M.I.R.L. Report No. 64

PROPERTY OF ALASKA STATE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY LIBRARY

PETROLOGY OF CRETACEOUS COALS FROM NORTHERN ALASKA

FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT

September 1, 1981 to February 28, 1983

P. Dharma Rao Jane E. Smith

Mineral Industry Research Laboratory 212 O'Neill Resources Building University of Alaska Fairbanks, Alaska **997**01

March 15, 1983

Grant No. DE-FG22-80 PC 30237

U.S. Department of Energy Advanced Research Contracts Branch Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center Pittsburgh, PA

à

DISCLAIMER

"This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately-owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof".

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table	e of Contents	•		•	•		٠	•	•	i
List	of Plates	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•		iii
List	of Figures	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
List	of Tables	•			•	•			•	vi
Abst	ract	•			•	•	•	•		ix
Ackno	owledgments	•		•	•		•		•	x
Intro	oduction	•		•						1
Sampl	le Collection	•	• •	•						1
Cheni	ical Analysis of Samples	•		•	•	•		•	•	4
Previ	ious Investigations	•		٠	•					9
Labor	ratory Procedures	•			•			•		10
	Sample Preparation	•			•		•	•		10
	Characteristics of Macerals and Their Classifica	ti	on	•	•		•			11
Coal	Rank	•		•		•	•			13
	Corwin Bluff	•					•			13
	Cape Beaufort				•		•			34
	- Kukpowruk River						•			34
	West Utukok River	_								35
	Rokolik River	-		•	-	•	-			35
	Archimedes Ridge	•		•	•	•	•			36
	Flusive Creek	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•		36
	Contral litukok Divor	•		•	•	•	•	•		37
	Fact Ntukok Diver	•	• •	•	•	•	•	-	•	37
	Last other Atver	•	• •	•	•	•				37
		•								31

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

•	Tunalik Test Well	•	•	•			•		37
]	Kuk River	•		• •			•		38
:	Peard Bay Test Well	•	•	• •					38
:	East Simpson Test Wells			• •					40
1	Umiat		•	•					40
:	Ikpikpuk			•					40
	Conclusion on Determination of Rank of Exploration	ns	Sam	ple	es				40
Petro	logy of Various Localities			•					40
	Corwin Bluff								43
	Cape Beaufort								43
1	Kukpowruk River							•	44
7	West Utukok			•					45
	Kokolik River								45
	Archimedes Ridge								46
	Elusive Creek								46
	Central Utukok River								46
	East Utukok								47
:	Lookout Ridge		4						47
	Tunalik Test Well			1					47
	Kuk River	•							48
	Peard Bay Test Well	•							48
	East Simpson Test Well, Umiat and Ikpikpuk Coals	•				1			48
Trend	Surface Analysis			1					48
Refle	ctance and Microhardness of Macerals								49
Lique	faction Potential of Northern Alaska Coals								56
-									

TABLE OF CONTENES (continued)

Conclusions	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	57
References	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	٠	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	59

LIST OF PLATES

Page

Plate I-l	Auger sampling of coal seams by U.S. Geological Surveys crews in the National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska. Coal dug by ground squirrels may be seen in the foreground, and is used to locate outcrops hidden under the tundra
	from the air
Plate I-2	Leitz Orthoplan microscope with MPV-3 system 6
Plate II	Sporinite, cutinite and alginite in fluorescence 15
Plate III	Thick cutinite and sporinite in fluorescence
Plate IV	Exsudatinite and resinite
Plate V	Outinite, thick cutinite and resinite
Plate VI	Alginite, resinite and cutinite
Plate VII	Huminite and vitrinite
Plate VIII	Globular macrinite
Plate IX	Macrinite and globular macrinite
Plate X	Fusinite and semifusinite
Plate XI	Fusinite, pyrite and pseudovitrinite

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	1 - Major Coal Resource Areas in Alaska	2
Figure	2 - Generalized Facies Diagram of Cretaceous Rocks and Surficial Deposits in the Utukok-Corwin Region	3

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

			Page
Figure	3 -	Study Area Showing Locations of Enlarged Maps	5
Figure	4 -	Increase of Coal Rank with Depth, expressed as a Function of Carbon Content, Vitrinite Reflectance and Heating Value of Samples from Tunalik Test Well	39
Figure	5 -	Frequency Distribution of Reflectance for the Coals from Northern Alaska	41
Figure	6 -	Frequency Distribution of Vitrinite for the Coals from Northern Alaska	41
Figure	7 -	Frequency Distribution of Inertinites for the Coals from Northern Alaska	42
Figure	8 -	Frequency Distribution of Liptinites for the Coals from Northern Alaska	42
Figure	9 -	Diagram Showing the Ranges of Microhardness and Reflectance Value of Major Macerals in Twelve Selected Coals	51
Figure	10 -	Plot of Trend Surface Analysis of Mean Maximum Re- flectance of Vitrinite in Oil	52
Figure	11 -	Plot of Trend Surface Analysis of Concentration of Inertinite	53
Figure	12 -	Plot of Trend Surface Analysis of Concentration of Liptinite	54
Figure	13 -	Block Diagram of Principal Depositional Environments Influencing the Distribution of Coal Facies During Deposition of the Nanusbuk Group	55
Figure	14 -	Ternary Diagrams of the Three Maceral Groups and their Corresponding Macerals in the Corwin Bluff Coals	119
Figure	15 -	Ternary Diagrams of the Three Maceral Groups and their Corresponding Macerals in the Cape Beaufort Coals	120
Figure	16 -	Ternary Diagrams of the Three Maceral Groups and their Corresponding Macerals in the Kukpowruk	101
Figure	17 -	Ternary Diagrams of the Three Maceral Groups and their Corresponding Macerals in the Utukok River	121
		Coals	122

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Figu	ıre	18	-	Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups in the Rokolik River Coals
Figu	lre	19	-	Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups in the Archimedes Ridge Coals
Figu	ne	20	-	Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups in the West Utukok River Coals
Fig	lre	21	-	Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups in the Elusive Creek Coals
Figu	ıre	22	-	Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups in the Central Utukok River Coals
Fig	ıre	23	-	Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups in the Lookout Ridge Coals 125
Figu	ire	24	-	Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups in the Tunalik Test Well No. 1 Coals
Fig	ıre	25	-	Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups in the Kuk River Coals
Figu	ire	26	-	Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups in the Peard Bay Test Well Coals
Figu	Ire	27	-	Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups in the East Simpson Test Well No. 2 Coal, the Ikpikpuk Coal and the Umiat Coal
Figu	re	28	-	Location of Sampling Sites in the Corwin Bluff Area 128
Figu	ıre	2 9	-	Location of Sampling Sites in the Cape Beaufort Area 129
Figu	ıre	30	-	Location of Sampling Sites in the Kukpowruk River Area 130
Figu	ıre	31	-	Location of Sampling Sites in the West Utukok River Area 131
Figu	re	32	-	Location of Sampling Sites in the Kokolik River and Archimedes Ridge Areas
Figu	re	33	-	Location of Sampling Sites in the Elusive Creek and Central Utukok River Areas
Figu	ire	34	~	Location of Sampling Sites in the East Utukok River Area 134

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Page

Page

Figure 35 - Location of Sampling Sites in the Lookout Ridge Quadrangle	•	135
Figure 36 - Location of the Tunalik Test Well No. 1 Drill site	 -	136
Figure 37 - Location of Sampling Sites in the Kuk River Area		137
Figure 38 - Location of the Peard Bay Test Well Drill Site		138
Figure 39 - Location of the East Simpson Test Well No. 2 Drill Site .	 •	139
Figure 40 - Location of the Sampling Site in the Umiat Quadrangle		140
Figure 41 - Location of the Sampling Site in the Ikpikpuk Quadrangle .		141

LIST OF TABLES

Table	1 -	Comparison of Various Rank Parameters and Different Stages of Coalification According to ASIM Classifi-
Table	2 -	Maceral Classification for Northern Alaska Coals 12
Table	3 -	Maceral Reflectance and Vickers Microhardness Analyses for Representative Coal Samples
Table	4 -	Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Corwin Bluff Coals 61
Table	5 -	Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Cape Beaufort Coals 63
Table	6 -	Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Kukpowruk River Coals 66
Table	7 -	Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of West Utukok River Coals 67
Table	8 -	Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Kokolik River Coals 69
Table	9 -	Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Archimedes Ridge Coals 73
Table	10 -	Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Elusive Creek Coals 75
Table	11 -	Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Central Utukok River Coals
Table	12 -	Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of East Utukok River Coals

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

							Page
Table	30	-	Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinite in Tunalik Test well Coals	• •	•	•	98
Table	31	-	Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinite in Kuk River Coals				99
Table	32	-	Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinite in Peard Bay Test Well Coals		•	•	99
Table	33	-	Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinite in East Simpson Test Well Coal		•	•	100
Table	34	-	Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinite in Umiat Co	al	•	•	100
Table	35	-	Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinite in Ikpikpuk Coal				100
Table	36	-	Distribution of Macerals in Corwin Bluff Coals			•	101
Table	37	-	Distribution of Macerals in Cape Beaufort Coals			•	102
Table	38	-	Distribution of Macerals in Kukpowruk River Coals				104
Table	3 9	-	Distribution of Macerals in West Utukok River Coals .			•	105
Table	40	-	Distribution of Macerals in Kokolik River Coals		•		106
Table	41	-	Distribution of Macerals in Archimedes Ridge Coals .		•	•	108
Table	42	-	Distribution of Macerals in Elusive Creek Coals		•	•	109
Table	43	-	Distribution of Macerals in Central Utukok River Coals	•	•	•	112
Table	44	-	Distribution of Macerals in East Utukok River Coals .		•		113
Table	45	-	Distribution of Macerals in Lookout Ridge Coals		•	•	114
Table	4 6	-	Distribution of Macerals in Tunalik Test Well Coals .		•	•	115
Table	47	-	Distribution of Macerals in Kuk River Coals			•	116
Table	48	-	Distribution of Macerals in Peard Bay Test Well Coals		•	•	117
Table	49	-	Distribution of Macerals in East Simpson Test Well Coa	1.	•		118
Table	50	-	Distribution of Macerals in Umiat Coal		•		118
Table	51	_	Distribution of Macerals in Ikpikpuk Coal				118

viii

Abstract

Alaska has large coal resources and a major portion of these lie on the Arctic North Slope. A project was initiated with the support of the U.S. Department of Energy to conduct a reconnaissance petrological survey of the Northern Alaska field, in order to get a better idea of the potential for liquefaction of the coals. Approximately 300 samples of coals were collected from surface outcrops, diamond drill holes, auger holes, seismic shot holes and oil exploration test wells, from a 10,000 square mile area. A comparison of vitrinite reflectance with other analytical data showed that outcrop samples, auger hole samples and seismic shot hole samples from a depth less than 40 feet are oxidized, and vitrinite reflectance would be better criterion for rank determination than the other parameters. Coals from Tunalik test well gave a satisfactory correlation of moist, mineral-matter-free heating value with depth, whereas reflectance values showed wide scatter. The macerals counted for quantitative petrology were ulminite/vitrinite, pseudovitrinite, gelinite, phlobaphinite, pseudophlobaphinite, sporinite, resinite, cutinite, alginite, exsudatinite, thick cutinite, suberinite, other liptinites (including liptodetrinite) fusinite, semifusinite, macrinite, globular macrinite, inertodetrinite and sclerotinite.

Trend surface analysis showed that coals with the highest reflectance, lowest inertinite and highest liptinite lie in the foothills region. Some samples in the Cape Beaufort region had inertinite concentrations up to 50 percent. Reflectance and microhardness data are presented for various macerals for the twelve representative coals from the study area.

ìχ

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Mr. James E. Callahan who supplied the coal samples and to Dr. Ernest N. Wolff, Associate Director, M.I.R.L., for his active support of a coal research program. Special thanks are due to Carol Wells and Louella Finch for typing the manuscript. We are also grateful to the U.S. Department of Energy for providing financial support under grant No. DE-FG22-80PC30237 and to John C. Winslow, **Pr**oject Manager, Advanced Research Contracts Branch, Pittsburg Energy Technology Center. The authors are grateful to Dr. William Spackman, Dr. N.H. Bostick and Mr. James E. Callahan for their kind review and comments of the manuscript.

Introduction

This study is a reconnaissance petrological investigation of coals from the Northern Alaska coal field. Samples are taken from a 10,000 square mile area, and results represent samples from all regions. The principal objectives are:

- a. Determination of rank from vitrinite reflectance. The majority of samples are from outcrops and shallow drill holes and are subject to weathering.
- b. Determination of regional variation in rank in terms of vitrinite reflectance, indicative of regional tectonic settings.
- c. Evaluation of maceral composition to delineate seams rich in reactive macerals that would make feed stock for liquefaction.
- Regional evaluation of concentrations of reactive and inert macerals in coals.
- e. Evaluation of coal petrology as an indicator of environments of coal desposition.
- f. Determination of the variability of reflectance and microhardness of the principal macerals within the same sample and between samples of varying rank as an aid in the interpretation of coal liquefaction yields.

Sample Collection

Coal in northern Alaska (Figure 1) occurs in two sedimentary rock sequences, the Nanushuk group of Early to Late Cretaceous age and the Colville group of Late Cretaceous age (Callahan 1980, Chapman and Sable 1960). Figure 2 is a generalized facies diagram of Cretaceous rocks in the Utukok-Corwin region by Chapman and Sable (1960).

Most of the samples used in this investigation were collected between 1967 and 1980 by the U.S. Geological Survey, Anchorage, under the direction of





Figure 2 Generalized Facies Diagram of Cretaceous Rocks and Surficial Deposits in the Utukok-Corwin Region (wavy lines represent unconformities). Chapman and Sable (1960), p. 69.

ω

Mr. James E. Callahan. The study area reaches from the foothills of the Delong Mountains to the Arctic Ocean. Most of the sampling locations lie between Kuk River and the Chukchi Sea, although a few are spread as far east as Umiat (Figure 3). There is no surface transportation and the helicopter was the principal access to the sampling locations. Methods of sample collection included: 1) sampling of surface outcrops, 2) diamond drill cores, 3) auger holes, 4) seismic shotholes drilled primarily for oil exploration programs and 5) oil exploration test wells.

Plate I-1 shows sampling by auger. Much of the terrain in the study area is gentle and the coal outcrops are hidden by tundra cover. The best observable evidence of outcrops is coal powder produced when ground squirrels dig into the coal seam for a home. Coal seams are preferred as they are easier to dig than associated rocks. The coal dust can be seen from a helicopter. Coal dug by ground squirrels may be seen in the foreground of the photograph (Plate I-1). The Auger holes are located close enough to the subcrop revealed by ground squirrels so that the auger can penetrate the total thickness of the seam. Maximum penetration depth for the auger is approximately 35 feet.

Chemical Analysis of the Coal Samples

Chemical analyses of most of the samples were done by the U.S. Dept. of Energy; much of the analytical data were published as U.S. Geological Survey open-file reports and publications (Callahan et. al, 1969, 1971, Callahan 1975, Callahan and Sloan 1978, Martin and Callahan 1978, and Callahan and Martin 1980). These are included here for the sake of completeness and ready availability for the user of this report (Tables 4 through 19). The data are recalculated and presented on an equilibrium moisture basis for proximate and ultimate analyses. Volatile matter and fixed carbon are presented on a dry,



Ċī

Plate I



1. Auger sampling of coal seams by U.S. Geological Surveys crews in the National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska. Coal dug by ground squirrels may be seen in the foreground, and is used to locate outcrops hidden under the tundra from the air.



2. Leitz Orthoplan microscope with MPV-3 system.

mineral-matter-free (Mm-free) basis, and heating value on a moist, mineralmatter-free basis, for ready comparison to ASTM rank parameters. Such comparisons are only feasible for fresh samples obtained from deep drill holes. Surface samples and certain auger samples and seismic shot holes too close to the surface (< 40') would likely have low heating value due to weathering. Reflectance would still be usable as a guide to rank for these coals, as it is generally accepted that vitrinite reflectance is influenced only to a minor extent by weathering, but severely effects the heating value of coals (Stach, 1982). Table I shows a comparison of various rank parameters and ASTM rank classification. This chart was prepared using Stach (1982), Tables 4 and 4a except mean reflectance values were converted to maximum values using ICCP handbook rank, Figure 4. It is of particular value as a guide for assigning apparent rank for weathered outcrop samples using vitrinite reflectance.

Rank	Vitrinite Reflectance Rmax oil %	Volatile Matter Dry,Mm free %	Heating Value Btu/lb. Moist, Mm free	Carbon Dry, Ash free %	Bed Moisture %
Peat Lignite	- 0.2			60	- 75
Sub- Bit. C Bit. A	0.4 0.6 0.7		8 300 9 500 10 500 11 500 13 000	- 71 - 77	25 8-10
High Vola Bituminou	-0.8 -0.9 -1.0 -1.1 -1.2	3 1 30			
Medium Volatile Bituminous	— 1.4 — 1.6 — 1.7			- 87	
Low Volatile Bituminous	-2.2	- 20 - 18 - 16 - 14			
Semi– Anthracite	2.8	- 12 - 10 - 8		 91	
Anthracite	— 3.0 6.0	- 6 - 4 - 2			
meta- Anthra		l			

Table 1 Comparison of Various Rank Parameters to a ASTM Rank Classification. Source: Coal Petrology, E. Stach (1982), p. 47 and ICCP handbook (1963), Rank Fig. 4.

Previous Investigations

Coal was mined in this region for whaling ships as early as 1879 (Schroder 1904, p. 109). A.J. Collier (1906) made a reconnaissance study of coastal coal bearing areas in 1904. Detailed exploration began after the establishment of naval petroleum reserve No. 4 (Now National Petroleum Reserve - Alaska) in 1923. Since then several U.S. Geological Survey field parties have worked in the region and have had their results published, such as Paige, Foran and Gilluly (1925), Smith and Mertie (1930). The most comprehensive description of the geology of the region was presented by Chapman and Sable (1960). Between 1966 and 1980 the U.S. Geological Survey and the U.S. Bureau of Mines undertook extensive investigation of the coal deposits of the region. These included sampling of coals from the Kukpowruk River exposures, Corwin Bluff, Lagoon, Ikikileruk Creek, Akulik Creek, and the Cape Beaufort region by drill holes and auger holes; and the Kuk, Utukok and Kokolik river regions by auger hole and shallow seismic shot holes. U.S. Bureau of Mines contributions included investigations by Tongues and Jolley (1947), drilling and sampling of coal beds by Warfield (1966) and by Warfield and Boley (1969). The 1972 drilling of Cape Beaufort coals was a collaborative effort of Callahan and Warfield, Earliest petrological investigations of Northern Alaska coals were done by Dutcher, Trotter and Spackman (1957). These included coals from Meade River, Kuk River and Umiat. Rao (1980) gave detailed petrology, mineralogy and chemistry of raw coals and float-sink products of 1972 Cape Beaufort drill samples, and Rao and Wolff (1980) gave data for certain raw coals including seams from Mead River and Wainwright and of the washability products.

Laboratory Procedures

Sample Preparation

Samples were crushed to minus 20 mesh and made into duplicate one-inch diameter pellets using epoxy binder. The pellets were polished using a 30 micron metal bonded diamond lap followed by a one micron and a .05 micron alumina slurry.

The reflectance of vitrinite (pseudovitrinite excluded) was determined using ASTM standard procedures, using an orthoplan microscope equipped with an MPV-3 photometry system, a peak reader and a motorized stage attachment (Plate I-2). In general a 5 um square sensing field was used for all macerals with the exception of cutinite where a rectangular aperture is used to fit the size and shape of the maceral in the field with the stage locked in one position. The illumination field was closed down to 130 um.

The maceral analyses of the samples were done by point counting duplicate pellets, using ASTM standard procedures. Normal incident light illumination was used for huminite and inertinite macerals. The pellets were again counted using blue-light excitation for the fluorescent liptinite macerals. The Leitz orthoplan microscope was equipped with an ultra-high pressure 100w mercury arc lamp, a heat absorbing filter, a red suppression filter (BG38), and a bluelight excitation filter (BG12), vertical illuminator fitted with a TK510 dichroic beam splitter, followed by a suppression filter (K530).

Vicker's microhardness was determined using a Leitz Microhardness Tester. A weight of 50 pounds was used for all measurements.

Photographs of the samples were taken using a Leitz vario-orthomat photomicrography system, using a 35 mm camera with Tri-x pan film for black and white and Ecktachrome 400 film for color photography of fluorescent macerals.

A 50x oil objective was used for most of the photographic work and a 20x oil objective was used in cases where larger structures needed to be presented.

Characteristics of Macerals and Their Classification

Coals in the study region range in rank from subbituminous B to high volatile A bituminous, with most of the samples falling within a vitrinite reflectance range of 0.50 to 0.90 (Figure 5). Of all the sixteen regions in the study area only two areas, i.e., Tunalik test well and Peard Bay test well sample contained subbituminous coals and samples from all other areas can be classified as bituminous coals. Even those coals that may be classed as bituminous from their vitrinite reflectances have recognizable phlobaphinite and suberinite (may be lacking fluorescence) usually associated with lignites and subbituminous coals. Petrological descriptions follow ICCP (1963, 71, 76) and Stach's textbook of Coal Petrology (1982) with a few exceptions as noted (Table 2).

Pseudovitrinite has all the characteristics outlined by Benedict and his collaborators (1968), and differs from vitrinite by its higher reflectance, slightly curved slit-like openings indicating the presence of cell structures, and the stepped boundaries of the grains.

