built-up stage and side of the lower end of the airstrip is shown on the aerial photograph as the flood-plain limit. Several high spots remain on the airstrip and the river valley. The levee the water during the 100-year flood. No attempt was made to show these "islands"; they are usually the areas with the levee located at points of access.

The Middle Fork Koyukuk River bank is actively eroding at two locations. These high erosion risk areas were noted on the aerial photograph (Fig. 6). Lateral bank erosion along the Middle Fork Koyukuk River is highly unpredictable and can be significant during a flood or as a result of ice jamming. As much as 130 ft of lateral bank erosion occurred between 1971 and 1974 at a site 6 mi downstream from Coldfoot (Pyle and Childers, 1973).

Flood evidence on State Creek and Middle Fork Koyukuk was indicated that regional flood of 1977 occurred during a major peak. During a total flood was expected that channel incisions and bedrock churning were not significant on State Creek. However, log jamming during the 1977 on State Creek, a major flood and stages and accelerated bank erosion throughout the flood plain area.

State Creek is a meandering stream with a well defined channel. The banks indicated that the 1977 peak was within 0.3 to 1 ft of overtopping the vegetated flood plain at the outside of the meander bends at several places. There is some field evidence that the channel cutoff flood had been in the vegetated areas. The channel and flood plain borders parallel the general direction of the stream.

EXPLANATION [] Flood-prone area

Figure 6.--Flood-prone area of Middle Fork Koyukuk River at Coldfoot Camp.

The boundaries of the base flood could be marked on the aerial photograph along much of the reach studied by using the demarcation line between heavily vegetated areas and areas of more widely scattered spruce and underbrush.

An earth and rock dike has been constructed across an overbank area on Slate Creek upstream from the former pipeline camp to prevent flooding. Advantage had been taken also of a natural high ridge at the dike and downstream from the dike, paralleling Slate Creek, to protect an area that probably would have been flooded during extreme floods. A small channel (fig. 6) between the man-made dike and the natural high ridge may carry water during extreme floods.

The swampy area east of the airstrip is shown as being in the flood-prone area. This area probably would not be flooded at flood flows much lower than the base flood. However, high ground-water table levels could occur during floods on Middle Fork or Slate Creek and could result in an increase in the size of the water-covered portions of this swampy area.

Jim River near Pump Station 5

The Jim River is west of the site of the ADOT maintenance camp (fig. 7). Gaging station flood information is available for seven years, 1971-77, at a site 8.5 mi downstream from the maintenance camp. The maximum observed discharge for the period of gage record was 12,800 ft³/s on June 1, 1977. The maximum evident flood discharge determined at the gage was 21,000 ft³/s (Childers, 1974). The log-Pearson Type III distribution of the annual series of flood events at the gage was computed using the maximum evident flood of 21,000 ft³/s as the highest since 1966 or 1967. This resulted in a 100-year flood discharge of 26,000 ft³/s at the gage. The drainage area of the Jim River at the maintenance camp is 306 mi² as compared to the drainage area at the gage of 465 mi². The 100-year flood discharge at the maintenance camp was calculated as 19,000 ft³/s using the above value at the gage and techniques given in Lamke (1979).

The maximum evident flood discharge at Jim River bridge number 3, which is 7.7 mi upstream from the maintenance camp, was determined to be 13,000 ft³/s (Childers, 1972). The drainage area upstream from the bridge is 223 mi².

Large flood-plain icings form throughout the meandering and braided channels of the Jim River from about 1 mi downstream from Jim River bridge number 3 and extend downstream to the gage site in the canyon. Ice-jam flooding occurs frequently during spring breakup throughout this reach and is evidenced by the ice scars on the trees in the flood plain. Also, ice-rafted boulders and large deposits of gravel can be found resting on small trees and brush along the overbank flood plain. Channel bank erosion is evident in the study area and is caused by diversion of flows during ice and log jams.

Recent highwater marks, maximum evident floodmarks, and ice-jam scars in the study area were examined on July 2, 1979, by the authors and M. H. Kahler (ADOT). Ice scars were found on trees at elevations 2-3 ft higher than maximum evident floodmarks. Maximum evident floodmarks and ice scars of good quality were found along the overflow channels and upon the overbank floodways. The elevation of the

Figure 7.--Location of flood-prone area photograph for Jim River near Pump Station 5.

100-year flood discharge would be at about the level of the highest ice-jam scars. The flood-prone area for the Jim River site was delineated from maximum ice-jam flood evidence throughout the reach of the river studied (fig. 8).

The frequency of occurrence of an ice-jam flood comparable to the one that probably occurred in 1966 or 1967 is unknown. However, the maintenance camp would be flooded even at the lower stage of the maximum evident flood. Local flooding at the maintenance camp occurred in 1979 from a small-stream overflow. High ground-water table levels are likely to occur at the camp when the Jim River is at flood stage.

SELECTED REFERENCES

- Childers, J. M., 1972, Flood surveys along proposed TAPS route, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report (basic-data), 16 p.
- , 1974, Flood surveys along TAPS route, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report (basic-data), 16 p.
- Childers, J. M., Sloan, C. E., and Heckel, J. P., 1973, Hydrologic reconnaissance of streams and springs in Eastern Brooks Range, Alaska--July 1972: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report (basic-data), 25 p.
- Doyle, P. F. and Childers, J. M., 1975, Channel erosion surveys along TAPS route, Alaska, 1975: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, 95 p.
- Lamke, R. D., 1979, Flood characteristics of Alaskan streams: U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations 78-129, 61 p.
- Loeffler, R. M. and Childers, J. M., 1977, Channel erosion surveys along TAPS route, Alaska, 1977: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 78-611, 90 p.
- Scott, K. M., 1978, Effects of permafrost on stream channel behavior in Arctic Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1068, 19 p.
- U.S. Water Resources Council Hydrology Committee, 1977, Guidelines for determining flood-flow frequencies: U.S. Water Resources Council Bulletin 17A, 106 p.