

# **GEOCHEMICAL DATA FROM SAMPLES COLLECTED IN 2020 AND 2021 FOR THE WESTERN TANACROSS PROJECT, TANACROSS QUADRANGLE, ALASKA**

Alicja Wypych, Michelle M. Gavel, Travis J. Naibert, Dylan F. Avirett, Michael L. Barrera, Angela K. Hubbard,  
Rainer J. Newberry, Sean P. Regan, Evan Twelker, Alec D. Wildland, and W. Chris Wyatt

## **Raw Data File 2022-5**

This report has not been reviewed for technical content or for conformity to the editorial standards of DGGS.

2022  
STATE OF ALASKA  
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
DIVISION OF GEOLOGICAL & GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS



## **STATE OF ALASKA**

Mike Dunleavy, Governor

## **DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**

Corri A. Feige, Commissioner

## **DIVISION OF GEOLOGICAL & GEOPHYSICAL SURVEYS**

David LePain, State Geologist & Director

Publications produced by the Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys are available to download from the DGGs website ([dgggs.alaska.gov](https://dgggs.alaska.gov)). Publications on hard-copy or digital media can be examined or purchased in the Fairbanks office:

### **Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys (DGGs)**

3354 College Road | Fairbanks, Alaska 99709-3707

Phone: 907.451.5010 | Fax 907.451.5050

[dggspubs@alaska.gov](mailto:dggspubs@alaska.gov) | [dgggs.alaska.gov](https://dgggs.alaska.gov)

### **DGGs publications are also available at:**

Alaska State Library, Historical  
Collections & Talking Book Center  
395 Whittier Street  
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Alaska Resource Library and  
Information Services (ARLIS)  
3150 C Street, Suite 100  
Anchorage, Alaska 99503

### **Suggested citation:**

Wypych, Alicja, Gavel, M.M., Naibert, T.J., Avirett, D.F., Barrera, M.L., Hubbard, A.K., Newberry, R.J., Regan, S.P., Twelker, Evan, Wildland, A.D., and Wyatt, W.C., 2022, Geochemical data from samples collected in 2020 and 2021 for the Western Tanacross project, Tanacross Quadrangle, Alaska: Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys Raw Data File 2022-5, 3 p. <https://doi.org/10.14509/30844>



# **GEOCHEMICAL DATA FROM SAMPLES COLLECTED IN 2020 AND 2021 FOR THE WESTERN TANACROSS PROJECT, TANACROSS QUADRANGLE, ALASKA**

Alicja Wypych<sup>1</sup>, Michelle M. Gavel<sup>1</sup>, Travis J. Naibert<sup>1</sup>, Dylan F. Avirett<sup>2</sup>, Michael L. Barrera<sup>3</sup>, Angela K. Hubbard<sup>2</sup>, Rainer J. Newberry<sup>1</sup>, Sean P. Regan<sup>4</sup>, Evan Twelker<sup>1</sup>, Alec D. Wildland<sup>1</sup>, and W. Chris Wyatt<sup>1</sup>

## **INTRODUCTION**

During the 2021 field season, geologists from the Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys (DGGs) conducted 1:100,000-scale bedrock geologic mapping of ~2600 mi<sup>2</sup> (~6900 km<sup>2</sup>) within the Tanacross and Eagle quadrangles. The field areas for the Western Tanacross project are approximately 20 miles north and southwest of Tok. The project area is of current and historic interest for potential mineral resource development, including quartz vein gold (Au) mineralization, placer Au deposits, granite-hosted tin (Sn) mineralization, and intrusion-related copper (Cu)-Au deposits. Prospects in the region include Stibnite Creek, Tushtena, Taurus, and others. Much of the field area was mapped at 1:250,000 scale by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) in the 1960s (Foster, 1970). This project aims to produce more detailed and modern geologic maps and supporting datasets that will promote mineral resource exploration in eastern interior Alaska.

Highlights of this DGGs western Tanacross geochemical report include precise location and sampling of the Discovery Zone, Dave's Zone, Eagle, Mosquito, North Mosquito Flats, Peternie, North Peternie, Bush, Sixtymile Butte, and VABM West prospects. Discovery Zone samples returned the highest Au values: 21ET178–4.94 ppm Au; 21ET179–2.86 ppm Au, with arsenic (As) of 42,500 ppm and 21,900 ppm, respectively. Sample 21ET178 has also elevated silver (Ag) at 14.35 ppm. Three samples from Dave's Zone returned over 1 ppm Au values: 21ET170–1.77 ppm; 21ET171–1.51 ppm; and 21ET164–1.03 ppm. Similar to the Discovery zone, those samples have high As: 9,690 ppm, 7,880 ppm, and 3,110 ppm, respectively. Sample 21ET171 has over 27 ppm of Ag. An amphibolite sample from the southern part of the Western Tanacross project, just east from Robertson River has 0.015 ppm of palladium (Pd) accompanied by 0.0027 ppm of platinum (Pt).