In the inertinite group, macrinite occuring as rounded globules is significantly different from macrinite without a form. The globular material (Plate VIII-5) was counted separately, and is termed globular macrinite (Rao, 1980). In the liptinite group, exsudatinite, a highly fluorescent material, occurs as secondary fillings in fusinites (Plate IV-1), semifusinites, partings etc. In general, exsudatinite exhibited very bright fluorescence (in contrast to resinite) ranging from pale yellow to bright gold or orange gold. Resinites on the other hand had duller brown to yellowish brown fluorescence (Plate IV-6). The samples in the study area had a significant concentration



Maceral Class Maceral Maceral Maceral Class for this study Group Group for this study sporinite fusinite resinite semifusinite exsudatinite 3 inertinite liptinite macrinite 1 cutinite globular thick cutinite 4 macrinite 2 alginite inertodetrinite other liptinite 5 sclerotinite suberinite micrinite

- Macrinite occurs as amorphous gelified material binding such macerals as sporinite enclosed within it. Macrinite can also occur as isolated angular or rounded particles with irregular shapes and distinct boundaries.
- 2 Globular macrinite occurs as isolated spherical particles or as an agglomeration of particles, that are usually associated with vitrinite and frequently display oxidation rims, dessication cracks or differential compaction. They are also associated with semifusinite and can be found filling cell lumens.
- 3 Exsudatinite occurs as fillings of small cracks or partings within the bedding planes of the vitrinite, or as cell lumen fillings in semifusinite and fusinite. Exsudatinite in fluorescence light exhibits a variety of color from pale yellow to a bright gold or an orange gold.
- 4 Thick cutinite occurs as wide, banded lenses with thick cuticular ledges, and are usually strongly folded. Some thick cutinite exhibits multiple layers. In fluorescent light thick cuticles emmit a bright yellow color similar to the color of fluorescing alginite.
- **5** Other liptinites include liptodetrinites and other liptinitic materials such as waxes, fats and oils that cannot be identified under one of the other liptinite classes.

of thick walled cutinite that is considerably thicker (approximately 20 to 30 microns) than normal thin walled cutinite (1-2 microns thick). These were termed thick cutinite. They had very bright yellow fluorescence (Plate III-2) similar in visual color and intensity to alginite (Plate II-8). Reflectance of this thick cutinite has been found to be considerably lower than that of normal cutinite and is comparable to the reflectance of alginite. For example, for sample SS-67-10 from Kukpowruk, reflectance of the three macerals were: cutinite, 0.3 percent, thick cutinite, 0.1 percent and alginite, 0.08 percent (Table 3). All material counted as suberinite did not show fluorescence and the classification was based on morphology. Any fluorescing material that could not be classified under any of the liptinite macerals is grouped under the category "other liptinite". Due to lack of fluorescence spectral data, positive identification of fluorinite and bituminite could not be made and are probably counted under exsudatinite or other liptinite group.

Coal Rank

Corwin Bluff

Analyses of Corwin Bluff coals are shown in Table 4. Sample no's. SS 70-17 thru 33L are from the Thetis mine and samples SS 70-73 thru 88 are from the Corwin mine. All samples were from surface outcrops and the heating values would not truly reflect the rank of the coal. The highest moist, Mm-free heating value of this group of samples is 13,197 Btu/1b obtained for SS 70-W73. This sample had a free swelling index (F.S.I.) of 1.5 and was the only sample in this group that showed agglomeration. Mean maximum reflectance of vitrinite in oil (Vmoil) is shown in Table 20, indicating that the coals range in apparent rank from high volatile C to B bituminous. Sample 70-W73 has a reflectance of .69%, which clearly places it in high volatile B bituminous

Plate II

Sporinite, cutinite and alginite in fluorescence

- 1. Sporinite (s) embedded in vitrinite (v). Cape Beaufort coal (UA 87).
- 2. Same as 1., but taken under blue light excitation.
- 3. Cutinite (c) and sporinite (s) in vitrinite (v). West Utukok River coal (630 SP 413).
- 4. Same as 3., but taken under blue light excitation.
- 5. Cutinite (c), sporinite (s) and inertodetrinite (i) in vitrinite (v). Rukpowruk River coal (SS 67-8).
- 6. Same as 5., but taken under blue light excitation.
- 7. Alginite (a) in vitrinite (v). Cape Beaufort coal (UA 83).
- 8. Same as 7., but taken under blue light excitation.
- 9. Alginite (a) in vitrinite (v). Cape Beaufort coal (UA 87).
- 10. Same as 9., but taken under blue light excitation.

Photomicrographs 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 taken in normal incident light, oil immersion, width of field 140 microns.

Plate II Sporinite, cutinite and alginite in fluorescence



Plate III

Thick cutinite and sporinite in fluorescence

- Sporinite (s) derived from megaspore exine in vitrinite (v) with embedded sporinite (s). Kukpowruk River coal (SS 67-5).
- 2. Same as 1., but taken under blue light excitation.
- 3. Thick cutinite (tc) in vitrinite (v). Kukpowruk River coal (SS 67-8).
- 4. Same as 3., but taken under blue light excitation.
- Thick cutinite (tc) showing thickened "intercellular" projections and vitrinite (v). West Utukok River coal (R5XN SP368).
- 6. Same as 5., but taken under blue light excitation.
- Thick cutinite (tc) showing thickened "intercellular" projections and vitrinite (v). Kukpowruk River coal (SS 67-10).
- 8. Same as 7., but taken under blue light excitation.
- 9. Thick cutinite (tc) in vitrinite (v). Elusive Creek coal (AH 37-78 upper).
- 10. Same as 9., but taken under blue light excitation.

Photomicrographs are taken in normal incident light, oil immersion. Width of field, Figures 3 - 350 microns, Figures 1, 5, 7, 9 - 140 microns.

Plate III Thick cutinite and sporinite in fluorescence



Plate IV

Exsudatinite and resinite

- Exsudatinite (e) filling cell lumens in semifusinite (sf) from Corwin Bluff coal (SS 70-32B)
- 2. Same as 1., but taken under blue light excitation.
- 3. Fusinite (f) cell lumens filled by vitrinite (v) and exsudatinite (e), vitrinite with sporinite (s) and inertodetrinite (i). Archimedes Ridge coal (133X SP 431).
- 4. Same as 3., but taken under blue light excitation.
- 5. Resinite (r) and vitrinite (v) from Kukpowruk River coal (SS 67-9).
- 6. Same as 5., but taken under blue light excitation.
- Exsudatinite (e) in ulminite (u) from Peard Bay Test Well (135-145').
- 8. Same as 7., but taken under blue light excitation.
- 9. Resinite (r) and exsudatinite (e) in vitrinite (v) from West Utukok River coal (R 5XN SP 340).
- 10. Same as 9., but taken under blue light excitation.

Photomicrographs (1, 3, 5, 7, 9) taken in normal incident light, oil immersion, width of field 140 microns.

Plate IV Exsudatinite and resinite



Plate V

Cutinite, thick cutinite and resinite

- 1. Sporinite (s) and inertodetrinite (i) and micrinite (mi) in vitrinite (v). Kukpowruk River coals (SS 67-4).
- 2. Cutinite (c), corpocollinite (cc) in vitrinite (v). Cape Beaufort coal (UA 82).
- 3. Cutinite (c) with long cuticular ledges and sporinite (s) and liptodetrinite (lp) in vitrinite (v). West Utukok River coal (630 SP 413).
- 4. Cutinite (c) and sporinite (s) in vitrinite (v). Lookout Ridge coals (R7XN SP 488).
- Semifusinite (sf), macrinite (m), inertodetrinite (i) sporinite (s), cutinite (c), and resinite (r). East Simpson test well #2 (87343-45').
- 6. Corpocollinite (cc), desmocollinite (dc) and sporinite (s). Lookout Ridge coal (R 7XN SP 531).
- Thick cutinite (tc) in vitrinite (v) with inertodetrinite
 (i) and resinite (r). Kukpowruk River coal (SS 67-8).
- 8. Thick cutinite (tc) in ulminite (u). Corwin Bluff coal (SS 70-32B).
- 9. Resinite (r) in ulminite (u) with sporinite (s). Corwin Bluff coal (SS 70-30D).
- Resinite (r) in ulminite (u) with sporinite (s). Corwin Bluff coal (SS 70-32C).

Photomicrographs are taken in normal incident light, oil immersion, width of field, Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 - 140 microns, Figures 7, 8, 9, 10 - 350 microns.

Plate V Cutinite, thick cutinite and resinite



Plate VI

Alginite, resinite and cutinite

- 1. Alginite (a) embedded in vitrinite (v) with semifusinite (sf) showing differential compaction. Central Utukok River coal (725 SP 230).
- 2. Alginite (a) embedded in vitrinite (v). Elusive Creek coal (AH 37-78 upper).
- 3. Alginite (a), sporinite (s) and inertodetrinite (i) in ulminite (u). Kuk River coal (712 SP 11).
- 4. Alginite (a), sporinite (s) and sclerotinite (sc) embedded in vitrinite. Central Utukok River coal (725 SP 230).
- 5. Thick cutinite (tc) embedded in vitrinite (v). Cape Beaufort coal (AH 73-29).
- Thick cutinite (tc) and sporinite (s) embedded in vitrinite (v). Elusive Creek coal (78-35).
- Thick cutinite (tc), resinite (r), and inertodetrinite (i) in vitrinite. Kokolik River coal (AH 21-78).
- Thick cutinite (tc), and cutinite (c) in vitrinite (v). Cape Beaufort coal (AH 73-29).
- Sporinite (s) in vitrinite (v). Central Utukok River coal (725 SP 230).
- 10. Sporinite (s) and inertodetrinite (i) in vitrinite (v). Archimedes Ridge coal (137X SP 666).

Photomicrographs are taken in normal incident light, oil immersion, width of field 140 microns.

Plate VI Alginite, resinite and cutinite



Plate VII

Huminite and Vitrinite

- 1. Ulminite (u) showing higher reflecting cell walls while cell lumens are filled with lower reflecting corpohuminite. Peard Bay test well coal (190-200').
- 2. Ulminite (u), corpocollinite (cc) and sporinite (s) in vitrinite (v). West Utukok River coal (725 SP 135).
- 3. Telinite (t) with cell lumens filled with low reflecting corpocollinite (cc). Cape Beaufort coal (DH 72-11).
- 4. Vitrinite (v) and low reflecting corpocollinite (cc). Elusive Creek coal (78-35).
- Inertodetrinite (i) and sporinite (s) in ulminite (u) and a stem or root section showing corpohuminite (ch) cell fillings and subernite (sb) cell walls. Corwin Bluff coal (SS 70-W80).
- Corpohuminite (ch) cell fillings and suberinite (sb) cell walls. Tunalik test well coal (225-735').
- 7. Corpocollinite (cc) and suberinite (sb) in vitrinite (v). Elusive Creek coal (78-35).
- Corpocollinite (cc) and suberinite (sb) in vitrinite (v). Kokolik River coal (AH-21-78).
- 9. Corpohuminite (ch) and porigelinite (pg) cell fillings and suberinite (sb) cell walls. Tunalik test well coal (225-735').
- Gelocollinite (gc) and corpocollinite (cc). Kokolik River coal (AH-1-79).

Photomicrographs taken in normal incident light, oil immersion, width of field. Fig. 5 is 350 microns and all others are 140 microns.
Plate VII Huminite and vitrinite



Plate VIII

Globular macrinite

- Semifusinite (sf) of varying reflectances and vitrinite (v). Cape Beaufort coal (UA 82).
- Semifusinite (sf) and vitrinite (v) with sporinite (s) and inertodetrinite (i). Central Utukok River coal (725 SP 222).
- 3. Macrinite (m) and vitrinite (v) with sporinite (s) and thick cutinite (tc). Central Utukok River coal (725 SP 222).
- 4. Macrinite (m) and vitrinite (v) (showing differential compaction) with sporinite (s) and thick cutinite (tc). Central Utukok River coal (632 SP 383).
- Macrinite (m) in vitrinite (v) showing differential compaction and displaying concentric growth rings. Central Utukok River coal (632 SP 383).
- 6. Macrinite (m) in vitrinite (v). Cape Beaufort coal (AH 73-29).
- 7. Globular macrinite (gm) and porigelinite (pg) with semifusinite (sf). Ruk River coal (712 SP 11).
- 8. Globular macrinite (gm) with oxidation rims and dessication cracks. Central Utukok River coal (725 SP 216).
- 9. Globular macrinite (gm) in ulminite (u). Kuk River coal (703 SP 98).
- Globular macrinite (gm) showing differential compaction. Elusive Creek coal (137X SP 808).

Photomicrographs taken in normal incidents light, oil immersion, width of field 140 microns.

Flate VIII Glob lar macrinite



Plate IX

Macrinite and globular macrinite

- Vitrinite (v) with inertodetrinite (i) macrinite (m), corpocollinite (cc) and sporinite (s). Central Utukok River coal (632 SP 383).
- Vitrinite (v) with inertodetrinite (i), macrinite (m), sporinite (s) and corpocollinite (cc). Central Utukok River coal (725 SP 222).
- 3. Ulminite (u) with dessication cracks. Peard Bay test well coal (345-350').
- 4. An agglomerate of globular macrinite (gm) with mineral matter (mm). Cape Beaufort coal (UA 84).
- 5. Semifusinite (sf) with globular macrinite (gm) and vitrinite (v) with sporinite (s) and inertodetrinite (i). Kokolik River coal (AH 21-78).
- Vitrinite (v) with thick cutinite (tc), sporinite (s), exsudatinite (e) and inertodetrinite (i). Central Utukok River coal (632 SP 383).
- 7. Fusinite (f) and globular macrinite (gm). Tunalik Test Well coal (225-735').
- 8. Fusinite (f), globular macrinite (gm) and gelocollinite (gc). Cape Beaufort coal (AH 73-6).
- Macrinite (m), gelocollinite (gc), corpocollinite (cc) inertodetrinite (i) and sporinite (s). Elusive Creek coal (632 SP 321).
- Globular macrinite (gm) of varying reflectances with gelocollinite (gc) exsudatinite (e), sporinite (s) and corpocollinite (cc). Central Utukuk River coal (632 SP 447).

Photo micrographs taken in normal incident light, oil immersion, width of field 140 microns.

Plate IX Macrinite and globular macrinite



Plate X

Fusinite and semifusinite

- 1. Semifusinite (sf) from Kokolik River coal (AH-21-78)
- Semifusinite (sf) with gelocollinite (gc). Elusive Creek coal (605 SP 331).
- 3. Semifusinite (sf) with gelocollinite (gc). West Utukok River coal (R5XN SP 368).
- 4. Vitrinite (v) with semifusinite (sf), sporinite (s) and exsudatinite (e). Central Utukok River coal (725 SP 222).
- 5. Fusinite (f) with exsudatinite (e). Archimedes Ridge coal (133 SP 431).
- 6. Fusinite (f) with exsudatinite (e). Kokolik River coal (AH 21-78).
- 7. Fusinite (f) with bogen structure and exsudatinite (e). Elusive Creek coal (137X SP 745).
- Semifusinite (sf) and fusinite (f) with bogen structure. Lookout Ridge coal (R7XN SP 531).
- 9. Fusinite (f) and semifusinite (sf). Cape Beaufort coal (UA 84).
- Semifusinite (sf) and exsudatinite (e). Central Utukok River coal (725 SP 216).

Photomicrographs taken in normal incident light, oil immersion, width of field 140 microns.

Plate X Fusinite and semifusinite





Plate XI

Fusinite, pyrite and pseudovitrinite

- Ulminite (u) cell walls altered to form micrinite like material, some of the cell lumens are filled with yellow fluoresing exsudatinite (e). Kuk River coal (602 SP 152).
- Fusinite (f) with bogen structure and exsudatinite (e). Kukpowruk River coal (SS 67-9).
- 3. Semifusinite (sf), globular macrinite (gm) and exsudatinite (e) filling cell lumens. Elusive Creek coal (632 SP 321).
- 4. Vitrinite (v) and non-fluoresing mineral matter (mm). Cape Beaufort coal (UA 84).
- 5. Pyrite (py) and sporinite (s) in vitrinite (v). West Utukok River coal (R5XN SP 368).
- Globular sclerotinite bodies (sc) with mineral matter (mm) filling cell lumens and vitrinite (v). Kukpowruk River coal (SS 67-9).
- 7. Semifusinite (sf) with exsudatinite (e) filling cell lumens and cracks in vitrinite (v). Cape Beaufort coal (DH 72-11).
- 8. Mineral matter (mm) in semifusinite (sf), ulminite (u) and pseudophlobaphinite (pp). Corwin Bluff coal (SS-70-32B).
- 9. Pseudovitrinite (pv) with serated edges and slits. Cape Beufort (UA 83).
- Pseudovitrinite (pv) showing higher reflectance than the associated vitrinite (v) with sporinite (s). Cape Beaufort coal (UA 83).

Photomicrographs taken in normal incident light, oil immersion, width of field, Figures 9, 10 - 350 microns, all others 140 microns.

Plate XI Fusinite, pyrite and pseudovitrinite



rank. Other coals with reflectance less than .6% would be high volatile C bituminous rank. Samples from the Corwin mine, (SS 70-W73 to 88) were slightly lower in rank compared to those from the Thetis mine (SS W17 to 33L) (Figure 28).

Cape Beaufort

Proximate and ultimate analyses of Cape Beaufort (Figure 29) coals are shown in Table 5. Vitrinite reflectance (Vmoil) values are shown in Table 21. The reflectance values seem to indicate that the coals range in rank from high volatile B to A bituminous. The moist, Mm-free heating values do not reflect this. All the samples reported here (Except DH 72-11) were obtained by auger holes. Since the maximum depth to which the auger holes can be drilled is limited to about 35 feet and weathering effects the heating value of coals down to a depth of 25' to 30', it is best to preclude the use of heating value of shallow samples as a parameter for rank determination of the deeper parts of the coal (Callahan, 80, p. 49-51). Analyses of samples obtained during the 1972 drilling program (Callahan, 80) gave moist, Mm-free heating value (for low ash float products) were mostly in excess of 14,000 Btu/lb or slightly lower (Rao, 80) indicating that the general rank of these coals was at the border of high volatile B and A rank. The reflectance values (Table 21) ranging from 0.61 to 0.87 percent appear to support this.

Kukpowruk River coal

Proximate and ultimate analyses of Kukpowruk coals (Figure 30) are presented in Table 6. Table 22 shows vitrinite reflectance values. Samples SS 67-1, 2, and 3 were obtained from a tunnel and the remaining were surface samples. The tunnel samples had moist, mm-free heating values comparable to drill samples of the same seam and were the least weathered of the group.

Only one sample had a heating value high enough to be classed as high volatile A bituminous. The reflectance values, however, indicate that samples SS 67-1 through 8 are probably equivalent to high volatile A bituminous, whereas samples located further north i.e., SS 67-9 through 12 would more likely be of high volatile B bituminous rank (Figure 30).

West Utukok River Coals

Most of the coals in this group were collected during drilling for seismic shot holes (Figure 31). The samples were separated at 1.62 specific gravity to remove extraneous rock particles (Callahan, 80, p. 49) the depth of the sample could vary from a few feet below the surface to as much as 100 feet. The coals sampled along seismic line 725 (Figure 31) are of lower rank, high volatile C bituminus. The coals sampled further south, along seismic line 5 X N are of high volatile B to A bituminous rank (Reflectance, Table 23). Only one sample in this group showed heating value in excess of 14,000 Btu/lb. Even the shot hole samples seemed to have suffered loss of heating values due to weathering. Sample depth interval data for most of the samples in this group, which would have served as a guide for assessment of weathering effects, are not available.

Kokolik River Coals

Sampling of this group of coals was done both by auger holes and seismic shot holes (Figure 32 upper half). Sample UA-126 was a channel sample of an outcrop on Kokolik River. Many of the samples from seismic shot holes gave moist, Mm-free heating values in excess of 14,000 Btu/1b; Auger hole and outcrop samples, however, generally ranged between 10,500 to 12,000 Btu/1b with only a few samples exceeding this value (Table 8) indicating that weathering significantly effects the coal samples obtainable by auger holes. Vitrinite reflectance (Table 24) showed a majority of the sample would

probably be high volatile B bituminous rank. Sample UA-126, a surface sample collected by the senior author, is clearly high (0.96% \bar{R} max) compared to others in the region.

Archimedes Ridge

Table 9 shows proximate and ultimate analyses of samples from Archimedes Ridge (Figure 32, lower half). Samples designated with prefix G, the surface samples, had moist, Mm-free heating values ranging from 11,222 to 13,826 Btu/lb. However several seismic shot hole samples had higher than 14,000 Btu/lb. Reflectance values of these coals (Table 25) clearly place the majority of them in high volatile A bituminous rank. The coals in this region had the highest reflectance rank of all the coals in the study area (East Simpson test well excluded). In the axial zone of Archimedes Ridge Anticline and close to the sampling location there are several folds, the limbs of which generally dip 15° but in place dip as much as 35°. There are areas where the limbs dip as much as 85° indicating possible faulting (Chapman and Sable, 1960, p. 140).

Elusive Creek

The Elusive Creek coals were sampled both from seismic shot holes and auger holes (Figure 33). Reflectance rank (Table 26) indicates that most of the coals fall in high volatile B bituminous rank. A few coals had higher or lower rank than this. Analysis of the coal data presented in Table 10 shows that seismic shot hole samples generally agree with this conclusion, with moist, ash-free heating values exceeding 13,000 Btu/lb. and in cases exceeding 14,000 Btu/lb. Shot hole samples collected from shallow depths, i.e., samples 605 SP 307 and 605 SP 342 gave heating values less than 12,000 Btu/lb. The

same is true for most of the auger hole samples. Sample UA-125 was a channel sample of an outcrop on Elusive Creek.

<u>Central Utukok River Coals</u>

Most of the samples in this group are located along the seismic line 632 (Figure 33). There is a general trend of decreasing reflectance (Table 27) northward and a range in rank from high volatile A to B bituminous. Only a few of the samples in this group showed heating values greater than 13,000 Btu/lb. (Table 11) indicating the greater effects of weathering on these samples.

East Utukok_River_Coals

Two samples, R3XNSP 390 and 397 located near the northeast corner of Figure 34 appear to be of fairly low rank. Reflectance data (Table 28) suggest subbituminous A rank while analytical data (Table 12) suggest even lower rank, subbituminous C. The low heating values are attributable to abnormally high equilibrium moisture, and the suggested rank for these two coals is subbituminous A to high Volatile C bituminous.

Lookout Ridge

All the samples were collected along the seismic line R7XN (Figure 35). Samples at the southern end of the line are of high volatile B bituminous rank (Table 13). The rank decrease northward very rapidly to subbituminous A at the northern end of the seismic line. Reflectance data are presented in Table 29.

<u>Tunalik Test Well</u>

Moist, Mm-free heating values show a gradual increase with depth. Heating values increase from 10,134 Btu/lb for sample at depth interval 220' to 300', to 12,209 Btu/lb for the deepest sample collected between 2,580-

2,600' (Table 14). Vitrinite reflectance also showed a trend of general increase in reflectance with depth (Table 30). The rank increased from subbituminous B for the sample closest to the surface to high volatile C at a depth of 2,600'.

Figure 4 shows increase of rank with depth, expressed as a function of carbon content, vitrinite reflectance and heating value of samples from the Tunalik test well. Beating values showed excellent correlation with depth (r = 0.98). Carbon content was a fairly good indicator. Reflectance was least satisfactory, and illustrates the need to develop alternate petrographic criteria for determining rank of outcrop samples.

<u>Kuk River</u>

Kuk River coals (Figure 37) are generally of low ash (Table 15). Although the two available heating values indicate the rank to be subbituminous B, reflectance seems to indicate that all coals sampled are of subbituminous A rank (Table 31).

Peard Bay Test Well

Samples of coal from the Peard Bay Test Well (Figure 38) were obtained to a depth of 800'. Heating values showed a gradual increase with depth (Table 16) whereas vitrinite reflectance (Table 32) failed to show a similar trend. Reflectance values ranged from 0.51 to 0.58 percent. This shows, at least in this case, the superiority of heating value over vitrinite reflectance as an indicator of the diagenetic stage of coal. This supports views generally held on this subject and underlines the need to develop alternate petrographic criteria such as fluorescence spectra of liptinite, in order to be able to accurately evaluate the diagenesis of weathered low rank coals from petrographic data.



Figure 4 Increase of Coal Rank with Depth, expressed as a Function of Carbon Content, Vitrinite Reflectance and Heating Value of Samples from Tunalik Test Weil.

Moist, MM Free Heating Value, 1000x Btu/lb

East Simpson Test Wells

The coal sample from this test well (Figure 39) was very high in ash (Table 17) and ASTM rank determination from such analytical data would not be accurate. Reflectance value of 1.11 percent (Table 33) places the rank of this coal at the border between high volatile A bituminous and medium volatile bituminous.

<u>Umiat</u>

The coal sample from Umiat (Figure 40) is high volatile B bituminous in rank, as indicated by its heating value (Table 18) as well as reflectance (Table 34).

Ikpikpuk

Coal from Ikpikpuk (Figure 41) is of lower rank than Umiat coal and is of subbituminous B rank. Reflectance data are presented in Table 35.

Conclusions on Determination of Rank of Exploration Samples

Outcrop samples, auger hole samples (maximum depth 35' from surface) and seismic shothole samples from less than 40' depth are liable to be oxidized, and heating values of such coals cannot be used for rank determination. In such cases vitrinite reflectance was found to be the most suitable criterion for rank determination. For low rank coals (R max oil <0.6) vitrinite reflectance was inadequate to accurately define rank. For weathered surface samples reflectance is still the best available means for rank.

Petrology of Various Localities

Petrographic characteristics of Northern Alaska coals are summarized in Figures 5, 6, 7 and 8, as histograms showing the frequency distributions of vitrinite reflectance and the concentrations of maceral groups, vitrinite, inertinite and liptinite. Most of the samples lie within a reflectance range











(R max) of 0.51 to 0.87 with 0.69 to 0.71 as modal values. The frequency distribution of vitrinite concentrations (Figure 6) shows positive skewness, indicative of the general observation that these coals more often have high concentrations of vitrinites. The frequency distributions of inertinite (Figure 7) and liptinite (Figure 8) display negative skewness showing that these two maceral groups tend to have lower concentrations in the coals studied. Excluding statistical outliers, inertinite ranges up to 38 percent and liptinite ranges up to 10.5%. The modal value for inertinite is 8 to 10% and for liptinite, 4 to 4.5%. These histograms present a generalized picture of the entire population studied. A more specific discussion of the characteristics of individual localities will follow.

Corwin Bluff

Corwin Bluff coals are quite variable in petrology (Table 36). Concentration of inertinite macerals ranged from a low of 1.9 percent to a high of 36.1 percent. Liptinite macerals varied similarly from a low of 1.5 percent to a high of 10.0 percent. High inertinite concentrations are found in SS 70-31, 32 and 33U while liptinite concentrations varied from a high of 10 percent for sample no. SS 70-28 to a low of 1.5 percent for SS 70-33M.

Cape Beaufort

Coals from this region have been the most thoroughly investigated of all the coals in the study area. The coals in general are high in inerts (Table 36, Figure 14). Semifusinite is the principal inertinite maceral in these coals. Most samples contain significant amounts of fusinite, macrinite and inertodetrinite. Samples that are low in semifusinite have high concentrations of psuedovitrinite. Exinite concentration in these coals is characteristically low, ranging from none (< .1%) to a high of 5.2 percent.

These coals are particularly high in macrinites. Several samples had significantly high concentrations of globular macrinite (5.9 percent in sample AH-73-31 A). This sample also had the highest concentration of inertodetrinite (15.7%). High inertinite, particularly fusinite, is indicative of charring, oxidation, mouldering and fungal attack of plant material before deposition, and the presence of macrinite signifies the oxidation effects upon a strongly gelified plant material (Stach, 1982, p. 281).

Kukpowruk River Coals

A 21 foot coal seam on Kukpowruk river has been the subject of intensive study. First investigation of coals in this region was undertaken by J.S. Robbins and Associates, Inc., for Morgan Coal Company. They drove a 70 foot adit and took a fairly large sample for testing. Morgan Coal Co. is still investigating the commercial feasibility of development of the deposit. Warfield et. al (1960) sampled the 21 foot coal seam in the adit and found that the top portion had poorer coking qualities compared to the bottom portion; this was attributed to the effects of weathering. Callahan et. al (1969) sampled the lower 5 ft. (SS-67-1), Middle 5 ft. (SS-67-2), and top 5 ft. (SS-67-3). Free swelling indices of the three samples were 6 for lower 5 feet, 2-1/2 for middle, 5' and 1-1/2 for top 5 ft. (Rao, 1975). It can be seen from figure 16 that the difference in free swelling properties is attributable to differences in the petrographic composition of the coals. Concentrations of inertinite for the three samples are: lower, 5.4%, middle, 20.8% and upper 35.0% respectively (Figure 8). In order to obtain a fresh sample Warfield et. al (1969) drilled four holes. In all drill holes the lower half gave an F.S.I. of 4-1/2 while the upper half gave 2 to 2-1/2. Since these samples were from a depth of 200 feet below the surface and unweathered, the differences were not due to weathering effects. A comparison

of ultimate analyses and heating values of Callahan's samples from the adit (Callahan et. al, 1969) and Warfield's drill hole samples (1969) were alike and showed that weathering did not affect the adit samples. Lower moistmineral matter free heating values of some of the other outcrop samples reflect effects of weathering of these surface samples (Table 6).

The concentration of psuedovitrinite in the Kukpowruk River samples is quite high, most samples having in excess of 13.0 percent (Table 38). Liptinites in these coals are low, ranging from 1.9 to 6.7 percent.

<u>West Utukok</u>

Sample No's. 725 SP 63, 66, 69 and 77, all located along the seismic line 725 (Figure 31) showed similar petrographic composition (Table 39). Concentration of semifusinites ranged from 12.1 to 14.6 percent, the highest of all the samples in the region. These four samples had the highest total inertinites and lowest liptinites (Figure 20). All of the samples along the seismic line R5XN contained some concentration of exsudatinite, and lower concentrations of semifusinite (2.5 to 7.6 percent) and fusinite (1.5 to 4.6 percent).

<u>Rokolik River</u>

Coals from the Kokolik River region showed extremely varied concentrations of inertinite as well as liptinite macerals (Figure 18, Table 40). Inertinite macerals ranged from a low of 0.4 percent to a high of 31.3 percent. The Liptinite content in these samples ranged from 1.8 percent to a high of 11.6 percent in sample number R5XNSP462, which contained the highest concentration of cutinite (2.4 percent). The two samples with over 11 percent liptinite were also very low in inertinite, indicating a wet, reducing environment and lower pH level, resulting in preservation of liptinite, which was not so for the majority of the coals of the study region.

Archimedes Ridge

Archimedes Ridge coals are, in general, of highest rank of all the coals in the study region, indicating their deepest burial before their uplift to their current position at the foothills of the Brooks Range. Inertinite showed a very wide range of concentrations from a low of 0.2 to a high of 40 percent (Figure 19). Semifusinite and fusinite are the principal inertinite macerals. Liptinite concentration is quite low, ranging from 0.2 to 8.3. The principal liptinite is resinite and in most samples exceeds every other liptinite maceral (Table 40). These coals generally have a fairly high concentration of pseudovitrinite.

Elusive Creek

Most of the Elusive Creek coals have a fairly low concentration of liptinites (Figure 21). Only a small portion of the samples exceeded 5 percent liptinite. Most of the sample contained thick cutinite and exsudatinite. However, resinite and sporinite were the principal liptinites (Table 42). The inertinite was of intermediate concentration, ranging from 1.4 to 33.4 (18 percent of this being fusinite). All samples from seismic line 632 contained exsudatinite and alginite and were generally high in pseudophlobaphinite.

Central Utukok River

Liptinite and inertinite concentrations in coals in this region were quite variable. Coals along seismic line 725 had a lower concentration of inertinites as well as liptinites compared to those of seismic line 632. A majority of the samples along this seismic line (632) had fusinite as the principal inert maceral (Figure 22). In a majority of the sample, thick cutinite exceeded normal thin walled cutinite (Table 42).

East Utukok

Samples along seismic line 603 (Figure 34) had very low inertinites and high liptinites (Figure 17). Sample number R3XNSP449 had an unusually high liptinite maceral content (18.5 percent), and 11.3 percent of this was sporinite (Table 44). This sample had the highest exsudatinite concentration (3 percent) supporting the suggestion that this maceral originated from the other primary liptinites.

Lookout Ridge

The coals from Lookout Ridge (Figure 35) had a medium range of concentration of liptinites ranging from 2.4 to 8.0 percent, and inertinite ranging from 3.5 to 24.8 percent (Figure 23). Sample R7XNSP531 had an unusually high concentration of 2 percent thick cutinite (Table 45). Fusinite, semifusinite and inertodetrinite are all equally distributed in these coals. Some samples in this group were unusually low in pseudovitrinite and at the same time high in inertodetrinite and liptodetrinite (under other liptinite) indicative of degradation due possibly to the transportation that these macerals might have undergone.

Tunalik Test Well

The coals from Tunalik Test Well (Figure 36) had intermediate levels of concentrations of liptinites and inertinites (Figure 24). Resinite was by far the most abundant of the liptinites, whereas fusinite was the most abundant of the inertinite group of macerals, (Table 46). Samples toward the top of the test well, from 220 to 490 feet, showed high concentration of inertodetrinite and liptodetrinite (as other liptinites) indicative of a changing environment and eventual cessation of deposition of coal. Compared to other samples in the study area, pseudovitrinite concentration is generally low.

<u>Kuk River</u>

Kuk River coals (Figure 37) had low liptinite, ranging from 1.3 to 6.0 percent and high inertinite, ranging from 5.4 to 53 percent (Figure 25). Sporinite is the principal liptinite (Table 47). Thick cuticles are rare. Semifusinite was the principal inertinite maceral. Sample 712SP11 with highest inertinite had 36.6% semifusinite, 2.7% globular macrinite and 8.4% inertodetrinite. All of these were in the highest concentration of all the Kuk River coals sampled.

Peard Bay Test Well

The coals from the Peard Bay Test Well (Figure 38) are generally high in vitrinite, with intermediate concentration of liptinite (2.8 to 7.6 percent) and lower inertinites (0.9 to 11.0 percent) (Figure 26, Table 48).

Fast Simpson Test Well, Umiat and Ikpikpuk Coals (Figures 27, 39, 40 & 41)

The East Simpson Test Well sample had an unusually high concentration of resinite (6.6 percent). Semifusinite was the principal inertinite. Inertodetrinite was in fairly high concentration (8.2 percent) (Table 49).

Coal from Umiat had very low inerts (Table 50). Fusinite is the principal inertinite maceral in Ikpikpuk coal. The principal liptinite is resinite (Table 51).

Trend Surface Analysis

Trend surface analysis was done for vitrinite reflectance (Figure 10), inertinite concentration (Figure 11) and liptinite concentration (Figure 12). These analyses were conducted on statistically valid samples using Surface II, a computer software system, and an HP 7221 plotter. Trend surface analysis consists of fitting a 3rd degree polynomial equation of the geographic coordi-

nates to a third variable, such as reflectance, using a method of least squares. These values are then graphically depicted by contour lines.

Samples included in trend surface analysis were either surface or near surface drill samples. Test well samples were excluded from this analysis. Reflectance curves in Figure 4 show an increase in reflectance from 0.50 in the NE to 0.80 in the SW, indicating several thousand feet greater depth of burial, uplift and erosion in the foothills than in the coastal plains.

Figure 13 by Callahan (1980) is a block diagram of principal depositional environments, influencing the distribution of coal facies during deposition of the Nanushuk group, and trend surface analysis supports the ideas presented in the diagram.

Figure 11 shows a general trend of low inertinite in the coals of the foothills, i.e., those that have been buried by gradual and continuous subsidence apparently maintained a higher water table that preserved reactive macerals. This is in contrast to coals of Cape Beaufort and the coastal plains that are high in inert macerals resulting from lowering of the water table by several tens of feet, exposing the peaty material to dry oxidizing conditions. Trend surface analysis of liptinite (Figure 12) shows a higher concentration of liptinite toward the foothills region, coinciding with a low concentration of inertinite.

Reflectance and Microhardness of Macerals

Table 3 and Figure 9 shows the variation of reflectance and microhardness of macerals for 12 coals that vary in vitrinite reflectance from 0.55 to 0.86 percent. The large range of reflectance and microhardness for inertinite macerals is obvious. Any prediction of coal liquefaction yields, based on concentration of inertinite for these coals, needs to take into account the role of lower reflecting, and possibly reactive, inertinite macerals.

Sample Number	vitrinite			pseudovitrinite		fusinite		semifusinite		macrinite		globular macrinite		gelinite	phlobaphinite	pseudo phlobaphinite	sporinite	resinite		cutinite	thick cutinite		alginite
		P	٧R	R	VH	R	٧H	ห	νн	я	VH	R	νн	R	R	R	R	R	VH	R	R	VR	Ħ
632 SP 400	x s	.69 .050	26.8 3.1	.70 .026	33.3 3.1	2.27 .42	742	1,44	339	2.19 .45	440	2.17 .42	442	.80 ,12	.86 .059	.69 .11	.22 .041	.26			.081 .009	18.8 .58	
137×5P809	x s	.76 .940	34.4 2.9	.85 .046	41.9 2.8	2.69 1.17	754	1,74 .34	75.2 	2.44 .63	564 	2,45 .63	533 	.88 .891	. 73 .032	.70 .057	.25 .043	.18 .033	15.0	_	.13 .028	 	
725 SP216	xs	.73 .03	35.1 4.7	.92 .05	43.1 3.7	2.75 .65		1.78 .50	83.2 	2.50 ,79	 55e	2.56 .96	391 —	.76 .10		,85 ,19	.22 .04	.34 .14			.12 .04	Ξ	.08 .03
SS 70-80	X E	.61 .04	40.6 5.0	.68 .04	44.7 2.1	2.82 .48	268	1.62 .49	81,5 	2.08 .57	117 	1.66 ,29	_	.57 .04	-	.62 .03	.15 .02	.24 .05	Ξ	. 42 ,05	.05 '08	_	.05 .01
R7xNSP428	x	.76 .050	31.0 2.0	,78 ,061	40.5 4.3	2.22 .52	222 109	1.34 .14	45.1 11.7	2.02 .58	152 	2.80 .35	515 	.82 .089	.76 .043	.78 .084	.27 .057	.21 .050	21.0 2.9	.38 ,113	.095 .012	20.4 3.2	-
Peard Bay 345-350	5	.58 .090	23.9 3.9	.59 .015	29.2 4.5	1.81 .38	277 155	1.27 ,23	84.9 24,5	1.31 .22	145	1.55 .31	532	.85 ,055	.57 .076	.55 ,058	.17 .025	,18 .056	18.1 	.11 .015	,093 .024	_	
Tunalik 470-490	x	.55 .035	25.5 2.3	.58 .0072	41.2 13.D	1.97 .92	341 67	1.32 .25	57.8 27.0	1.51	358 96.2	1,99 .62	330	.57 .031	. 53 . 058	.58 ,036	.16 .033	.20 .059	10.6 2.3	Ξ	.078 .0091	-	T
Tunalik 2480-2490	x s	.62 .080	27.7	.66 .035	40.4 3.1	1.84	486 241	1.25	126 43.1	1.69 .25	516	2.50 .80	324 66.6	.73 ,15	.58 .055	.59 .022	.15 .016	.17 .066	12.9 .9	7	Ξ	2	Ξ
AH 73-29	х в	.86 .05	38.9 3.9	1.04	44.4 3.6	3.55 1,35	235	2.26	58.1	2.82 .64	<u>116</u>	2.69 .56	259 13.2	=	1.01 .11	.98 .11	.25 .02	2.8 .07	29.5 .7	Ξ	.15 .01	26.6 4,6	Ξ
AH 74-9C	x B	.75	39.3 6.0	.93 .06	45.1 2.2	3.91 .45	292	2.06	62.5	2.79 .58	=	2.28	148	.94	.82 .06	.85 .18	.23	.30 .11	26.3 3.81	Ξ	.10 .01	Ξ.	.09 .02
0H-72-11	x 6	.65 .04	43.2 2.7	.87 .07	48.5 2.0	3.39 .71	539	2.01	47.8 4.3	2.72	577	2.23	Ξ	.75	.74	.73	.17 .04	.29	32.3 3.7	.17	Ξ	=	.08
SS 67-10	īx s	.70	32,9 9,8	.84 .04	38.8 6.5	2.39 .84	219	2.04 .33	55.3 8.8	2.38 .83	180	224 .54	Ξ	.84 .04	.78	.79 .05	.21 .04	.26 .04	-	.30 .07	.10 .01	19.9 1.3	.08 .01

Maceral Reflectance and Vickers' Microhardness Analyses for Representative Coal Samples Table 3

R - Mean and maximum reflectance in oil percent
VH - Vicker's microhardness
x - Mean
S - Standard deviation
Number of measurements - Reflectance vitrinite 100, all others 50. Nicrohardness vitrinite 30, all others 25 or less. No standard deviation was calculated for the ones with less than 25 measurements.



MEAN MAXIMUM REFLECTANCE, PERCENT

Figure 9 Diagram Showing the Ranges of Microhardness and Reflectance Value of Major Macerals in Twelve Selected Coals.

 $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$









Figure ¹³ BLOCK DIAGRAM OF PRINCIPAL DEPOSITIONAL ENVIRONMENTS INFLUENCING THE DISTRIBUTION OF COAL FACIES DURING DEPOSITION OF THE NANUSHUK GROUP . The microhardness and vitrinite reflectance data from the twelve representative coal samples were compared with similar data from other U.S. coals used by Davis (1978, Fig. 17) to demonstrate the variation of microhardness with respect to vitrinite reflectance. Ten of the twelve coal samples compared favorably with those coals used by Davis, and fell within the established limits, but SS 70-80, a surface sample and DH 72-11, a drillhole sample exhibited higher microhardness values than their related vitrinite reflectance values would imply. This abnormality cannot be related to weathering since one is a weathered surface sample and the other is a fresh drill core sample, and therefore would more likely represent an extention of the limits of the microhardness values.

Liquefaction Potential of Northern Alaska Coals

Extensive investigations conducted, Davis, Spackman and Given, (1976) at the Pennsylvania State University on the correlation of coal characteristics to liquefaction yields have concluded the existance of a linear relationship between concentration of total reactive macerals (vitrinite and exnite) and percent conversion. Conversion is also strongly influenced by rank as well as geological province as shown by Yarzab, Given, Spackman and Davis (1980). Davis et. al (1976) suggest that coals ranging in vitrinite reflectance (R max) from 0.5 to 1.0% and containing an excess of 70% of total reactive maceral (volume percent mineral contain basis) are predicted to have optimum liquefaction yields. Most of the coals in the study area meet the rank criteria and majority of them will meet total reactive macerals criteria. Concentrations of total reactive macerals in northern Alaska coals varied widely from a low of 47 percent to a high of 99 percent. However, Cape Beaufort coals are generally lower in total reactive macerals than those coals from the Utukok River and Archimedes Ridge areas. The coals from these two

areas would therefore be preferable as feed stock for coal liquefaction. The detailed petrological information present in this report would be of special significance for preliminary screening of the coal seams for consideration as liquefaction feed stocks.

Conclusions

A reconnaissance petrological investigation of Northern Alaska coals revealed:

a) Vitrinite reflectance of most of the coals in the study area ranged from 0.5 to 0.9 percent. Rank indicated by moist, mineral-matter-free heating values as compared to rank indicated by vitrinite reflectance revealed that many of the auger hole samples and seismic shot hole samples taken from less than 40' depth were weathered. This is in support of conclusions reached by Callahan and Martin (1980).

b) Coals in the foothills region are of highest rank, i.e., have highest vitrinite reflectance. This indicates greater depth of burial, uplift and erosion in this region, and to a lesser extent possible thermal effects associated with the tectnics and uplift of the Brooks Range as indicated by severe folding of beds in this region.

c) Trend surface analysis showed that coal seams in the foothills region are more highly concentrated in vitrinite and liptinite macerals that are considered reactive for liquefaction.

d) Trend surface analysis further showed that inertinite concentration is lower in the foothills region and increases in northward and westward directions.

e) The high concentration of semifusinites and macrinites in the Cape Beaufort region could be indicative of lower water table and drier

environment. The coals in the foothills region have lower inertinite, in indicating that the water table kept pace with coal formation, through progressive subsidence. Scarcity of pyrite in coal emphasises the absence of marine incursions during coal formation.

f) Inertinite macerals, particularly semifusinites and macrinites, showed wide variation in reflectance and microhardness that could be indicative of possible reactivity of these macerals in part during liquefaction processes.