The DGGs map area includes a section of pre-Mississippian to Permian metasedimentary and metaigneous rocks as well as Triassic to Paleogene intrusive and volcanic rocks. Major- and trace-element geochemistry was analyzed for metamorphic rocks to distinguish between igneous and sedimentary protoliths, and for igneous rocks to characterize and differentiate Mesozoic and Cenozoic magmatic events in the area.

---

<sup>1</sup> Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys, 3354 College Road, Fairbanks, Alaska 99709-3707

<sup>2</sup> Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys, 3651 Penland Parkway, Anchorage, AK 99508

<sup>3</sup> Department of Geosciences, University of Alaska, P.O. Box 755780, Fairbanks, AK 99775-5780

<sup>4</sup> Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska Fairbanks, 900 Yukon Drive, Fairbanks AK 99775

All the samples collected during this project, as well as laboratory sample rejects and pulps, will be stored at DGGS for the duration of the project and will be available for public viewing upon request. Once the project concludes, the samples and the pulps will be turned over to the Geological Materials Center in Anchorage.

## DOCUMENTATION OF METHODS

### Sample Collection

**Rock samples** were collected for two different purposes. First, samples of visibly mineralized or altered rock were preferentially collected and analyzed for trace-element geochemistry. Second, igneous and metaigneous rocks showing little alteration or weathering were collected for whole-rock major-oxide, minor-oxide, and trace-element analyses to aid in classification and study of petrogenesis and tectonic setting. Most samples are 'grab' samples, which were collected for their overall representation of the outcrop. However, a few samples are 'select' samples, which were more deliberately collected from a specific feature, as noted in the sample field description.

**Location data** were collected using GPS-enabled tablets and smartphones running the ESRI Collector App. Data were merged into an ArcGIS geodatabase. The devices have a reported error of about 10 m. Latitude and longitude are reported in the WGS84 datum.

### Sample Preparation

**Rock samples** were processed by ALS Geochemistry using their PREP-31 package. The samples were crushed to greater than 70 percent passing 2 mm, and a 250 g split was pulverized to greater than 85 percent passing 75 microns. Prior to crushing, samples for whole-rock analysis were trimmed by DGGS staff to remove weathering.

## ANALYTICAL METHODS

Samples were analyzed for a variety of suites of major and trace elements depending on the sample type. In addition to ALS Geochemistry's accredited (ISO/IEC 17025–2005) internal quality-control program, DGGS monitored analysis quality with one standard reference material per batch of 20 analyses.

- Whole-rock geochemistry samples and major- and minor- oxides were analyzed by lithium borate fusion digestion and ICP-AES (ALS Geochemistry method ME-ICP06). Trace elements, including rare-earth elements, were determined using lithium metaborate fusion digestion and ICP-MS (ALS Geochemistry method ME-MS81). Ag, Cd, Co, Cu, Li, Mo, Ni, Pb, Sc, and Zn were determined by four-acid digestion and ICP-AES (ALS Geochemistry method ME-4ACD81); and As, Bi, Hg, In, Re, Sb, Se, Te, and Tl were determined by aqua regia digestion followed by ICP-MS (ALS Geochemistry method ME-MS42). Total C and S were analyzed by Leco furnace (ALS Geochemistry methods C-IR07 and S-IR08, respectively). Pt, Pd and Au were determined by fire assay with ICP-MS finish (ALS Precious Metals method PGM-MS23).

- Major- and trace-element values for rock samples were determined by ALS Geochemistry method ME-MS61: Four-acid digestion followed by inductively-coupled plasma–atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) and inductively-coupled plasma–mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS); Au values were analyzed using flux digestion and fire assay and ICP-AES (ALS Geochemistry method Au-ICP21).
- Samples that exceeded detection limits for elements of interest were reanalyzed using specific elemental tests. Over-limit values for As and S were analyzed using four-acid digestion followed by inductively-coupled plasma–atomic emission spectrometry (ALS Geochemistry method ME-OG62).

For each sample, data tables contain either assay values or coded-value placeholders (null = not analyzed; -1 = the element's assay result is less than the lower detection limit for the method; -2 = the element's assay result is greater than the upper detection limit for the method). Detection limits for each of the reported elemental values obtained by the various methods are documented in the metadata file.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The DGGS Western Tanacross Project was funded by State of Alaska General Funds and the U.S. Geological Survey under Cooperative Agreement Number G20AC00156.

Disclaimer: The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the opinions or policies of the U.S. Geological Survey. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute their endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.

## **REFERENCES**

Foster, H.L., 1970, Reconnaissance geologic map of the Tanacross Quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map 593, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.