References

- Barnes, F.F., 1967, Coal resources of the Cape Lisburne Colville River region, Alaska: U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1242-E, 37 p.
- Callahan, J.E., Wanek, A.A., Schell, E.M., Zeller, H.D. and Rohrer, W.L., 1969, Geology of T. 1 S., R. 44 W., unsurveyed, Umiat principal meridian, in the Kukpowruk coal field, Alaska: U.S. Geol. Survey Open-File Report, 19 p., 1 pl., 1 fig., 2 tables.
- Callahan, J.E., 1971, Geology and coal resources of T. 6 S., R. 51 W, unsurveyed, Umiat principal meridian, in the Cape Beaufort coal field, northwestern Alaska: U.S. Geol. Survey Open-File Report, 18 p., 5 sheets, 3 tables.
- Callahan, James E., 1975, Coal investigation in western arctic Alaska, in Focus on Alaska's Coal '75, Editors, Rao, P.D. and Wolff, E.N., Proceedings of Coal Conference: Report No. 37, Mineral Industry Research Laboratory, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, p. 48-53.
- Callahan, James E., Sloan, E.G., 1978, Preliminary report on analyses of cretaceous coals from northwestern Alaska, U.S. Geological Survey Openfile Report 78-319, 29 pp.
- Davis, A., Spackman, W. and Given, P.T., 1976, The influence of the properties of coal on their conversion into clean fuels: Energy Sources, 3:55.
- Davis, A., 1978, A discussion of some of the methods of physical characterization used for coal, in Scientific problems of coal utilization, D.O.E. Symposium series 46, Cooper, R.B., editor, Technical Information Center, U.S. Department of Energy, pp. 13-45.
- Callahan, J.E. and Martin, G.C., 1978, Preliminary report on the coal resources of the National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska, U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report 78-1033, 23 p.
- Callahan, J.E., Martin, G.C., 1980, Coal occurrences of the Nanushuk Group, western arctic Alaska - an update, in "Focus on Alaska's Coal '80,": Rao, P.D. and E.N. Wolff, editors, proceedings of the conference, Report No. 50, Mineral Industry Research Laboratory, University of Alaska, pp. 32-60.
- Chapman, R.M. and Sable, E.G., 1960, Geology of the Utukok-Corwin region, northwestern Alaska, Part 3, Area Geology: U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 303-C, 167 p.
- Collier, A.J., 1906, Geology and coal resources of the Cape Lisburne region, Alaska, U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 278, 54 p.
- Dutcher, R.R., Trotter, C.L. and Spackman, W., 1957, Petrography and palynology of certain coals of the arctic slope of Alaska: Report submitted to the Arctic Institute of North America, Department of Geology, College of Mineral Industries, Pennsylvania State University, 36 p.

References (continued)

- International Handbook of Coal Petrology, second edition (1963), Supplements 1971 and 1976, centre National De La Recherche Scientifique, Paris, France.
- Paige, Sydney, Foran, W.T. and Gilluly, James, 1925, A reconnaissance of the Point Barrow region, Alaska, U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 772, 33 p.
- Rao, P. Dharma, 1975, Characterization of Alaska's coals, in "Focus on Alaska's Coal '80": Rao, P.D. and Wolff, E.N., editors, Proceedings of the Conference, Report No. 37, Mineral Industry Research Laboratory, University of Alaska, p. 150-157.
- Rao, P. Dharma and Wolff, E.N., 1980, Petrographic, mineralogical and chemical characterization of certain Alaskan coals and washability products, in Focus on Alaska's Coals '80, Rao, P.D. and Wolff, E.N., editors, Proceedings of the Conference, Fairbanks, Oct. 1980: University of Alaska, Mineral Industry Research Laboratory, Report No. 50, p. 194-235. D.O.E. Contract No. ET-78-S-01-3197.
- Rao, P. Dharma, 1980, Petrographic, mineralogical and chemical characterization of certain arctic Alaskan coals from the Cape Beaufort region, Report No. 44, Mineral Industry Research Laboratory, University of Alaska, 66 p.
- Schrader, F.C., 1904, A reconnaissance in northern Alaska, U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper No. 20, 139 p.
- Smith, P.S. and Mertie, J.B., Jr., 1930, Geology and mineral resources of northwestern Alaska, U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 815, 351 p.
- Stach, E., Mackowsky, M.T.H., Teichmuller, M., Taylor, G.H., Chandra, D. and Teichmuller, R., 1982, Stach's textbook of coal petrology, Gebruder Borntraeger, Berlin, 535 p.
- Tongues, A.L. and Jolley, T.R., 1947, Investigation of coal deposits for local use in the arctic regions of Alaska and proposed mine development, U.S. Bureau of Mines Rept. INU 4150, p. 8-13.
- Warfield, R.S., Landers, W.S. and Boley, C.C., 1966, Sampling and coking studies of coal from the Kukpowruk river area, arctic northwestern Alaska, U.S. Bureau of Mines Rept. INU 6767, 59 p.
- Warfield, R.S., and Boley, Charles C., 1969, Sampling and coking studies of several coal beds in the Kokolik river, Kukpowruk river and Cape Beaufort areas of arctic northwestern Alaska, U.S. Bureau of Mines, RI 7321, 58 p.
- Yarzab, R.F., Given, P.H., Spackman, W. and Davis, A., 1980, Dependence of coal liquefaction behavior on coal characteristics. 4. Cluster analyses for characteristics of 104 coals: Fuel, 1980, vol. 59, 81.
| Sample No. | *Basis | Location | Thickness
(feet) | Moisture
% | Ash,
% | Volatile
Matter,% | Fixed
Carbon,% | Reating
Value
BTU/1b. | C,8 | ₿,% | N, % | 0,8 | S,% |
|------------|--------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| SS 70-W17 | 1
2 | T 6S R54W SEC28. | 2,5 | 8.8 | 13.3 | 30.8
38.7 | 47.1
61.4 | 10,038
11,729 | 58.6
75.3 | 4.9
5.0 | 1.8
2.3 | 21.0
16.9 | 0.4
0.5 |
| SS 70-W19 | 1
2 | T 65 R54W SBC28. | 7.0 | 5.3 | 48.7 | 18.7
35.0 | 27.3
65.0 | 6,049
12,757 | 35.1
76.3 | 3.2
5.6 | 1.1
2.5 | 11.7
15.2 | 0.2
0.4 |
| SS 70-w27 | 1
2 | T 6S R54W SEC28. | 1.5 | 11.9 | 7.4 | 30.7
37.6 | 50.0
62.4 | 9,960
10,829 | 59.5
73.7 | 4.8
4.3 | 1.7
2.1 | 26.2
19.4 | 0.4 |
| SS 70-w28 | 1
2 | T 6S R54W SEC28. | 2.8 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 32.2
43.2 | 40.8
56.8 | 8,349
9,788 | 51.1
70.0 | 4.7
4.4 | $1.5 \\ 2.0$ | 28.7
23.1 | 0.4
0.5 |
| SS 70-W29 | 1
2 | T 6S R54W SEC29. | 2.2 | 13.2 | 13.0 | 33.3
44.4 | 40.5
55.6 | 8,423
9,801 | 51.6
70.0 | 4.9
4.5 | 1.5
2.0 | 28.8
23.2 | 0.2
0.3 |
| SS 70-W30A | 1
2 | T 6S R54W SEC30. | 8.5 | 12.5 | 31.1 | 25.0
41.5 | 30.4
58.5 | 6,487
9,767 | 39.9
70.8 | 3.9
4.5 | 0.9
1.5 | 23.8
22.4 | 0.4
0.8 |
| SS 70-W30B | 1
2 | T 6S R54W SEC30. | 1.7 | 13.2 | 11.0 | 33.8
44.0 | 42.0
56.0 | 8,932
10,141 | 54.5
72.0 | 5.0
4.6 | 1.2
1.7 | 27.9
21.2 | 0.4
0.5 |
| SS 70-₩30C | 1
2 | T 6S R54W SEC30. | 1.7 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 33.2
41.9 | 44.8
58.1 | 9,174
10,292 | 55.4
71.0 | 4,9
4.5 | $1.5 \\ 1.9$ | 27.9
22.3 | 0.3
0.3 |
| SS 70-W30D | 1
2 | T 6S R54W SEC30. | 3.3 | 12.0 | 5.8 | 34.2
41.2 | 48.0
58.8 | 9,807
10,467 | 59.2
71.9 | 5.1
4.6 | 1.5
1.8 | 28.0
21.2 | 0.4
0.5 |
| SS 70-₩30E | 1
2 | T 6S R54W SEC30. | 4.0 | 15.7 | 30.3 | 24.8
43.3 | 29.2
56.7 | 5,814
8,645 | 36.3
67.3 | 4.2
4.5 | 1.1
2.1 | 27.8
25.7 | 0.3
0.4 |
| SS 70-W31A | 1
2 | T 65 R54W SEC29. | 2.5 | 14.1 | 2.9 | 33.1
39.6 | 49.9
60.4 | 9,858
10,181 | 60.3
72.7 | 4,9
4.0 | 1.3
1.6 | 30.3
21.4 | 0.3
0.3 |
| SS 70-W31B | 1
2 | T 6S R54W SEC29. | 4.0 | 14.0 | 11.3 | 32.8
43.2 | 41.9
56.8 | 8,527
9,709 | 52.8
70.6 | 4.7
4.1 | 1.1
1.5 | 30.8
23.4 | 0.3
0.4 |

TABLE 4 Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Corwin Bluff Coals

* 1 Equilibrium moisture basis
2 V.M. and F.C. are dry, mineral matter free basis Btu/lb. is moist mineral matter free basis C.H.N.O. and S are dry, ash free basis
SS - Surface Sample
G. A. F - Surface Sample, The letter being the initial of the sample collector. G - Gary Martin, A - Carl Almquist DH - Drill bole
AH - Auger bole
SP - Shot Point (Siesmic Shot hole)

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Thickness (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/lb.	C,%	Н,%	N, %	0,%	S,%
SS 70-W32A	12	T 6S R54W SBC29.	4.0	10,8	5,1	29.4 34.6	54.7 65.4	11,325 11,985	66.3 78.9	5.2 4.8	1.2	22.0 14.6	0.2 0.2
SS 70-₩32B	1 2	T 6S R54W SEC29.	2.5	7.3	5.8	30.6 34.8	56.3 65.2	11,032 11,768	66.2 76.0	4.5 4.3	1.2 1.4	21.9 17.8	0.4 0.5
SS 70-w32C	$\frac{1}{2}$	T 6S R54W SEC29.	1.0	12.4	6.5	32.7 39.8	48,4 60,2	9,798 10,539	59.8 73.7	5.0 4,4	1.1 1.5	27.3 20.1	0.3 0.3
SS 70-W33U	1 2	T 6S R54W SEC29.	6.0	7.7	6.0	28.1 32.1	58.2 67.1	11,267 12,051	68.0 78.7	4.5 4.1	1.1 1,3	20.2 15.7	0.2 0.2
SS 70-W33M	1 2	T 6S R54W SEC29.	6.5	6.3	4.0	39.1 43.3	50.6 56.7	12,362 12,929	71.1 79.4	5.5 5.3	1.3 1.4	17.9 13.7	0.2 0.2
SS 70-W33L	1 2	T 65 R54W SEC29.	6.0	6.4	6.4	39.0 44.4	48.2 55.6	11,995 12,887	68.5 78.5	5.4 5.4	1.2 1.4	18.2 14.4	0.3 0.3
SS 70-W73	1 2	T 65 R56W SEC36.	6.3	5.7	9.2	37.0 42.9	48.1 57.1	11,876 13,197	67.9 79.9	5.2 5.3	1.6 1.8	15.9 12.8	0.2 0.2
SS 70-W74	1 2	T 7S R56W SEC2.	4.4	13.9	7.1	35.1 44.0	43.9 56.0	9,079 9,830	55.6 70.4	5.2 4.5	1.4 1.8	30.4 23.0	0.3 0.3
SS 70-W80	12	T 6S R56W SEC36.	10.8	9.5	7.8	34.1 40.8	48.6 59,2	10,346 11,297	61.5 74.4	5.0 4.7	1.3 1.5	24.2 19.2	0.2
SS 70-W82	1 2	T 78 R56W SEC 2.	2.2	11.9	11.0	34.3 43.7	42.8 56.3	9,161 10,401	55.1 71.5	5.0	1.2 1.6	27.3 21.5	0.4
SS 70-W83	12	T 78 R56W SEC 2.	3.1	11,2	19,7	32.6 45,9	36.5 54.1	8,175 10,388	49.4 71.5	4.7	1.2 1.6	24.6 21.4	0.4
SS 70-W88	12	T 6S R55W SEC31.	3.3	11.0	8.2	32.9 40.2	47.9 59.8	9,811 10,766	59.1 73.1	4.9	1.3	26.1 20.3	0.4

TABLE 4 Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Corwin Bluff Coals (continued)

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/I (fe	nterval et)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,8	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/10.	C,%	Н,%	N _r %	0,8	S,%
AH 73-1	1 2	T 45 R48W SEC12.	4.5-	17.5	6.6	26.8	23.4 33,0	43.2 67.0	8,586 12,090	51.3 77.0	3.7 4.5	0.8 1.3	17.2 17.0	0.2
AH 73-1A	1 2	T 4S R48W SEC12.	4.5-	8.0	7.0	17,1	23.2 2 9. 2	52.7 70.8						
AH 73-18	1 2	T 45 R48W SEC12.	8.0-	12.0	11.3	32.0	22.0 35.9	34.7 64.1						
AH 73-1C	1 2	T 4S R48W SEC12,	12.0-	16.0	6.6	31.7	22.1 33.1	39.6 66.9						
AH 73-10	1 2	T 45 R48W SEC12.	16.0~	17.5	6.4	33.8	23.2 35.9	36.6 64.1						
АН 73-2	1 2	T 4S R48W SEC 1.	2.7-	7.5	9.9	20.1	28.4 39.1	41.6 60.9						
AH 73-3	1 2	T 3S R48W SEC36.	3.0-	9.8	12.2	12.6	28.5 37.1	46.7 62.9	9,197 10,641					
AB 73-4	1 2	T 3S R47W SEC14.	3.0-	13.5	10.2	5.6	31.0 36.4	53.2 63.6	10,778 11,470	64.1 76.2	5.1 4,7	1.4	23.6 17.2	0.2 0.2
АН 73-5	1 2	T 35 R47W SEC23,	4.3-	12.8	10.2	13.4	26.7 33.9	49.7 66.1	9,651 11,284	58.5 76.7	4.3 4.1	1.1 1.4	22.5 17.6	0.2 0.2
AH 73-6	1 2	T 3S R47W SEC15.	4.2-	12.0	11.1	9.9	29.5 36.7	49.5 63.3	9,776 10,943	59.4 75.2	4.6 4.3	1.1 1.4	24.8 18.8	0.2 0.3
AH 73-8	1 2	T 3S R47W SEC25.	4.5-	19.2	11.2	10.5	29.3 36.7	49.0 63.3	9,643 10,876	58.4 74.6	4.6 4.3	1.1 1.3	25.2 19.6	0.2
AH 73-10A	1 2	T 35 R47W SEC13,	5.2-	7.5	10.2	8.4	26.4 31.9	55.0 68.1						
AH 73~10B	1 2	T 35 R47W SEC13.	11,2-	14.2	10.5	4.8	30.9 36.2	53.8 63.8						

TABLE 5 Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Cape Beaufort Coals

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/II (fe	nterval et)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Reating Value BTU/lb.	C,8	Н,%	N, %	0,8	S, %
AH 73-10C	1 2	T 3S R47W SEC13.	7.5-	11.2	10.6	5.7	30.0 34.6	53.7 65.4						
AH 73-23A	1 2	T 3S R47W SEC25.	4.5-	7.9	12,5	10.4	30.2 38.4	46.9 61.6	9,424 10,619	57.2 74.3	4.8 4.4	1.1 1.4	26.3 19.7	0.2 0.2
AH 73-23B	1 2	T 3S R47W SEC25.	7.9-	10.9	11.8	15.1	29.6 39.5	43.5 60.5						
AH 73-24	1 2	T 3S R47W SEC23.	3.8-	10.0	12.1	10.5	29.6 37.6	47.8 62.4	9,480 10,700	57.1 73.9	4.7 4.3	1.0 1.3	26.5 20.2	0.2 0.3
AH 73-25A	1 2	T 3S R47W SEC23.	4.0-	8.0	13.1	11.8	28.1 37.6	47.0 62.4						
AH 73-25B	1 2	T 3S R47W SEC23.	8.0-	12.0	11.4	31.7	21.8 35.4	35.1 64.6						
AH 73-25C	1 2	T 35 R47W SEC23.	12.0-	17.3	9,7	9.0	29.6 35.8	51.7 64.2						
AR 73-27	1 2	T 35 R47W SEC17.	4.2-	17.0	10.9	4.4	30.8 36.1	53.9 63.9	10,669 11,200	64.0 75.4	5.0 4.4	1.3 1.6	20.1 18.3	0.2 0.3
АН 73-29	1 2	T 55 R50W SEC32.	6.3-	13.0	5.6	6.9	32.1 36.2	55.4 63.8	12,178 13,162	70.2 80.3	5.0 5.0	1.4 1.6	16,3 12.9	0.2 0.2
AH 73-31A	12	T 5S R51W SEC25.	5.8-	12.0	10.4	27.8	22.4 33.8	39.4 66.2	7,339 10,487	46.0	3.6 3.9	0.7	21.7 20.3	0.2
AH 73-31B	12	T 5S R51W SEC25.	12.0-	19.5	12.6	25.1	25.2 38.4	37.1 61.6						
AH 73-32	12	T 55 R50W SEC30.	3.2-	16.0	8.9	18.5	27.0 35.8	45.7 64.2	9,359 11,701	55.7 76.6	4.5 4.8	1.0	20.0 16.8	0.3
AH 73-34	12	T 5S R50W SEC15.	4.5-	8.8	6.6	11.2	27.4 32.6	54.8 67.4						

TABLE 5	Proximate	and 1	Ultimate	Analy	ses of	Cape	Beaufort	Coals	(continued)
---------	-----------	-------	----------	-------	--------	------	----------	-------	-------------

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/I (fe	nterval et)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BIO/lb.	C, 8	₽ , €	N,8	0,8	s,%
- AH 73-36	1 2	T 5S R50W SEC10.	7.5-	13.5	10.7	9.6	29.3 36.0	50.4 64.0	9,926 11,073	60.1 75,4	4.7 4.4	1.2	24.0 18.2	0.4
DH 72-11	1 2	T 5S R50W SEC17.	84.5-	91.7	5.3	21.0	29.5 38.5	44.2 61.5	10,176 13,168	59.5 80.8	4.6 5.3	1.0 1.3	13.7 12.4	0.2 0.3
AH 74-9A	1 2	t 5s r50w	7.8-	16.8	9.5	0.4	30.8 34.1	56.3 65.9						
AH 74-98	1 2	T 5S R50W	16.8-	22.8	7.5	2.0	32.1 35.4	58.4 64.6						
АН 74-9С	12	T 5S R50W	22,8-	28.8	5,2	0.7	34.6 36.7	59.5 63.3						
AR 74-11	1 2	T 55 R50W	12.0-	17.5	5,9	6.6	28.7 32.4	58.8 67.6						
AH 74-14A	1 2	T 58 R50W	3.0-	8.0	8.6	3.8	24.7 27.9	62.9 72.1						
AH 74-15	1 2	T 55 R50W	4.0-	9,0	13.9	5,8	35.8 44.3	44.5 55.7						

TABLE 5 Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Cape Beaufort Coals (continued)

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Thickness (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/1b.	C,%	Н,%	N,8	0,8	S,%
SS 67-1	1 2	T 15 R44W SEC28	5,0	3.9	3.1	41.6 44.6	51.4 55.4	13,528 13,997	76.4 82.1	5.6 5.6	1.4 1,5	13.3 10,6	0.2 0.2
SS 67-2	1 2	T 1S R44W SEC28	5.0	4.2	7.4	35.4 39.6	53.0 60.4	12,432 13,514	71.9 81.3	5.0 5.1	1.1 1.3	14.4 12.1	0.2 0.2
SS 67-3	1 2	T 1S R44W SEC28	5.0	4.8	3.7	30.7 33.2	60.8 66.8	13,296 13,856	76.7 83.7	4.9 4.8	1.2 1.3	13.3 10.0	0.2 0.2
SS 67-4	1 2	T 1S R44W SEC28	4.0	4.3	10.4	34.8 40.1	50.5 59.9	12,483 14,077	70.2 82.3	5.3 5,6	1.3 1.5	12.5 10.3	0.3 0.3
SS 67-5	1 2	T 1S R44W SEC29	2.9	5,2	9.9	32.3 37.4	52.6 62.6	12,186 13,652	69.7 82.1	5.0 5,3	1.2 1.4	13.7 10.7	0.5 0.5
SS 67-6	1 2	T 1S R44W SEC29	3.6	5,2	19.0	29.8 37.9	46.0 62.1	10,693 13,455	61.2 80.7	4.7 5.3	1.1 1.5	13.7 12.2	0.3 0.3
SS 67~8	1 2	T 1S R44W SEC17	3.3	4.9	11.7	34.0 40.1	49.4 59.9	12,026 13,775	68.2 81.8	5.1 5.5	1.4 1.7	13.2 10.6	0.4 0.4
SS 67~9	1 2	T 1S R44W SEC 8	5.3	6.2	2.8	35.7 39.0	55.3 61.0	13,052 13,467	74.4 81.8	5.5 5.2	1.5 1.7	15.5 11.0	0.3 0.3
SS 67-10	1 2	T 15 R44W SEC 8	5.6	5,5	6.0	35.9 40.2	52.6 59.8	12,488 13,353	71.5 80.8	5.3 5.3	1.6 1.8	15.3 11.7	0.3 0.4
SS 67-11	1 2	T 15 R44W SEC 7	5,2	6.9	4.0	34.9 38.9	54.2 61.1	12,369 12,937	71.6 80.3	5 .3 5 . 2	1.5 1.7	17.2 12.4	0.4 0.4
SS 67-12	$\frac{1}{2}$	T 1S R44W SEC 6	9.1	7.5	19,3	28.4 37.4	44.8 62.6	9,983 12,626	57.4 78.5	4.6 5.2	1.0 1.4	17.3 14.6	0.3 0.3

TABLE 6 Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Kukpowruk River Coals

Sample No.	Basis	Location	Depth/Interval (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/lb.	C, 8	Н,%	N,8	0,8	S,%
				1									
725 SP45	1 2	T 5N R38W SEC33.	94.0 -101.0	11.0	6.8	30.6 36.7	51.6 63.3	11,201 12,093	64.2 78.1	5.4 5.0	1.3 1.7	21.8 14.7	0.5 0.5
725 SP63	1 2	T 4N R38W SEC 8.	85.0 - 90.0	14.2	4.8	33.1 40.5	47.9 59.5	11,064 11,675	63,8 78.6	6.0 5.3	1.3 1.6	23.8 14.0	0.3 0.4
725 SP66	1 2	T 4N R38W SEC 8.	85.0 — 89.0	12.3	18,1	26.0 36.0	43.6 64.0	8,915 11,078	52.6 75.6	5.1 5.3	1.0 1.6	22.8 17.1	0.4 0.5
725 SP69	1 2	T 4N R38W SEC 9.	83.0 — 94.0	13.9	6.6	28.3 35.1	51.2 64.9	10,734 11,567	61.9 78,0	5.6 5.0	1.2 1,5	24.4 15.0	0.3 0.4
725 SP77	1 2	T 4N R38W SEC15.	93.0 — 98.0	11.5	7.2	28.5 34.5	52.8 65.5	10,881 11,800	63.4 77.9	5.4 5.0	1.2 1.5	22.4 15.1	0.4
725 SP81	1 2	T 4N R38W SEC15.	100.0 -104.0	14.5	4.1	32.0 38.9	49.4 61.1						
725 SP131 (two beds)	1 2	T 3N R37W SEC 5.	55.0 — 66.0 72.0 — 85.0	7.7	8.3	33.0 38.8	51.0 61.2	11,677 12,837	66.7 79.5	5.4 5.4	1.2 1.5	17 .9 13 . 1	0.5 0.5
725 SP135 (several beds	1 ;) 2	T 3N R37W SEC 5.	18.0 — 27.0	25.8	9.3	30.4 46.0	34.5 54.0	8,936 9,933	51.1 78.8	6.4 5.3	1.0 1.5	31.8 13.9	0.4 0.5
R5XNSP340	1 2	T 3N R38W SEC 4.		4.5	8.7	34.3 38.9	52.5 61.1	12,519 13,827	71.0 81.8	5.4 5.6	1.8 2.0	12.7 10.2	0.4 0.5
R5XNSP354	1 2	T 3N R38W SEC16.	92.0 —	5.3	7.1	34.6 39.0	53.0 61.0	12,813 13,888	72.6 82.9	5.0 5.0	1,6 1,8	13.3 9.9	0.4 0.4
R5XNSP359	1 2	T 3N R38W SEC21.		6.2	4.3	36.8 40.8	52.7 59.2	13,048 13,700					0.6
R5XNSP368	1 2	T 3N R38W SEC28.	62.0 — 66.O	5.2	10.8	32.1 37.5	51.9 62.5	12,227 13,855					0.5

TABLE 7. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of West Utukok River Coals

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/lb.	C,8	H,ŧ	N, 8	0,8	S,8
R5XNSP384	1 2	T 2N R38W SEC16.	75.0 — 82.0	7.6	8.6	36.3 42.8	47.5 57.2	12,126 13,376	68.5 81.7	5.7 5.7	1.8	15.0 9.9	0.4
RSXNSP394	1 2	T 2N R36W SEC21.	50.0 — 59.0	4.8	9.3	34.0 39.0	51.9 61.0	12,075 13, 436					0.3
630 SP413	1 2	T 2N R4JW SEC).		20.8	3.7	30.4 40.0	45.1 60.0	10,845 11,301	61.1 80.9	6.0 4.9	1.6 2.1	27.4 11.9	0.2 0.2
630 SP441	1 2	T 2N R41W SEC36.	98.0 —102.0	6.1	3.6	36.2 39.8	54.1 60.2	12,947 13,471	73.4 81.3	5.7 5.5	2.0 2,1	15.1 10.8	0.2
630 SP444	1 2	T 2N R41W SEC36.	16.0 — 22.0	11.2	2.3	33.3 38.3	53.2 61.7	11,375 11,670					0.3
630. SP471	1 2	T IN R41W SEC24.		4.8	9.7	31.1 35.7	54.4 64.3	12,618 14,108	71.6 83.8	5.2 5.4	1.3 1.6	11.8 8.8	0.4
F 12-79 (outcrop)	1 2	T IN R41W SEC13.		10.9	4.9	30.4 35.7	53.8 64.3	10,835 11,445					0.4
F 20-79 (outcrop)	1 2	T IN R41W SEC26.		5.8	5.6	29.6 33.0	59.1 67.0	12,425 13,226					0.3

TABLE 7.	Proximate	and Ultimate	Analyses	of We	est Utukok	River	Coals	(continued)
----------	-----------	--------------	----------	-------	------------	-------	-------	-------------

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/16.	C,8	Н,%	N,8	0,8	s, s
605 SP 393	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC11.	22.0 — 27.0	8.2	14.3	20.2 35,2	49.3 64.8	10,136 12,004	59.4 76.7	4.9 5.1	1.9 2.5	18.8 14.7	0.7 1.0
605 SP 402	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC 9.	48.0 — 52.0	3.5	15.0	32.4 38.8	49.1 61.2	12,045 14,374	67.4 82.7	5.2 5.9	2.1 2.6	10.0 8,4	0.3 0.4
605 SP 428	1 2	T 15 R39W SPEC11.	15.0 - 30.0	6.6	8.0	30.9 35.7	54.5 64.3	11,125 12,1 8 6	66.0 82.5	4.8 5.2	1.2 1.6	19.8 10,4	0.2
605 SP 431	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC10.		7.6	6.4	30.9 35,5	55.1 64.5	12,133 13,042					0.6
605 SP 434	1 2	T 1S R39W SEC10.	37.0 — 44.0	5.5	8.6	31.9 36.6	54.0 63.4	12,206 13,463					0.3
605 SP 435	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC10.	70.0 — 75.0	4.2	5.8	35.9 39.5	54.1 60.5	13,141 14,019	74.5 82.8	5.4 5.6	1.6 1.8	12.4 9.6	0.3 0.3
605 SP 439	1 2	T 1S R39W SEC 9.		6.0	11.1	35.1 41.7	47.8 58.3	12,075 13,732					0.5
605 SP 442	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC 8.	62.0 — 65.0	4.6	9.3	36.7 42.1	49.4 57.9	12,503 13,920					0.5
605 SP 447	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC 8.	20.0 25.0	11.7	5.0	31.1 36.9	52,2 63,1	10,452 11,046					0.4
605 SP 448	1 2	T 1S R39W SEC 7.	48.0 — 52.0	15.0	7.6	30.8 39.2	46.6 60.8	9,209 10,041	56.6 73.1	4.6 3.8	1.4 1.7	29.4 20.9	0.4 0.5
605 SP 454	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC 7.	60,0 — 73,0	4.6	5.0	31.6 34.6	58.8 65.4	13,006 13,750					0.3
605 SP 455	12	T 1S R40W SEC13.	10.0 - 15.0	10,5	7.6	30.9 37.1	51.0 62.9	10,634 11,593	63.7 77.8	4.5 4.0	1.2 1.5	22.5 16.1	0.5 0.6

TABLE 8. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Kokolik River Coals

- -

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interva Thickness (feet)	Moisture	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Beating Value BTU/1b.	C,8	Н,%	N,8	0,8	5,8
605 SP457	1 2	T 15 R40W SEC13.	30.0 — 40.0	4.0	4.4	38.1 41.3	53.5 58.7	13,430 14,112					0.3
605 SP461	1 2	T 1S R40W SEC14.	49.0 — 58.0	4.0	6.0	36.7 40.4	53.3 59.6	13,351 14,293					0.3
605 SP465	1 2	T 1S R40W SEC14.	45.0 — 55.0	4.0	6.1	33.5 36.9	56.4 63.1	13,313 14,267	74,8 83.3	5.6 5.8	1.7 1,9	11.5 8.8	0.3 0.3
605 SP467	1 2	T 1S R40W SEC15.	73.0 — 76.0	4.3	9.8	33.9 38.7	52.0 61.3	12,511 14,002					0.6
605 SP471	1 2	T 1S R40W SEC15.	60.0 — 70.0	3.8	11.0	34.6 39.8	50.6 60.2	12,607 14,311					0.3
605 SP472	1 2	T 1S R40W SEC15.	82.0 — 85.0	3,7	12.9	33.6 39.4	49.8 60.6	11,898 13,840	68.1 81.7	5.3 5.8	1.6 2.0	11.6 10.0	0.5 0.5
605 SP474	1 2	T 15 R40W SBC16.	5.0 — 22.0	7.8	14.6	28.5 35.7	49.1 64.3	10,312 12,243					0.3
UA 126	1 2	T 1S R40W SEC13.	11.6	15.6	5.4	26.4 33.0	52.6 67.0	10,904 11,585	63.4 80.3	5.6	1.0 1.3	24.4 13.1	0.2 0.4
AB 3-78	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC18.	11.8	13.9	4.7	28.4 34.6	53.0 65.4	10,069 10,615					0.3
AH 4-78	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC 8.	4.3	13.4	4.2	30.6 36.9	51.8 63.1	10,065 10,551					0.4
AH 12-78	1 2	T IN R38W SEC32.	7.5	12.5	9.0	29.2 36.6	49.3 63.4	9,654 10,697					0.3
AH 16-78	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC 2.	8.9	12.7	6.3	30.0 36.5	51.0 63.5	10,050 10,784					0.2

TABLE 8. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Kokolik River Coals (continued)

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval Thickness (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/16.	C,8	н,%	N,8	0,8	S,%
AH 18-78	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC11.	11.1	11.4	12,0	26.1 33.2	50,5 66.8	9,649 11,095					0.2
AH 19-78	1 2	T 15 R39W SBC14.	5.6	9.9	10,5	26.9 33.1	52.7 66.9	10,152 11,460					0.3
AH 21-78	1 2	T 15 R38W SEC 3.	7.5	8.8	14.8	24.9 32.0	51.5 68.0	9,939 11,830					0,3
AH 22-78	1 2	T 1S R38W SEC 9.	6.9	11.3	9.2	29.4 36.4	50.1 63.6	10,020 11,132					0,2
AH 23-78	1 2	T 1S R38W SEC 4.	9.2	11.0	7.7	28.1 34.0	53.2 66.0	10,615 11,578					0.4
AH 25-78	1 2	T IN R37W SEC32.	7.2	11.3	4.7	29.7 35.0	54.3 65.0	10,718 11,295	63.9 76.0	5.) 4,6	1.4 1.6	24.6 17.4	0.3 0.3
a 7 8- 14	1 2	T 1N R38W SEC27.	8.2	10.6	2.9	28.7 32,9	57.8 67.1	11,225 11,587	67.0 77.4	5.1 4.5	1.2 1.4	23.5 16.4	0.3 0,3
a 7 8- 15	1 2	T 1N R38W SEC25.	-	6,4	10.8	28.6 33.8	54.2 66.2						
AH 1-79	1 2	T 15 R39W SEC11.	~	3.0	2.9	36.3 38.4	57.8 61.6	13,414 13,857					0.3
AB 4-79	1 2	T 15 R38W SEC31.	_	7.5	15.9	28.8 36,4	47.8 63.6	10,007 12,091					0.4
AH 5-79	1 2	T 2S R38W SEC 6.	_	6.5	9.4	29.8 34.8	54,3 65.2	11,227 12,511					0.5
AH 6-79	1 2	T 25 R38W SEC 7.		7.8	9.0	31.1 36.7	52.1 63.3	10, 944 12 ,138					0.6
											6		

TABLE 8. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Kokolik River Coals (continued)

71

(contínued)
Coals
River
Kokolík
of
yses
Anal
Ultimate
and
Proximate
TABLE 8.

S, ª	0.3	0.4 0.4	0.2	0.4	3.9
0,8		13.3 9.6		12.5 9.3	
N, 8		1.9 2.2		1.7	
Н, 8		5,5 5,5		5,5	
C, 8		73.4		73.9 82.9	
Heating Value Bro/lb.	9,695 10, <i>8</i> 76	12,920 13,745	11,322 11,886	13,022 13,93 4	11,932 14,566
۲i xeð Carbon, 8	50.5 65.4	54.0 60.9	54.2 62.9	56.9 64.2	48,2 61.4
Volatile Matter,% (27.5 34.6	35 , 2 39 , 1	32. 4 37.1	32.2 35.8	32 . 8 38 . 6
Ash, 8	10.0	5.5	4.4	6.0	16.0
Moisture 8	12.0	5.3	0.6	4.9	3.0
Depth/Interval (feet)		76.0 78.0		39.0 — 41.0	83.0 - 87.0
Location	T 2S R38W SEC 4.	T IS R39W SEC 1.	T IS R39W SBC12.	T IS R394 SEC12.	T IS R39W SEC13.
*Basis	4 F	1	1	77	77
Sample No.	AH 7–79	R5XNSP450	R5XNSP457	R5XNSP458	R5XNSP462

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Thickness (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/15.	С,8	н,%	N,&	0,8	S,%
G 78-37	1 2	T 4S R39W SEC 7.	3.3	10.8	4.6	31.8 37.2	52.8 62.8	10,655 11,222					0.4
G 7 8-4 0	1 2	T 4S R39W SEC 7.	2.6	9.3	3.4	29.7 33.8	57.6 66.2	11,535 11,974					0.3
G 7 8 -41	1 2	T 4S R39W SEC 7.	7.2	8.4	2.8	32.2 36.1	56,6 63,9	12,416 12,802					0.3
G 78-43	1 2	T 4S R40W SEC12.	11.2	7.1	3.5	31.2 34.7	58.2 65.3	12,106 12,591					0.3
g 78-55	1 2	T 4S R39W SEC 6.	2.0	4.2	7.2	30.8 34.2	57.8 65.8	12,671 13,749					0.5
5 78 - 58	1 2	T 3S R39W SEC31.	1.6	7.9	35.1	19.5 30.7	37.5 69.3	7,384 11,901					0.4
;78 - 80	1 2	T 4S R40W SEC10.	8.9	6.4	3.6	32.2 35.5	57.8 64.5	12,283 12,793	70.0 77.8	5.1 4.9	1.5 1.7	19.5 15.3	0.3 0.3
;7 8 -84	1 2	T 4S R40W SEC14.	11.5	5.4	6.4	30.7 34.3	57.5 65.7	11,856 12,747					0.3
78-89		T 4S R39W SEC17.	2.0	4.4	8.6	28.5	58.5	12,534					0.3
	2					212.1	-67,9	-137-826-					
78-93	1 2	T 4S R39W SEC16.	7.5	7.6	3.2	33.3 37.2	55.9 62.8	11,943 12,380					0.3
5 7 8 -94	1 2	T 4S R40W SEC11.	6.9	7.2	4.1	30.0 33.5	58.7	11,954 12,511					0.3
3 7 8 -97	1 2	T 45 R40W SEC25.	1.0	9.2	10.9	28.8	51.1 64.8	10,478					0.6

TABLE 9. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Archimedes Ridge Coals

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Thickness (feet)	Moisture 8	Ash, 8	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/lb.	С, 8	Н , 8	N, 8	9,0	S, &
G 78-99	7 7	T 4S R40W SEC25.	3.6	7.8	5,1	30.0 34.0	57.1 66.0	11,723 12,407					0.3
133X SP431	7 7 T	T 4S R40W SEC16.	15.0- 25.0	2.9	28.0	27.8 38.1	41.3 61.9	9,943 14,254					0.2
133X SP432	7 1	T 4S R40W SEC16.	35.0- 40.0	2.9	12.5	36.0 41.8	48.6 58.2	12,616 14,601					0.3
F 45-79	7 7	T 4S R39W SEC 8.	I	6.1	14.3	31.3 39.3	48.3	12,044 14,248					0.3
F 47-79	ч 0	T 4S R39W SEC 5.	1	5.0	6.4	31.0 34.5	57.6 65.5	12,324 13,244					0.4
R5 SP601	7 T	T 4S R39W SEC27.	80.0- 84.0	6.9	25.0	23.5 32.2	44.6 67.8	9,044 12,396	53 .4 78 . 4	4. 0 4. 7	0.9 1.3	16.1 14.7	0.6 0.9
R5 SP605	7 7	T 4S R39W SEC34.	27.0- 36.0	2.8	17.0	32.8 39.7	47.460.3	12,012 14,741	67.1 83.7	4.9	1.6	8.7	0.9
137X SP656	Ч 0	T 3S R37W SEC25.	15.0- 19.0	6.7	50.7	18.7 37.7	23.9 62.3	5,414 11,970					0.3
137X SP666	10	T 3S R37W SBC36.	60.0- 62.0	9.7	44.6	18.7 35.7	27.0	6,621 12,796					0.4

TABLE 9. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Archimedes Ridge Coals (continued)

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval (feet)	Moisture &	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon, %	Heating Value BTU/15.	С,8	H,8	N,8	0,%	5,%
605 SP 307	1 2	T 1S R35W SEC19.	5.0 - 15.0	4.7	11.5	31.8 37.2	52.0 62.8	10,410					0.5
605 SP 327	1 2	T 15 R36W SEC15.	38.0 — 52.0	10.8	5.4	32.8 38.7	51.0 61.3	12,341 13,118					0.3
605 SP 328	12	T 15 R36W SEC15.	45.0 — 60.0	9,3	3.8	33.6 38.5	53.3 61.5	12,682 13,231	72.4 83.3	5.8 5.4	1.3 1.5	16.5 9.5	0.2 0.2
505 SP 329	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC15.	56.0 — 70.5	8.4	5.5	34.2 39.4	51.9 60.6	12,662 13,465	71.2 82.7	5.7 5.6	1.4 1.6	16.0 9.9	0.2 0.2
05 SP 330	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC16.	64.0 — 80.0	7,1	3.7	33.1 36.8	56.1 63.2	12,996 13,547					0.3
05 SP 331 zone)	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC16.	37.0 - 57.0	4.2	11.2	33.3 38.7	51.3 61.3	12,404 14,125					0.4
05 SP 332	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC16.	23.0 — 30,0	7.9	5.3	31.9 36.4	54.9 63.6	12,139 12,886					0.2
05 SP 336	1 2	T 15 R36W SEC16.	49.0 — 55.0	6.4	7.9	34.7 40.0	51.0 60.0	12,287 13,436					0.3
05 SP 337	1 2	T 15 R36W SEC17.	30.0 — 37.0	5.4	6,1	36.0 40.3	52.5 59.7	12,731 13,630	71.9 81.2	5,5 5,6	1.5 1.7	14.7 11.2	0.3 0.3
05 SP 338 2 beds)	1	T 15 R36W SEC17.	21.0 — 30.0 91.0 — 97.0	4,4	4.3	37.8 41.1	53.5 58.9	13,518 14,182					0.2
05 SP 339	- 1 2	T 1S R36W SEC17.	61.0 - 73.0	7.6	5.3	37.8 43.0	49.3 57.0	12,803 13,581	1.2				0,3
05 SP 340 (2 beds)	12	T 1S R36W SEC17.	36.0 - 42.0 84.0 - 90.0	7.2	2.9	36.6	53.3 59.4	13,312 13,747					0,3

TABLE 10. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Elusive Creek Coals

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/lb.	C,8	8,8	N, 8	0,8	S,%
605 SP 342	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC17.	7.0 — 15.0	9.2	11.9	28.5 35.2	50,5 64.8	10,322 11,836	61.3 77.6	4.8 4.8	1.4 1.8	20.4 15.5	0.3 0.4
605 SP 347	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC18.	90.0 - 95.0	7.8	3.3	37.7 42.2	51.2 57.8	13,222 13,726					0,5
137XSP 736	12	T 1S R36W SEC28.	0.5 - 30.0	5.8	38.4	24.5 40.5	31.3 59.5	7,926 13,566					0.4
137XSP 737	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC28.	84.0 — 86.0	3.8	37.3	25.5 40.1	33.4 59.9	8,449 14,174					0.5
137XSP 742	12	T 1S R36W SEC22.	11.0 - 24.5	7.2	6.8	32.1 36.9	53.9 63.1	11,501 12,413					0.2
137xsp 744	1 2	T 15 R36W SEC22.	42.0 50.0	4.3	9.3	35.0 40.0	51.4 60.0	12,620 14,031					0.2
137XSP 745	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC22.	75.0 — 81.0	5.1	8.5	34.8 39.7	51.6 60.3	12, 48 3 13,757					0.2
137XSP 749	12	T 1S R36W SEC15.	80.0 — 83.0	4.2	15.2	32.6 39.5	48.0 60.5	11,866 14,210					0.2
137XSP 753	12	T 1S R36W SEC15.	93.0 —104.0	4.0	23.6	30.6 40.7	41.8 59.3	10,335 13,886	58.4 80.7	4,5 5.6	1.3 1.8	11.8 11.4	0.4 0.5
137XSP 752	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC15.	70.0 - 81.0	4.6	13,1	35.6 42.4	46.7 57.6	12,125 14,122	68.1 82.8	5.2 5.7	1.3 1.6	12.1 9.6	0.2
137XSP 755	12	T 15 R36W SEC11.	64.0 — 75.0	8.4	10.4	34.5 41.9	46.7 58.1	11,892 13,408	66.7 82.1	5.4	1.5	15.8 10.3	0.2
137XSP 757	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC11.	33.0 — 37.0	4.4	13.3	34.4 41.0	47.9 59.0	11,905 13,906	67.5 82.0	5.0 5.5	1.3 1.6	12.7 10.8	0.2 0.2
137XSP 758	12	T 1S R36W SEC11.	90.0 — 92.0	4.8	23.6	31.1 41.9	40.5 58.1	10,198 13,693					0.2

TABLE 10. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Elusive Creek Coals (continued)

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval Thickness (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/lb.	C,8	H,8	N, 8	0,8	S,%
137XSP 764	1 2	T 15 R36W SEC 1.	63.0 — 66.0	9.8	38,4	23.4 41.8	28.4 58.2	7,186 12,287					0.1
137XSP 765	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC 1.	45.0 — 48.0	18.8	40.1	20.1 44.4	21.0 55.6	5,664 9,997					0.1
137XSP 767	1 2	T 1N R35W SEC33.	35.0 - 37.0	3.5	27.5	31.1 43.1	37.9 56.9	9,877 14,067					0.4
137XSP 768	1 2	T 1N R35W SEC33.	40.0 - 42.0	4.0	41.5	25.6 43.3	28.9 56.7	7,709 13,982					0.3
137xSP 771	1 2	T 1N R35W SEC34.	70.0 — 75.0	10.4	46.2	17.5 37.8	25.9 62.2	5,662 11,317					0.3
137XSP 773	1 2	T IN R35W SEC27.	54.0 59.0	19.0	10.9	28.4 39.7	41.7 60.3	10,136 11,4 8 6					0.2
137XSP 775	1 2	T 1N R35W SEC27.	86.0 - 90.0	12,5	13.0	29.3 38.4	45.2 61.6	10,719 12,482					0.4
137XSP 777	1 2	T 1N R35W SEC27.	31.0 - 41.0	7.0	6.0	35.7 40.6	51.3 59.4	12,545 13,414					0.3
137XSP 802	1 2	T 1N R34W SEC 6.	32.0 — 35.0	3.5	10.6	36.5 41,8	49.4 58.2	12,681 14,332					0,3
137XSP 804	1 2	T 2N R34W SEC31.	14.0 — 19,0	6.7	4.6	45.0 50.4	43.7 49.6	12,246 12,888					0.3
137XSP 808	1 2	T 2N R34W SEC29.	72.0 - 76.0	5.0	16.2	30.0 37.0	48.8 63.0	11,390 13,805					0.3
AH 34-78	1 2	T 15 R36W SEC22.	7.5	8.6	9.0	29.4 35.1	53.0 64.9	10,774 11,930					0.2

TABLE 10. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Elusive Creek Coals (continued)

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval Thickness (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/16.	С,%	н,%	N, 8	0,%	S,8
AH 37-780	12	T 1S R36W SEC24.	11.1	11.1	7,5	29.8 36.0	51.6 64.0	10,532 11,460					0.3
AH 37-78L	1 2	T 15 R36W SEC24.	11.1	9.8	6.1	31.0 36.5	53.1 63.5	10,885 11,659					0.2
AH 43-78	1 2	T 15 R36W SEC24.	12.8	10.0	5.9	30.3 35.7	53.8 64.3	10,745 11,483					0.1
AR 48-78	1 2	T 1S R35W SEC17.	72.0	7.9	9.8	37.8 33.0	54.5 67.0	10,958 12,256					0.4
AH 56-78	1 2	T IN R35W SEC32.	13.1	11.9	4.0	30.5 36.0	53.6 64.0	10,684 11,165					0,2
AH 60 - 78	1 2	T IN R36W SEC10.	5.6	12,7	4.3	31.1 37.1	51.9 62.9	10,198 10,695					0.4
AH 61-780	1 2	T IN R35W SEC16.	6.6	10.0	2.3	31.0 35.2	56.7 64.8	11,314 11,612					0.4
AH 61-78L	1 2	T IN R35W SEC16.	6.6	11.8	4.8	30.7 36.4	52.7 63.6	10,658 11,240					0.4
AH 63-78	12	T 1N R35W SEC17.	4.3	11.8	1.9	27.9 32.0	58.4 68.0	12,322 12,5 9 6					0,5
AH 8-79	1 2	T IN R36W SEC27.	. (f ¹ / ? ? ?	11.0	9.0	31.5 38.8	48.5 61.2	9,754 10,806					0.3
AH 10-79	1 2	T 1N R35W SEC31.	a - 5 -	7.9	8.4	28.5 33.4	55.2 66.6	10,740 11,813					0.3
AH 13-79	1 2	T 1N R35W SEC28.	137-P-1	9.8	2.1	33.4 37.7	54.7 62.3	11,173 11,432					0.3

TABLE 10. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Elusive Creek Coals (continued)

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Moisture %	Ash,	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/15,	C,8	Н,8	N,8	0,8	S,%
AH 15-79	1 2	T IN R35W SEC26.	10.9	2.9	32.9 37.9	53.3 62.1	10,881 11,231					0,4
AB 16-79	1 2	T IN R35W SEC26,	11.5	7.9	31.3 38.3	49.3 61.7	10,086 11,029					0.4
AH 22~79	1 2	T 1N R35W SEC25.	9.7	3.2	32.1 36.6	55.0 63.4	11,001 11,405					0.3
AH 25-79	1 2	T 1S R36W SEC 1.	13.8	10.6	29.8 38.7	45.8 61.3	9,024 10,192					0.3
AH 26-79	1 2	T 15 R36W SEC12.	10.2	6.8	30.8 36.6	52.2 63.4	10,590 11,437					0.4
AH 34-79	1 2	T 1N R34W SEC 8.	10.2	6.8	32.1 38.2	50.9 61,8	10,483 11,320					0,3
AR 35-79	1 2	T 1N R34W SEC 8.	13.5	2.2	32.8 38,7	51.5 61.3	10,099 10,342					0.3
AB 36-79	12	T IN R34W SEC 8.	14.1	8.9	27.4 34.9	49.6 65.1	9,849 10,905					0.4
AH 37-79	1 2	T IN R34W SEC 5.	9.0	11.1	28.5 34.8	51.4 65.2	10,369 11,787					0.4
AH 38-79	1 2	T 1S R35W SEC14.	12.4	3.1	31.5 37.0	53.0 63.0	10,660 11,029					0.4
78-35	1 2	T IN R34W SEC22.	5.1	1.6	32.7 34.9	60.6 65.1	13,295 13,478					0.6
F 75~79	1 2	TIS R SEC31.	14.6	4.0	32.2 39.3	49.2 60.7	10,270 10,737					0.3

TABLE 10. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Elusive Creek Coals (continued)

_

2

5. A.

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval Thickness (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/1b.	C,8	н,%	N,8	0,8	S,%
632SP300	1 2	TIS R37W SEC11.	95.0101.0	3.5	9.1	35.2 39,7	52.2 60.3	12,955 14,374	72.0 82.4	5.5 5.8	2.1 2.4	10.9 9.0	0.4
632SP307	1 2	TIS R37W SEC 2.	62.0 - 63.0	4.3	7.0	34.9 38.8	53.8 61.2	12,845 13,911	72.5 81.8	5.5 5.6	1.9 2,1	12.4 9.7	0.7 0.7
632SP321	1 2	TIN R36W SEC28.	20.0 - 30.0	4.4	5,5	34.6 38.1	55.5 61.9	13,146 13,991					0.3
632SP322	1 2	TIN R36W SEC21.	87.0 - 98.5	4.2	6.8	36.2 40.2	52.8 59.8	12,915 13,950					0.4
632SP324	12	TIN R36W SEC21.	85.0 - 94.0	5.1	7.6	34.7 39.3	52.6 60.7	12,684 13,825	72.0 82.5	5.4 5.6	1.6 1.8	13.1 9.9	0.3
632SP340	1 2	TIN R36W SEC10.	32.0 - 42.0	4.6	4.6	34.7 37.9	56.1 62.1	13,377 14,084					0.4
632SP341	1 2	TIN RIGW SEC 3.	93.0 -101.0	4.1	9,2	33.5 38.0	53.2 62.0	12,848 14,275					0.3
632SP342	1 2	TIN R36W SEC 3.	40.0 - 47.0	3.9	6.9	37.6 41.7	51.6 58.3	13,208 14,284	73.8 82.8	5.5 5.7	1.7 1.9	11.8 9.4	0.3
734SP216	12	T1S R35W SEC 9.	78.5 — 84.5	5.1	1.4	38.7 41.3	54.8 58.7						
137XSP24	12	T3N R34W SEC28.		6.3	44.7	17.6 30.7	31.4 69.3						
137XSP748	12	TIS R36W SEC14.	85.0 - 100.0	5.6	24.0	29.3 39.9	41.1 60.1	10,097 13,632					0.2
UA 125	1 2	T 1N R34W SEC22.	11.5	12.0	2.4	30.4 35.4	55.2 64.6	11,242 11,541	65.9 77.0	5.2	1.3	24.9	0.3

TABLE 10.	Proximate	and	Ultimate	Analyses	of	Elusive	Cree	k Coal	ls ((continue	d)
-----------	-----------	-----	----------	----------	----	---------	------	--------	------	-----------	----

Sample No. 7	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval Thickness (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/lb.	С,%	Н,%	N,¥	0,8	S,%
632 SP369	1 2	T 2N R36W SEC22.	85.5 93.5	6,2	13.0	33.2 40.3	47.6 59.7	11,576 13,481	64.2 79.4	4,8 5,1	1.4	16.3 13,4	0.3 0.4
632 SP370	1 2	T 2N R36W SEC15.	75.0 — 80.5	5.6	5.8	33.6 37.5	55.0 62.5	12,858 13,736					0.4
632 SP383	1 2	T 2N R36W SEC 2.	71.0 — 78.0	4,5	2.4	32.0 34.1	61.1 65.9	13,651 14,029	78.5 84.3	5.4 5.2	1.5 1.6	11.6 8.3	0.6 0.6
632 SP386	1 2	T 2N R36W SEC 2.	25.0 — 38.5	18.0	3.8	33.8 43.0	44.4 57.0	8,741 9,120					0.3
632 SP392 (several bed	1 5)2	T 3N R36W SEC35.		8.4	13.0	30.8 38.2	47.8 61.8	10,985 12,790	62.6 79.6	4.7 4.8	1.4 1.7	17.9 13.4	0.4 0.5
632 SP400	1 2	T 3N R36W SEC23.	52.0	10.0	4.0	34.1 39.4	51.9 60.6	11,804 12,349	68.2 79.3	5.4 4.9	1.5 1.7	20.6 13.8	0.3 0.3
632 SP402	1 2	T 3N R36W SEC23.	60.0 69.0	10.3	5.8	28.8 33.9	55.1 66.1	11,791 12,589					0.3
632 SP422 (two beds)	1 2	T 3N R36W SEC 2.	61.0 — 66.0 97.0 —103.0	5.4	4.8	35.0 38.6	54.8 61.4	12,993 13,719					0.5
632 SP436	1 2	T 4N R36W SEC26.	82.0 - 90.0	11.4	6.2	32.1 38.5	50.3 61.5	11,225 12,035					0.3
632 SP440	1 2	T 4N R36W SEC24.	97.5 —102.0	11.6	11.7	28.9 36.8	47.8 63.2	10,327 11,821					0.3
632 SP442	1 2	T 4N R36W SEC13.	66.5 - 73.0	10.0	8.6	30.8 37,2	50.6 62.8	11,776 12,985	67.1 82.3	5.4 5.3	1.3 1.6	17.1 10.3	0.5 0.5
632 SP447	1 2	T 4N R36W SEC12.	58.0 - 68.0	14.8	6.4	29.6 37.2	49.2	10,782 11,585					0.3

TABLE 11. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Central Utukok River Coals

_

_

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/lb.	C, 8	Н,€	N, %	0,8	S,8
632 SP467	1 2	T 5N R35W SEC33.	101.0 -103.0	14.1	21.0	25.6 37.8	39.3 62.2	8,638 11,183	49.9 77.0	5.0 5.3	1.2 1.9	22.6 15.3	0.3 0.5
632 SP480	1 2	T 5N R35W SEC16.		5.6	15.5	31.8 39.3	47.1 60.7	11,536 13,861	65.3 82.8	5,2 5,9	1.5 1.9	12.2 9.1	0.3 0.4
725 SP169 (two beds)	1 2	T 3N R36W SEC26.	54.5 — 60.5 90.0 — 98.5	8.8	11.2	38.8 48.0	41.2 52.0	10,907 12,413	64.2 80.2	4.8 4.8	1.0 1.3	18.6 13.4	0.2 0.3
725 SP206	1 2	T 2N R35W SEC 2.	94.5 —100.5	4.8	5.6	31.1 34.3	58.5 65.7	13,070 13,928	73.1 81.6	5.5 5.6	1.6 1.8	13.7 10.5	0.5 0.5
725 SP216	1 2	T 2N R35W SEC 1.	63.0 — 68.0	5,5	5.8	33.2 37.1	55.5 62.9	12,858 13,721	72.6 81,9	5.3 5.3	1.5 1.7	14.4	0.4 0.4
725 SP219	1 2	T 2N R34W SEC 6.	52.5 - 60.5	7,1	11.9	30.7 37.2	50.3 62.8	11,286 12,956	64.7 79.9	4.9 5,0	1.3 1.6	16.9 13.2	0.3 0.4
725 SP222	1 2	T 2N R34W SEC 6.	24.0 — 32.0	9.6	12.9	29.5 37.2	48.0 62.8	10,013 11,641	59.2 76.4	4.9 4.9	1.2 1.5	21.5 16.9	0.3 0.4
725 SP230	1 2	T 2N R34W SEC 9.	96.5102.0	4.0	3.6	34.6 37.2	57.8 62.8	13,684 14,254	77.2 83.6	5.5 5.5	1.3 1.4	12.1 9.2	0.3 0.4
725 SP271	1 2	T 2N R33W SEC28.	22.0 - 32.0	15.9	38.9	20.0 42.1	25.2 57.9	5,889 10,166					0.2
723 SP114	12	T 3N R34W SEC26.	85.0 — 91.0	13.2	3.2	30.2 35.8	53.4 64.2						

TABLE 11. Pr	roximate and	Ultimate A	nalyses of	Central	Utukok	River	Coals	(continued)
--------------	--------------	------------	------------	---------	--------	-------	-------	-------------

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/15.	С,8	н,ъ	N,8	0,8	S,%
603 SP211	1 2	T 2S R30W SEC12.	35.0 — 45.0	10.3	9.3	31.6 38.8	48.8 61.2	10,310 11,468	60.3 75.0	5.1 4.9	1.6 2.0	23.5 17.8	0.2 0.3
603 SP232	1 2	T 2S R30W SEC 4.	70.0 - 80.0	6.0	15.6	33.9 42.3	44.5 57.7	10,998 13,234					0.3
603 SP267	1 2	T 15 R31W SEC22.	40.0 — 45.0	9,9	5,0	33.2 38.6	51.9 61.4	10,899 11,520					0.3
F 73-79	1 2	T 1S R33W SEC11.		17.2	4.7	29.6 37.6	48.5 62.4	9,475 9,985					0.3
R4 SP2	1 2	T 1N R32W SEC29.	12.0 22.0	4.7	25.9	30.7 42.4	38.7 57.6	9,632 13,389					0.4
R4 SP21	1 2	T 15 R33W	12.0 22.0	10.5	12.7	29.0 36.8	47.8 63.2	9,733 11,287					0.4
725 SP342 (two beds)	1 2	T IN R31W SEC19.	26.5 — 30.5 36.5 — 43.0	3.9	3.0	34.0 36,4	59.1 63.6						
723 SP204	1 2	T 2N R31W SEC18.	68.0 74.0	14.3	2.1	32.2 38.2	51.4 61.8						
R3XN SP390	1 2	T 4N R29W SEC32.	30.0 - 40.0	26.5	5.5	26.1 37.9	41.9 62.1	8,580 9,123	51.4 75,7	5.9 4.3	1.1 1.6	35.9 18.1	0.2
R3XN SP397	1 2	T 3N R29W SEC 5.	78.0 — 90.0	32.7	7.2	25.5 41.8	34.6 58.2	8,119 8,803	46.9 78.1	6.9 5.4	0.9 1.4	38.D 14.8	0.1 0.3
r3XN SP443	1 2	T 2N R30W SEC13.	5.0 15.0	16.7	2.5	32.8 40.4	48.0 59.6	9,388 9,650	57.7 71.5	5.4 4.3	1.5 1.8	32.6 22.0	0.3 0.4
R3XN SP449	1 2	T 2N R30W SEC13.	15.0 - 21.0	19.0	12.9	30.4 43.7	37.7 56.3	7,331 8,513	44.8	5.0	1.4	35.6	0.3

TABLE 12. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of East Utukok River	Coal	ıl٤
--	------	-----

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/lb.	C,8	Н,%	N, 8	0,8	s,*
R7XN SP413	1 2	T IN R28W SEC11.	30.0 - 40.0	7.1	2.9	36.4 40.2	53.6 59.8	12,391 12,795	71.2 7 9. 1	5.3 5.1	1.0 1.1	19.3 14.5	0.3 0.3
R7XN SP428	1 2	T 2N R28W SEC35.	30.0 — 40.0	5,5	2.4	36.6 39.5	55.5 60.5	13,087 13,436	73.6 79.9	5.4 5.3	1.8 1.9	16.5 12.6	0.3 0.4
R7XN SP445	1 2	T 2N R28W SEC14.	24.0 31.0	8.8	3.6	33.6 38.2	54.0 61.8	12,297 12,809	71.5 81,7	5.3 4.9	1.8 2.1	17.4 10.9	0.4 0.4
R7XN SP482 (two beds)	1 2	T 3N R27W SEC18.	35.0 — 39.0 54.0 — 57.0	5.8	12.0	32.7 38.6	49.5 61.4	11,661 13,421					0.6
R7XN SP486	1 2	T 3N R27W SEC 7.	71.0 — 62.5	17.0	4.4	34.6 43.7	44.0 56.3	8,847 9,289	55.7 70.9	4.6 3.5	1.7 2.1	33.3 23.1	0.3 0.5
R7XN SP488	1 2	T 3N R27W SEC 7.	64.0 - 71.0	8,5	9.2	35.3 42.3	47.0 57.7	11,472 12,738					0.4
R7XN SP494	1 2	T 3N R27W SBC 6.	75.0 — 90.0	13.3	4.0	31.0 37.2	51.7 62.8	10,992 11,489	65.2 78.9	5.3 4.6	1.0 1.6	24.4 14.6	0.1 0.2
R7XN SP520 (several bed	1 s) 2	T 4N R27W SEC17.	55.0 - 63.0	14.6	4.1	35.4 43.3	45.9 56.7	11,033 11,550	64.0 78.8	5.5 4.7	1.4 1.7	24.6 14.3	0.4 0.5
R7XN SP531	1 2	T 4N R27W SEC 5.	25.0 - 32.0	10.9	5.4	31.0 36.6	52.7 63.4	11,347 12,062	65.9 78.8	5.0 4.5	1.3 1.6	22.0 14.6	0.4
R7XN SP582	1 2	T 6N R27W SEC25.	73.0 - 81.0	13.7	7.8	29.0 36.4	49.5 63.6	10,459 11,432	60.0 76.6	5.5	1.1 1.4	25.3 16.6	0.3 0.4

TABLE 13. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Lookout Ridge Coals

Sample No. Depth Interval (feet)	*Basis	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/lb.	С,8	Н,8	N,8	0,8	5,%
225.0- 735.0	1 2	16.9	5.5	30.5 38.9	47.1 61.1	9,585 10,191					0.3
220.0- 360.0	1 2	17.8	9.4	30.5 40.1	42.3 59.9	9,097 10,134					0.4
400.0- 430.0	1 2	18.5	6.8	29.6 39.1	45.1 60.9	9,584 10,341	53.9 72.2	6.1 5.3	1.0 1.3	31.9 20.7	0.3 0.5
430.0- 460.0	1 2	16.6	10.9	28.8 38.9	43.7 61.1	9,365 10,620					0.3
470.0- 490.0	1 2	16.8	12.1	28.1 38.6	43.0 61.4	9,245 10,634					0.3
695.0 - 705.0	1 2	18,8	6.5	28.7 37.9	46. 0 62.1	9,687 10,422	55.4 74.1	6.2 5.4	1.1 1.5	30.4 18.5	0.4 0.5
725.0- 735.0	1 2	17.9	6.3	29.0 37.9	46. 8 62.1	9,920 10,650	57.0 75.3	6.0 5.2	1.2 1.5	29.2 17.6	0.3 0.4
785.0- 800.0	1 2	17.3	11.1	29.1 39.8	42.5 60.2	9,439 10,720					0.4
910.0- 940.0	1 2	17.6	8.0	28.4 37.4	46.0 62.6	9,835 10,770					0.5
995.0- 1005.0	1 2	17.5	8.7	28.7 38.1	45.1 61.9	9,750 10,763					0.7
1025.0- 1140.0	1 2	16.9	7.2	27.6 35.7	48.3 64.3	10,079 10,938					0.5
1180.0- 1203.0	1 2	16.4	5.7	30.3 38.4	47.6 61.6	10,360 11,042	59.8 76.7	6.1 5.4	1.3 1.7	26.7 15.7	0.4
1230.0- 1260.0	1 2	16.7	5.2	29.3 37.1	48.8 62.9	10,273 10,895					0.4

TABLE 14 Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Tunalik Test Well Coals (T10N R36N SEC20)

85

Sample No. Depth Interval (feet)	*Basis	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/16.	С,8	н,%	N,8	0,8	S,%
1305.0- 1410.0	1 2	15.6	6.2	29.7 37.5	48.5 62.5	10,488 11,254					0,5
1400.0- 1635.0	1 2	15.3	6.6	30.3 38.3	47.8 61.7	10,535 11,352					0.5
1650.0- 1672.0	1 2	16.0	8.0	28.7	47.3 62.9	10,155 11,119					0.5
1672.0- 1800.0	1 2	15.4	9.4	28.8 37.5	46.4 62.5	10,055 11,195					0.4
1830.0-1860.0	1 2	15.9	6.0	29.4 37.2	48.7 62.8	10,478	60.4 77.3	5.8 5.2	1.4 1.8	25 .9 15 . 1	0.5 0.6
1905.0- 1915.0	12	13.9	10.7	29.2 37.9	46.2 62.1	10,187 11,522	57.8 76.7	5.9 5.7	1.3 1.8	23.8 15.1	0.5 0.7
1960.0- 1975.0	12	14.9	4.2	29.7 36.3	51.2 63.7	11,130 11,661					0.4
2015.0- 2020.0	12	14.9	6.6	29.7 37.3	48.8 62.7	10,754 11,579					0.4
2070.0- 2400.0	1 2	14.0	4.4	31.1 37.8	50.5 62.2	11,311 11,878					0.3
2480.0- 2490.0	1 2	12.1	7.1	29.6 36.2	51.2 63.8	11,287 12,232					0.3
2520.0- 2540.0	12	12.0	4.8	30.2 36.0	53.0 64.0	11,470 12,110	65.3 78.5	5.8 5.3	1.2 1.5	22.5 14.5	0.4
2580.0- 2600.0	12	12.1	4.6	31.3 37.2	52.0 62.8	11,599	65.9 79.1	5.9	1.3	21.9	0.4

TABLE 14 Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Tunalik Test Well Coals (TION R36N SEC20) (continued)

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, ≹	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon, %	Heating Value BTU/lb.	C,8	Н,%	N, 8	0,8	5,8
602 SP152	1 2	TIIN R29W SEC29.	75.0 80.0	19.8	12.9	28.9 42.1	38.4 57.9	8,560 9,947	50.9 75.6	5.4 4.7	1.2 1.8	29.3 17.3	0.3
703 SP98	1 2	TILN R34W SEC35.	44.0 - 48.0	32.3	3.8	27.4 42.5	36.5						
703 SP110	1 2	TIIN R33W SEC30.	41.0 — 54.0	19.4	4.9	32.3 42.4	43.4 57.6						
703 SP119	1 2	TIIN R33W SEC21.	52.0 - 64.0	19.8	5.9	30.2 40.2	44.1 59.8	9,011 9,621					0.3
703 SP122	12	TIIN R33W SEC22.	58.0 68.0	19.6	5.0	30.1 39.4	45.3 60.6						
703 SP125	1 2	TIIN R33W SEC23.	49.0 — 58.0	17.8	3.8	30.2 38.2	48.2 61.8						
710 <i>S</i> P3	1 2	TIIN R35W SEC 6.	61.0 — 68.0	21.8	3.3	30.3 40.0	44.6 60.0						
712 <i>S</i> P1	1 2	T12N R35W SEC27,	16.0 - 22.0	26.0	1.3	27.3 37.5	45.4 62.5						
712 SP3	$\frac{1}{2}$	T12N R35W SEC27.	64.0 — 67,0	18.8	1.3	38.4 47.8	41.5						
712 SP11	12	TIIN R35W SEC 3.	46.0 — 51.0	18.6	4,2	40.9 52.6	36.3 47.4						
712 SP27	1 2	TIIN R35W SEC26.	41.0 - 49.0	19.1	1.5	38.6 48.5	40.8 51.5						
D4XNSP29	1 2	T12N R34W SEC17.		20.3	1.8	38.1 48.8	39.8 51.2						
D4XNSP29	1 2	T12N R34W SEC20.		19.9	5.4	34.1 45.3	40.6 54.7						

TABLE 15. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Kuk River Coals

Sampl	e No.	*Basis	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/lb.	C,8	H,%	N,8	0,8	S,ŧ
135.0-	145.0	1 2	17.6	6.7	30.4 39.6	45.3 60.4	9,731 10,490					0.4
190.0-	200.0	1 2	17.3	5.0	32.3 41.1	45.4 58.9	9,892 10,457					0.7
250.0-	260.0	1 2	18.4	3.7	31.8 40.5	46. 1 59.5	9,976 10,391					0.5
300.0-	310.0	1 2	17.1	4.8	32.3 40.9	45.8 59.1	9,948 10,499					0.8
345.0-	350.0	1 2	17.0	3.8	32.4 40.6	46.8 59.4	10,133 10,572	60.5 76.4	4.8 3.7	1.2 1.6	29.4 17.8	0.3 0.5
350.0-	360.0	1 2	17.2	5.7	30.7 3 9.4	46.3 60.6	9, 878 10 , 531					0.4
360.0-	365.0	1 2	17.9	5.5	30.7 39.6	45.9 60.4	9,812 10,435					0.4
400.0-	410.0	1 2	15.2	9. 5	31.6 41.1	43.7 58.9	9,779 10,913	56.6 75.2	5.1 4,5	1.2 1.6	26.2 16.8	1.4 1.9
690.0-	700.0	1 2	14.9	3.2	34.9 42.3	47.0 57.7	10,678 11,070					0.5
750.0-	780.0	1 2	15.7	5.3	32.5 40.7	46.5 59.3	10,387 11,025	60 .9 77 . 1	5.3 4.5	1.4 1.7	26.6 16.0	0.5 0.7
7 90. 0-	800.0	1 2	15.3	6.5	32.4 41.0	45.7 59.0	10,222 11,003	5 9.4 76.1	5.4 4.6	1.4 1.7	26.7 16.8	0.6 0.8

TABLE 16. Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Peard Bay Test Well (T16N R28W SEC25)

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Depth/Interval (feet)	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Heating Value BTU/15,	C,8	Н,%	N, 8	0,8	S,%
ESTW#2	1 2	TI9N RIIW SEC23.	79.0- 137.0	1.7	46.4	19.7 32.9	32.2 67.1	6,813 13,679				_	0.04

TABLE 17 Proximate and Oltimate Analyses of East Simpson Test Well No. 2 Coal

TABLE 18 Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Umiat Coal

Sample No.	*Basis	Location	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Reating Value BTO/lb.	С,8	н,8	N,8	0,8	5,8
U7 SP12	1 2	T 2S R 3W SEC 2.	5.3	19.9	29.9 38,6	44.9 61.4	10,212 13,017					0.5

TABLE 19 Proximate and Ultimate Analyses of Ikpikpuk Coal

Sample No,	*Basis	Location	Moisture %	Ash, %	Volatile Matter,%	Fixed Carbon,%	Beating Value BTU/16.	C,8	н, %	N,*	0,8	5,8
U2 SP65	1 2	T 3S RL3W SEC25.	14.6	42.9	19.3 40.4	23.2 59.6						

S	amole	v	v	Refl V	ectance V	Mean Maximum Beflectance			
Number		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Rom%
SS	70-W17				69	21	10		.69
SS	70-W19				40	60			,72
SS	70-W27				40	52	8		.72
SS	70-W28				78	22			.67
55	70-W29		84	16					. 49
SS	70-W3DA			48	42	10			.61
55	70-W30B		24	76					.52
SS	70-W30C	5	49	52	4				.51
SS	70-W30D			6	52	42			.67
SS	70-W30E		9	61	30				.58
SS	70-W31A		11	59	30				.56
SS	70-W31B		19	60	21				.55
SS	70-W32A				80	20			.68
SS	70-328				5	86	9		.74
SS	70-W32C				72	28			.67
SS	70-W33V			6	35	40	19		.71
SS	70- W 33M			66	33				,59
SS	70-W33L			32	61	7			.64
SS	7 0- ₩73				60	36	4		.69
SS	70- \7 4			35	62	3			.64
SS	70-W80		9	41	36	14			.61
SS	70-W82		9	40	51				.57
SS	70- W83		10	76	14				.55
S 5	70-W88				49	46	5		.70

Table 20 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Corwin Bluff Coals

Semple		v	v	Reflectance Class V V V V			v	v	Mean Maximum Reflectance	
Number	۲	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	R _{om%}	
AH 73-	·1		15	30	41	14			.71	
AH 73-	1A			g	71	16	4		.76	
AH 73-	18		я	42	29	21	-		.72	
AH 73-	-10		15	69	16	L ,			.66	
AH 73-	1D		24	56	20				.65	
AH 73-	-2			60	22	18			.71	
AH 73-	3		12	68	20				.64	
AH 73~	-4		41	54	5				.61	
AH 73-	.5		ิด	32	49	6	ß		.71	
AH 73-	6		16	50	23	11	0		68	
AH 73-	8		12	78	10				.62	
AH 73-	10A		•-		68	22	10		. 78	
AH 73~	10B			30	62	8	10		.73	
AH 73-	100			52	48	-			- 69	
AH 73-	23A			78	22				. 68	
AH 73-	238		4	46	40	5			. 69	
AH 73-	24		-	39	36	25			.72	
AH 73-	25A		20	36	44				.67	
AH 73-	258		25	35	36	9			. 67	
AH 73-	250		8	64	27	1			.67	
AH 73-	27		-	24	56	20			. 73	
AH 73-	29			_ /	29	53	28		.86	
AH 73-	31A		8	22	52	18			.74	
AH 73-	318		-	58	42				.71	
AH 73-	32		4	36	55	5			.70	
AH 73-	34		-	12	66	12			.73	
AH 73-	36		B	24	36	20	12		.74	
DH 72-	11		7	73	20		• =		. 65	
AH 74-	AB				14	68	20		-84	
AH 74-	9B			25	51	24			.75	
AH 74-	90			11	85	4			.75	
AH 74-	11				00	71	29		.87	
AH 74-	15			15	56	24	5		.77	
		v	v	v	v	v				
		<u>11</u>	12	13	14	15			10000	
AH 74-	14A	4	21	46	24	5			1.36	

Table 21 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Cape Beaufort Coals

		Re		Mean Maximum			
Sample	V	V	v	V	V	V	Reflectance
Number	4	5	6	7	8	9	R _{om%}
SS 67-1				78	22		.75
SS 67-2				48	52		.79
SS 67-3				20	42	38	.86
SS 67-4			21	55	24		.75
SS 67-5				24	76		.82
SS 67-6			20	49	31		.76
SS 67-8				58	40	2	.79
SS 67-9			18	72	10		.74
55 67-10		2	52	46			.70
SS 67-11			61	39			.69
SS 67-12			48	52			.70

Table 22 Reflectence Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Kukpowruk River Coals

Table 23 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in West Utukok River Coals

	Reflectance Class Mean Max											
Sample	V	V	V	v	V	V	٧	Reflectance				
Number	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	R _{om%}				
725 SP 45		28	72					.60				
725 60 63		19	81					.63				
725 GP 68		25	75					.60				
725 SP 69		57	43					.60				
725 SP 77		26	65	9				.64				
725 SP 81		29	61	10				.63				
725 SP 131		4	86	10				.66				
725 SP 135			61	35	4			.69				
85XN SP 340				14	58	28		.86				
85XN SP 354				31	61	8		.83				
A5XN SP 359			1	49	50			.79				
R5XN SP 368			8	46	44	2		.79				
R5XN SP 384			14	54	32			.76				
R5XN SP 394			6	45	45	4		.80				
630 SP 413			14	86				.73				
630 SP 441		4	72	24				. 68				
630 SP 444			24	72	4			.72				
630 SP 471			6	34	50	10	S	.80				
F 12-79			30	68	2			.72				
E 20-79			2	63	35			.76				

Sample	v	v	Refle V	Reflectance Class V V V			v	Maan Maximum Reflectance	
Number	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Rom%	
605 SP 393				8	66	26		.86	
605 SP 402			6	58	34	2		.77	
605 SP 428			4	29	62	5		.81	
605 SP 431			16	69	15			.75	
605 SP 434			8	66	24	2		.77	
605 SP 435			24	69	7			.73	
605 SP 439			30	40	30			.76	
605 SP 442			32	64	4			.71	
605 SP 447				32	60	8		.82	
605 SP 448			45	53	2			.71	
605 SP 454				48	44	8		.82	
605 SP 455			6	64	30			.77	
605 SP 457			40	40	20			.72	
605 SP 461		2	6	82	10			.75	
605 SP 465			40	54	6			.70	
605 SP 467		4	18	64	14			.75	
605 SP 471		4	28	36	32			.75	
605 SP 472			20	55	25			.79	
605 SP 474			8	56	32	4		.71	
UA 126				10	18	62	10	.96	
AH 3-78		5	38	45	12			.72	
AH 4-78			32	52	16			.73	
AH 12-78		4	24	52	20			.74	
AH 16-78			63	33	4			.69	
AH 18-78		76	24					.59	
AH 19-78		25	75					.63	
AH 21-78		71	29					. 57	
AH 22-78	4	61	35					.58	
AH 23-7B			36	74				.72	
AH 25-78		5	57	32	6			.69	
a 78~14		1	33	46	20			.73	
A 78-15			30	43	23	4		.74	
AH 1-79			5	54	41			. 78	
AH 4–79			2	78	20			.77	
AH 5-79				56	44			.80	
AH 6-79			7	63	28	2		.77	
AH 7-79			22	66	10	2		.75	
R5XN SP 450			6	27	65	2		.81	
R5XN SP 457			8	55	37			.78	
85XN SP 458			10	57	33			. 78	
R5XN SP 462		5	43	49	Э			.69	

Table 24 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Kokolik River Coals

			Mean Maximum					
Sample	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	RefLectance
Number	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	R _{om%}
C 79-37			30	57	19			00
C 70_/0			20	50	10			.03
			47	15	10	00	•	.02
G 78-41			17	45	13	23	2	.90
6 78-43		•	20	50	30			.86
6 /8-55		2	30	61	7			.83
G 78–58			10	50	40			.89
6 78-80		4	14	58	24			.74
G 78-84		2	13	85				.72
G 78-89				59	37	4		.80
G 78-93			41	57	2			.71
G 78-94			2	48	50			.78
G 78-97			22	62	16			.73
G 78-99				20	66	14		.84
133 X SP 431		35	60	5				.72
133 X SP 432	4	46	41	9				.72
F 45-79	1.000	2	60	38				.78
F 47-79		1	5	84	10			.86
85 SP 801		÷.		88	12			85
H5 SP 605			78	22	100			77
137 Y SD 656			10	100				.,,
137 Y SD 686				6	83	11		.04
107 A SF 000				U	00	14		.30

Table 25 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Archimedes Ridge Coals

Sample	v	v	Refle V	ctance V	Class V	v	v	Mean Maximum Reflectance		
Number	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	R _{am%}		
605 SP 307			4	44	46	6		.80		
605 SP 327			38	48	14			.72		
605 SP 328		2	46	46	6			.71		
605 SP 329			52	48				.69		
605 SP 330			28	60	12			.72		
605 SP 331			16	38	46			.77		
605 SP 332			7	80	13			.76		
605 SP 336			12	64	24			. 78		
605 SP 337			27	68	5			.74		
605 SP 338		1	54	44	1			.71		
605 SP 339			20	76	4			.74		
605 SP 340			26	62	12			.72		
605 SP 342			24	60	16			.74		
605 SP 347			9	65	26			.75		
137 X SP 736			14	70	16			.76		
137 X SP 737			4	70	26			.76		
137 X SP 742		28	32	40				.65		
137 X SP 744	5	15	30	50				.74		
137 X SP 745		29	44	27				.72		
137 X SP 749			69	31				.69		
137 X SP 753			77	23				. 68		
137 X SP 754		19	54	23	4			.66		
137 X SP 755			24	46	30			.76		
137 X SP 757		17	64	19				.66		
137 X SP 758			9	54	35	2		.80		
137 X SP 764		20	63	17				.65		
137 X SP 765		9	69	22				. 68		
137 X SP 767		З	61	29	7			.68		
137 X SP 768		12	53	35				. 69		
137 X SP 771			42	55	3			.72		
137 X SP 773			52	43	5			.70		
137 X SP 775		11	60	25	4			. 68		
137 X SP 777		5	61	34				, 68		
137 X SP 802			16	84				.72		
137 X SP 804			56	44				. 69		
137 X SP 808			10	76	14			.76		
AH 34-78			25	60	15			.74		
AH 37-78V			53	44	3			. 69		
AH 37-78L		5	86	9				.65		
AH 43-78		4	62	29	5			. 68		
AH 48-78			5	55	40			. 78		

Table 26 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Elusive Creek Coals

	Reflectance Class Mean									
Sample	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	Reflectance		
Number	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	=		
							1000	Hom%		
AH 56-78		14	81	5				.63		
AH 60-78			18	72	10			.74		
AH 61-78V			4	36	50	10		.81		
AH 61-78L		6	45	49				.69		
AH 63-78		34	51	15				.63		
AH 8-79			32	50	18			.70		
AH 10-79				52	48			.79		
AH 13-79			8	89	3			.72		
AH 15-79			10	88	2			.74		
AH 16-79			43	57				.71		
AH 22-79			26	74				.72		
AH 25-79			13	87				.74		
AH 26-79			31	69				.72		
AH 34-79		4	36	55	5			.71		
AH 35-79				50	44	6		.79		
AH 36-79				19	60	21		.84		
AH 37-79				26	69	5		.82		
AH 38-79			21	54	25			.76		
78-35				14	78	8		.85		
F 75-79		38	62					.60		
UA 125			33	67				.71		
632 SP 300		4	24	48	24			.72		
632 SP 307			8	68	24			.76		
632 SP 321			30	54	16			.74		
632 SP 322			34	54	12			.73		
632 SP 324			14	46	40			.77		
632 SP 340			2	60	36	2		.80		
632 SP 341			10	44	40	6		.79		
632 SP 342			2	58	40			.78		
734 SP 218		_	33	66	4			.73		
137 X SP 748		З	59	38				.69		

Table 26 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Elusive Creek Coals (continued)
			Refle	ctance	Class			Mean Maximum
Samp Le	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	Reflectance
Numbe r	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	5
								<u>"om%</u>
632 SP 369			18	68	14			.74
632 SP 370			8	62	30			. 79
632 SP 383				4	84	12		.84
632 SP 386				16	Б4	20		.85
632 SP 392			76	24				.67
632 SP 400		1	45	52	2			.69
632 SP 402		1	20	70	9			.73
632 SP 422			2	66	32			.77
632 SP 436		2	78	20				.67
632 SP 440		4	44	47	5			.70
632 SP 442		8	44	48				.69
632 SP 447		З	73	24				.67
632 SP 467		34	63	З				.61
632 SP 480			6	56	38			. 78
725 SP 169			50	46	4			. 70
725 SP 206			45	50	5			.71
725 SP 216			8	94				.73
725 SP 219			9	56	28	7		. 78
725 SP 222			3	63	24			.76
725 SP 230			28	43	29			.74
725 SP 271			3	87	10			.75
723 SP 114					59	41		-89
137 X SP 24			48	52				.70

Table 27 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Central Utukok River Coals

Table 28 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in East Utukok River Coals

			Refle	ctance	Class			Mean Maximum
Sample	V	V	V	V	v	v	v	Reflectance
Number	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
603 SP 211			44	50	6			.70
603 SP 232		4	32	52	12			.72
603 SP 267				40	60			.79
F 73-79			43	57				.71
R4 SP 2				18	74	8		.84
R4 SP 21			6	52	40	2		.79
725 SP 342			5	67	25	3		. 78
723 SP 204				88	12			.76
R 3XN SP 390		20	54	26				. 65
R 3XN SP 397		66	34					. 58
R 3XN SP 443				46	48	6		.81
R 3XN SP 449			26	58	16	_		.74

		Reflectance Class Mea											
Sample	9	V	v	V	V	V	V	V	Reflectance				
Number	<u> </u>	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Rom%				
R7XN SP	9 413				30	68	2		.71				
R7XN SF	428				10	65	25		.76				
R7XN SF	44 5				28	60	12		.73				
R7XN SP	482		4	32	64				.60				
R7XN SF	486				18	60	20	5	.75				
R7XN SF	488			11	53	36			.68				
47XN SF	494		4	78	18				.56				
R7XN SF	o 520			52	48				.60				
R7XN SP	^o 531		4	64	32				.58				
R7XN SF	9 582		10	60	30	1.20	i	12	. 58				

Table 29 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Lookout Ridge Coals

Table 30 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Tunelik Test Well Coels

Depth			Re	flecta	nce CL	888			Meen Maximum
Interval	V	V	v	V	V	V	٧	V	Reflectance
Feet	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	R _{om%}
225-735				8	92				. 53
220-360				9	60	31			.57
400-430			83	17					.37
430-460				12	76	12			. 43
470-490				8	81	11			.55
695-705			89	11					.38
725-735					23	67	10		.63
785~800				45	46	9			.54
910-940				12	60	28			.56
955-1005				12	47	41			.57
1025-1140				6	48	46			.58
1180-1203				7	75	18			.57
1230-1260				8	76	16			.56
1305-1410				52	46	2			.52
1400-1635					64	36			.60
1650-1672				10	62	28			.5B
1672-1800				24	64	12			.54
1830-1860					72	28			.57
1905-1915					20	71	9		.65
1960 -1 975					37	58	5		.62
2015-2020				15	55	30			.56
2070-2400					83	17			.58
2480-2490					32	68			.62
2520-2540					44	56			.61
2580-2600					16	80	4		.63

		Re	flecta	nce CL	888		Mean Maximum
Sample	V	V	V	v	V	v	Reflectance
Number	3	4	5	6	7	8	R _{om%}
602 SP 152			7 0	30			. 58
703 SP 98		39	50	11			,52
703 SP 110		13	77	10			.55
703 SP 119			47	44	19		.60
703 SP 122		B	82	10			.54
703 SP 125			40	52	В		.60
710 SP 3			42	56	2		. 51
712 SP 1			18	64	18		.63
712 SP 3		10	81	9			.54
712 SP 11			61	39			.57
712 SP 27			72	20	8		. 57
D4X2 SP 26		23	67	10			.52
D4XW SP 29		25	75				.52

Table 31 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Kuk River Coals

Table 32 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Peard Bay Test Well Coals

Depth			Reflec		Mean Maximum			
Interval	V	v	V	V	V	v	V	Reflectance
Feet	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	R _{om%}
135-145			16	76	8			.54
190-200		4	37	52	7			.51
250-260			32	74	4			.55
300-310		4	24	72				.52
345-350			20	45	30	5		. 58
350-360			14	62	24			.57
360-365			24	60	16			.57
400-410			37	63				.51
690-700			48	52				. 51
750-780			44	52	4			.51
790-800			48	48	4			.51

		RefLe	ctance	Class		Mean Maximum
Sample	٧	v	V	V	V	Reflectance
Number	9	10	11	12	13	Rom%
East Simpson #2		33	62	5		1.11

Table 33 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in East Simpson Test Well Coal

Table 34 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinites in Umiat Coal

		Refle	ctance	Class	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	Mean Maximum			
Sample	V	V	V	V	V	Reflectance			
Number	5	6	7	8	9	Rom%			
U-7 SP 12		64	31	5		.68			

Table 35 Reflectance Rank Distribution of Vitrinite in Ikpikpuk Coal

		Refle	ctance	Class		Mean Maximum
Sample Number	V 2	V 3	V 4	V 5	V 6	Reflectance R _{om%}
U-2 SP 65		15	79	6		.44

Table 36 Distribution of Macerals in Corwin Bluff Coals

Sample Number	Vitrinite	Pseudovítrínite	Gelinite	Phiobaphinite	Pseudo phiobaphinite	Sporinite	ResinIte	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Líptinites	FusInite	Semitusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
SS 70-117	78,0	17.3	1,1	1.3	.7	1.8	1,0	.3	-	_	_	.9	.4	.2	1.2	.2	-	.6	-
85 70-w19	72.4	17,6	.3	1.2	1.2	.7	2.1	2 .2	_	_	-	_	.3	_	.9	-	-	1.3	-
SS 70-W2/	63.6	24,4	.4	.4	_	2.4	1,6	.0	_	-	_	_	_	_	4,8	-	-	1.6	-
SS 70-W28	64.0	19,0	3.0	_	2,0	5,0	3 .0	2,0	-	-	-	_	-	_	5.0	-	-	-	-
36 70-4628	89. 0	9,5	5,5	_	.5	4,0	5.0	1.0	.5		-	-	-	1.0	5.5	-	-	2.5	-
SS 70-1130A	70.4	13.6	1.0	.8	_	2.6	1.8	_	.2	-	_	_	_	8.	4.2	.6	1.5	4.0	-
SS 70-46308	71.6	20.4	.8	.6	.4	.4	1,8	.8	-	-	-	,2	_	_	2.4	-	-	.8	-
98 70-400C	59.5	16.6	1.5	2.71	_	3.5	1,9	.9	~	.2	.3	-	~	.2	9.9	2.1	-	3,4	-
<u>85</u> 70-40300	64.2	15.0	2.4	1.2	.4	3.4	2.6	.4	-	-	-	.2	—	.6	6.0	1,0	-	2.6	-
96 70-WGOE	70,9	19,1	3.4	.4	1.2	.7	1.1	1.1	_	-	_	-	_4	.2	1.2	-		,5	-
SE 70-W31A	59.9	19,4	1.1	.4	.3	2.0	.3	.4	-	_	.3	-	-	1.8	9.9	.6	-	3.6	-
SS 70-W31B	56.0	15,8	.9	1-	1.7	4.3	1 <i>.</i> 8	.6	1.4	-	-	-	_	.9	11.5	1.6	-	3.5	-
SS 70-463A	49.8	9,9	.5	.7	1.4	4.2	2.3	_	_	_	.1	_	-	.7	22.3	3.0	.7	4,4	-
SS 70-4628	59. 5	10.2	.5	.6	.1	1.5	1.1	.2	-	-	.1	-	-	.3	12.0	1.2	-	12.7	-
SS 70-W32C	61.4	9.9	.8	.7	۵.	3.7	2. 8	.4	_	_	8.	_	_	1.0	13.7	.9	-	3,3	-
SS 70-4637V	49.5	8.9	.5	-	.4	2.0	.2	.7		-	-		.2	2.7	21.5	2.6	1.9	8.7	-
56 70-WCCH	74.1	12.3	-		.1	1.3	.1		.1	-	-	-	-	.6	7.0	.9	.6	3.0	-
SE 70-WC3L	67.0	20.6	-	1.2	.2	2,4	1.0	.4	.9	-	-	_	_	_	4.4	.6	+	1.4	-
SS 70-173	69.2	10.0	-	.4	_	2,0	.2	-	~	-	-	-	_	.2	13.6	1.2	-	4.2	-
96 70-₩ 74	71.4	14.0	1.4	5	.9	2.3	2,2	-	-	-	~	-		.7	2.9	1.2	-	3.0	-
SS 70-W80	61.9	12.9	4.1	1.5	1.0	3.9	2,0	-	.7	.7	-	_	~	.4	7.D	.6	-	3.3	-
SS 70-WB2	64.0	15.0	2.8	2.6	1,2	3.8	3.6	8,	.4	-	-	-	_	-	4.0	.8	-	1,2	-
96 70-WB3	72.6	12.4	1,9	1.0	.4	5.8	1.9	.6	-	-	-	_	_	.6	3.8	.2	-	2.2	-
85 70-W88	66.0	15.6	1.0	1.0	_4	3,0	1,8	,2	.2	-	,2	-	-	.2	8.0	1.4	-	3.0	-
																	'		

101

Table 37 Distribution of Macerals in Cape Beaufort Coals

F	\sim												-						
Sample Numbe	Vitrinite	Pseudovitrinite	Gelinite	Phiobaphinite	Pseudo phiobaphinite	Sporinite 7	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
AH 73-1	54.8	5.8	-	.2	1.4	.6	1.0	~	_	,4	-	2	.4	2.2	21.8	3.3	2,1	6.4	-
ah 73-1a	60.4	3.6	.6	-	3.6	1.B	.в	.6	-	-	-	÷	_	1,0	18.4	4.4	-	4,8	-
AH 73-18	54.5	4,4	1.0	.2	5.6	1.8	.9	.2	.1	.3	-	2	(EE)	3.3	22.6	2,5	.3	5,2	.1
AH 73-10	54.1	5.5	.4	.2	1,8	1.7	1.7	.4	_	_	-	-	$r \frac{1}{d r}$	5.0	19,4	1,9	-	7.9	-
AH 73-10	57.0	8,9	.6	~	1.4	2 . 0	.4	.4	_	-	.2	-	.8	.4	20.4	4.2	-	8.6	-
AH 73-2	57.6	6.0	1.2	.4	.6	3.8	1.2	-	.2		-	-		1.2	16.2	5,8	-	5.8	-
AH 73-3	53.3	4.0	d i	A 73	び法	1.0	.7	—	-		~	-	-	6.5	21.7	1.8	1.2	9,8	-
AH 73-4	ଞ.5	14.8	1.3		.5	2.4	1.3	.5	-	-	.5	Ŧ	7	-	8.8	3.3	.5	2.6	-
AH 73-5	56.9	4,8	,9	.3	1.5	1.6	.9	.2	1	e d e	.7	-		.9	23,4	1.7	.6	6.7	-
AH 73-6	53,2	5,2	1,0	.1	2.1	1.5	1.7	.4	I		.5	-	-	3.1	23.9	4.4	-	2.9	-
AH 73-8	56.9	14.5	1.1	.3	.5	1.5	1,8	-	-	-	.9	1	-	.8	16.5	.6	-	4.6	-
ah 73-10a	65.6	8.0	2.0		2.0	1.2	.0	-	2	-	1	-	-	1.6	21.6	4,0	-	3.2	-
AH 73-108	63,8	22.4	1,2	_	_	3.1	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	.4	6.1	1,3	-	1.7	-
AH 73-100	53.4	18.7	1.0	.1	.6	.5	1.1	_	_	_	.3	-	-	5,5	12,9	2,7	-	3.2	-
AH 73-23A	60.0	15.8	.4	_	_	1.6	1.2	_	T		.8	1		2.6	13.6	1.6	. - - A	1.2	_
AH 73-238	58.4	16.3	2.0	_	1.4	2,4	1.5	.5	.1		.4	7	4	1.4	7.1	2.1	-	6.4	-
дн 73-24	67.0	23.5	.3	-	.3	.5	1.0	.4	-	-	.2	-	-	.2	4.6	.6	-	1.4	-
ah 73-25a	59,6	4.8	1.8	.2	1.6	2.5	1.6	.1	-	-	,1	-	-	2.4	20.4	.8	-	4.1	-
AH 73-25B	62.8	13.8	.Э	_2	.2	1.8	.3	5،	-	_	8.	3	5-	1.2	19.6	1.2	1,2	6.0	
AH 73-25C	52,8	13,6	1.4	.4	1.2	1.8	.9	.3	,2	-	.2	-	1 -1	1.2	18.0	1.8	-	6.2	-
AH 73-27	69.9	16.2	.4	.1	.6	.5	,5	.2	-	-	,7	-	-		8.3	.2	-	2.4	-
AH 73-29	78,0	8.5	-6	,2	.7	.2	1.1	-	_	_	.4	Ē		.5	6.6	.9	.7	1.7	-
AH 73-31A	39.3	6.1	1.0	_	1,9	1,4	1,0	_	-		-		.1	.9	21.7	4.9	5.9	15.7	.1
AH 73-31B	52,0	9,2	-8	.4	.8	1,6	.8	_	_	_	-	-		.4	24,4	1.8	1.0	6.8	-
AH 73-32	67.0	15.8	,4	.2	.2	1,1	,7	_	_	٤4	-		-	.6	8.8	1.4	.8	2.6	÷

Table 37 Distribution of Macerals in Cape Beaufort Coals (cont.)

Sample Numbe	VitrInite	PseudovítrInite	Gellníte	Phiobaphinite	Pseudo phiobaphíníte	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macriníte	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
AH 73-34	56.9	10.1	.4	1,2	8.	1,6	.7	.2	~	.1	.2	-	_	1,4	20.6	1.9	.9	4,0	15
AH 73-36	68.9	5,9	,9	.5	4	1.7	.4	.4	.1	_	.3	_	_	.2	15.4	.9	-	3.9	-
OH 72-11	72.6	14,4	.7	.4	5-3	.9	.9	.4	.2	.1	.1	-	_	2.0	2.6	.2		2.2	
AH 74-9A	48.3	12.5	1.9	.1	1.6	1,0	,4	.1	-	-		_	-	,4	ක.3	8.	.9	6.7	-
ah 74-98	63.0	18.0	1.0	1.2	.8	1,4	-	Ą	.2	-	,2	_	-	,4	10.2	1.2	.4	3,8	-
AH 74-90	80.7	9.2	1.0	.2	-8	.7	2	.4	_	_	_	-	_	_	3.6	-6	-	2.8	-
AH 74-11	73.4	12.2	1.1	.7	.5	,4	.2	.2	.1	-	-	_		.2	5.9	.5	-	4.6	-
AH 74-14A	34.8	42.5	.2	-	-	_		_			-	-		.7	10.0	6.2	-	5.6	-
AK 74-15	64.4	20.6	.6	.2	_	2.2	1.2	.2	.2	_	_	_	-	.2	6.0	.2	1	4.0	÷

Table 38 Distribution of Macerals in Kukpowruk River Coals

Sample Numbe	Vitrìnite	Pseudovitrinite	Gellnite	Phlobaphinite	Pseudo phlobaphinite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
SS 67-1	74.6	13.2	8.9	.2	1.6	1.6	_	_	.6	_	_	-		-	4,2	.4	-	.8	-
SS 67-2	52.3	22.5	1.4	.1	1.0	1.0	.6	.2		_	_	,1		.9	16.5	.8	-	2,6	-
SS 67-3	54.0	4.9	5.0	2	1.8	1.3	.3	.2	.1	-	.1	-	-	.6	27.2	2.1	1.5	3,5	.1
SS 67-4	53.4	19.2	1.2	.2	. 8	3,6	.8	.6	_	_	_	-	.2	1.3	13.2	1,0	-	4.4	.1
SS 67-5	55.1	19.2	1.4	.2	.4	4,0	1.4	1.1	-	_	—	.2	- 2	1.0	10,7	.6	-	3.6	.1
SS 57-6	52.4	24.8	1.4	1.1	1.0	.9	.8	.4	.2	.1	-	.7	12	.6	11,2	1.3	9,	2.2	-
85 67 -8	59 .2	17.2	1.2	-5	1.0	2.0	1.9	.9	.1	-	.4	-	-	.4	10.6	1.4	.2	2.8	-
SS 67 -9	61.8	16.2	2.2	.2	.4	2.6	.6	.2	-	E	1.2	-	-	.2	9,8	.4	-	4.2	-
SS 67-10	70.8	14.8	.6	-	8.	1.6	.4	.2	.2	dir.	1.2	6	-	.3	6.8	-2	-	2.0	.1
55 67-11	73.0	14,4	2.2	.8	.6	1.2	.2	.4	_	_	264	.4	-	-	4.4	8.	-	1.6	-
SS 67- 12	80.08	13.0	2.0	.2	1.2	1.0	1.2	.2	_	_	.6	_	-2	.8	11,2	2,9	, 3	4,6	-

Table 39 Distribution of Macerals in West Utukok River Coals

Sample Numbe	Vitrinite	Pseudovitrinite	Gelînite	Phlobaphinite	Pseudo phlobaphínite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinìte	Other Llptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
725 SP 45	72.8	9.6	.8	_	.4	1.0	1.2	.4	.2	-	.8	_	_	1,2	9.2	1,6	1713	8.	
725 SP 83	88.2	7.4	.8	.2	.2	1.8	1.2	1.0	-	-	.2	_	.4	.4	12.6	1.3	.3	4.0	-
725 9P 86	66.0	7.6	8.	_4	.2	5.0	1.2	.2	.4	-	q	-	.2	2.2	13.4	2.1	.7	2.6	-
725 9P 69	69.4	5,6	1.4	.4	.8	-6	.6	_	-	-	.4	_	.2	1.2	14.6	1.4	.6	2,8	-
725 9P 77 -	67.9	7.9	8.	.3	1.4	1.6	5,	.1	.3	-	.3	-	_	1.1	12.1	2.1	.2	4,6	.1
725 99 81	72.4	11.0	7,	_	.9	1.8	1.7	-	-	~	-		.2	_	7.5	.5	6	3.2	-
725 99 191	71 .3	13.0	1.3	_	.9	2.3	1.4	.5	-	-	5'3	-	.4	~	4.9	.4	-	1,3	Т
725 9P 195	77.9	12.5	٩.2	۵.	.3	1.9	1.5	,5	.1	-	.2	_	_	_	2.5	.3	-	.7	-
RSAN 517 340	65.2	15.2	е,	.4	1.5	1.4	2.6	-6	-	1.0	.3	_	1.6	2.7	2.7	1.3	-	2,6	-
PSXN 59 354	68.3	11.0	1.5	.5	2.0	1.5	2.0	.3	_	1,2	.6	_	1.2	4.2	2.5	1.5	-	1.5	-
R544 9P 359	67.4	8.1	1.2	1.6	3.1	1.2	2.6	.4	-	B.	-	-	1.1	4.3	6.7	1.7	-	4.8	-
R54N 9P 388	66.8	3.6	1,7	1.1	2,8	1.3	1.8	.3		1.0	-	-	.2	4,0	6.2	2.3	-	4.9	-
RSAN SP 384	63.8	13.6	1.1	.7	2.1	1.2	2.0	.9	-	1.2	.9	-	1,0	1.5	5.3	1.4	-	3,3	-
R534N 5P 394	64.6	7.8	1.2	1.4	4.6	1.3	1.6	.2	.2	.4	.2	-	1,3	4,6	7.6	1.2	-	2.0	-
530 SP 413	71.3	8,2	1.7	.5	2,9	2.2	1.5	1.0	.7	_	1.0	_	_	3.2	4.6	_	~ 1	1.2	4
630 SP 441	72.9	5.0	1.5	_3	2.2	4,1	1.2	1,9	.6	_	1.1	_	_	3.8	4,5	,4	~	.6	3.
630 SP 444	69.2	7.1	.7	.8	1.9	3.7	1.2	1.8	.5	_	.9	-	_	4.7	6.8	.6	-	1,1	-
630 SP 471	67.2	11.1	.5	_	1.1	1.9	1.8	.6	,2	.6	2.5	-	_	4,0	5.0	1,8	-	1.7	-
F 12-79	62.8	19_B	1.1	.3	1.7	-6	1.4	.1	.1	-	.4		—	2.9	5.3	1.5	.2	1.7	-
F20-79	65,4	11.5	1.2	.6	2.7	1.4	.6	-	-	_	.5	-	,2	3.3	8.8	1.4	-	2.9	.1

Table 40 Distribution of Macerals in Kokolik River Coals

Sample Number	Vitrinlte	Pseudovitrinite	Gelinite	Phiobaphinite	Pseudo phiobaphinite	Sporinite	Resinfte	Cutinite	AlgInite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Scierotinite
605 99 393	79.6	8,0	.8	.0	1.6	_	2.5	1.8	~	8.1	-	1	1.6	.4	-	-	-	-	-
605 9P 402	64,4	25.2	1.6	,5	4,3	8,	8.	.4	-	-	i-j		.9	.4	-	.8	-	.4	-
605 9P 42B	82.8	11.6	,4	.9	2.5	1.8	1.9	.8	-	1.5	1.4	-	.8	3.0	7.0	1.2	-	2.4	-
606 5P 461	58.4	1_8	8.	2.1	1.5	2.0	1.3	1,8	-	1.1		-	.8	7.7	13.7	2,9	-	4.1	-
605 99 434	62,4	12.2	1.3	.9	2.8	1.4	1.2	1.0	-	1.8	.6	-	.6	5.2	11.2	3.2	-	4.6	-
605 9P 405	58.5	11.3	1.3	1.1	3.1	1.1	2.4	.1	-	.6	2.3	17	.7	7.7	6.1	1.7	-	2.0	-
605 99 439	56.2	12.4	2.7	1.1	3.0	2.0	э.4	.4		Ξ	1.0		1.4	4.0	5.5	5.2	-	3.0	-
605 97 442	82.9	20.3	1.6	.9	1.5	3.2	1.5	1.2	-	2.9	.7		1.7	-	.8	.4	-	.4	-
605 SP 447	80.3	15.9	2.0	2.7	4.1	2.1	1.9	.9	.2	.9	.8	-	1.0	2.4	3,6	.8	-	,4	-
605 9P 448	83.1	11.7	1,2	1.1	1,9	1.3	2.7	.9	\mathbf{F}	.7	.2	-	1.5	4,3	5.5	2.7	-	1.3	Ŧ
605 9P 454	56.1	6.7	1.0	۶.0	1.5	2.0	.7	.4	-	. 7	1.7		1.7	7.1	10,5	5.3	-	4,3	-
805 9P 455	52.1	22.1	2	.5	2.5	.6	1.9	.4	-	-1	1.8	+	.1	1.7	4.7	.4	-	1.0	-
605 SP 457	75.6	12,4	.4	.7	.9	2.3	1.1	1.2	-	.5	.5	-	.4	1.5	.5	-	-	2.0	-
605 SP 461	61.1	9.7	1.8	.7	2.9	2.3	.3	-	.4	1.1	,4	-	.5	9.1	3.5	2.6	-	3.6	-
805 99 485	65,0	6.7	.9	1.5	2.5	1.2	.7	.7	.4	,3	-	-	.1	7.1	4,9	3.9	-	4.1	-
805 97 467	67.8	21.6	1.3	1.6	2.6	3.0	1.5	.6	.3	.5	.3	-	.5	2.7	1.1	1.7	-	2.9	-
605 SP 471	55.4	32.8	1.2	.7	2.5	.4	.9	.2	-	.7	-	-	2,4	.8	-	1	-	2.0	-
605 9P 472	62.7	14.4	1.5	2,4	4.7	2.5	1,3	.2	-	,4		-	.4	4.7	2.9	1.2	-	1.2	-
605 SP 474	តា.7	22.3	2.1	1.6	4,3	.3	£.	ھ.	~	-	.4		.1	2,7	2.5	-	-	.4	-
UA 125	60.3	16.4	1.0	.4	1,6	1,5	.3	.2	.5	_	্ৰ		-	2.1	12,5	.8	-	2.6	-
AH 3-78	57.2	16.5	.2	.2	8.	.5	.6		_	.2	.7		đ	3.9	4.9	1.6	.2	2.4	
AH 4-78	61.5	21.5	.6	1.3	2.9	1,1	1.4	.1	.1	-	л	-	-	3.7	2.9	1.3	.5	1.2	-
AH 12-78	62.5	12.2	1.4		1.4	9,	1.3	.2	-8	.3	1.2		Ξ.	5.4	9.0	1,1	1.1	2.4	-
AK 16-79	54.B	18.5	1.6	1.2	7 . 8	5.3	1.2	.5	1.2	_	.5	_	πĒ.	3.2	5.7	1,1	.1	2.3	-
AH 18-78	61.9	7.9	æ	1.1	.9	1.0	.8	.3	.1	-	-	14	.9	4.5	15.0	2,9	.1	2.4	.1

Table 40 Distribution of Macerals in Kokolik River Coals (cont.)

Sample Number	Vitrinite	Pseudovítríníte	Gelinite	Phlobaphinite	Pseudo phiobaphinite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cuthrite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semlfusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
AH 19-78	65.7	10.5	.4	.6	1.7	.4	1.0		_	_	_	'5	3	4.0	11.3	1.7	- 7	2.3	-
AH 22-78	62,5	14.6	1,1	â	е.	1.4	1.0	.1	_	_	.9	_	-6	4.4	6.2	1.3	.e	4.5	'
AH 21-78	57,3	5,3	.9	.1	5.5	.1	1,8	.2	_	_	.7	_	.1	8.7	13,9	2,5	1.5	4.7	-
AH 23-78	60.7	10_8	.5	.7	1.1	.4	1.4	.3	.5	_	8.	_	_	4,4	10.2	5.2	1.1	4,9	-
AH 25-78	71.6	10.9	.6	.1	.8	.6	.8	.2	_	_	.5	_	_	3.3	6.1	.9	-	3.6	-
A 78-14	51,1	36.8	1.4	2.4	.6	.9	2.5	.4	-	_	_	_	.2	1.0	1.8	.2	-	.6	.1
a 7 8- 15	71.3	7.1	.8	1.9	1.0	.7	1,2	.1	.1	2.2	.8	_	.2	2.0	6,8	.9	.5	1.6	-
AH 1-79	ß.2	20.8	.6	1.2	1.0	.6	2.0	,4	.2	_	.8	_	_	3.2	3.0	1.4	-	1.6	-
AH 4-79	69.4	21.6	1.6	5.0	2.8	.8	1.4	~	_	_	_	-		.2	_	~~		.2	-
AH 5-79	53.2	22.6	1.4	.2	1.2	1.5	1.5	,4		_	1.0	-	_	2.6	8.8	2.5	.3	2.8	- 17
AH 6-79	65.4	19,4	.3	.5	.3	1.0	2.0	.9	_	.2	_	_	_	2.6	4.6	7.4	-	1.9	-
AH 7-79	63.5	6. 3	.5	.2	2.0	.7	1.6	_	-	_	1.3	-		3,2	12.5	3,5	14+	4,2	-
R54N 99 450	60.6	22.0	.9	1.0	1.6	.6	1.8	.6	.2	.8	—	_	.4	2.8	8.9	2.0	-	2.2	-
RSAN 99 457	63.6	12.2	ه.	æ	1.4	1,4	2.4	.4	_	.4	1.D	-	1.0	3,0	5.6	2.8	-	3.2	-
R5AN 59 458	66.0	12.7	.8	1.1	.8	1.3	2.3	.5	_	.5	.2	-	.5	2,3	6.6	1.8	-	2.6	-
R54N 99 462	71.2	12.2	1.0	.7	.6	2.2	2.1	2.4	.1	1.0	_	_	3.8	.6	1.5	-		.6	-

Table 41 Distribution of Macerals in Archimedes Ridge Coals

Sample Number	Vitrinite	Pseudovitrínite	Gelinite	Phlobaphinlte	Pseudo phlobaphínite	Sporinite	ResInite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
G 78-37	6 8,0	16,5	1,5	1.3	,4	.6	5.5	,3	_	ε,	.3	-	_	2.6	3.2	.8	-	1.9	.1
G 78-48	61.0	32.2	2,4	.3	2.3	.5	.4	.J	_	,1	.3	-	-	-	.2	-	-	-	-
G 78-41	52,1	45,4	_7	.6	.1	.1	.3	1	1	.1	_	-	-	.1	-	.1	-	.4	-
G 78-43	68,7	12.7	.4	.2	.6	, 8	2.4	4.0	_	.4	.7	-	10	3.6	3.7	.5	.1	1.2	-
G 78-55	53.0	1.0	.4	—	.4	2.0	1.0	-	.1	-	-	-	.5	7.0	26.0	4.0		3,0	-
G 78-58	66.4	22.4	1.2	_	9 <i>.</i> 9	.2	1.8	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	3.4	8 ,	-	-	-
6 78-80	67.9	21.7	.4	-	.2	,6	.4	-	E.	.5	1.0	-	-	2.3	2.9	1.2	-	.6	-
G 7 8-8 4	78.0	4.4	.8	,2	2.2	.4	1.2	#	-	1.0	.8	-	-	1.8	4.2	1.8		3.2	-
G 7 8-6 9	56.3	23.1	.8	1,2	.8	.8	1.1	.4	-	_	.1	-	1,6	2,3	7.4	1.9	.5	1.7	-
6 78-93	71.1	17.9	.6	1.2	.2	.5	.6	.4	.3	.1	.7	-	-	3.2	2,3	,5	,2	.2	-
G 78-94	63.9	12,8	,5	.5	4.5	.7	.2	-	-	-	.6	-	.1	1.4	9.0	1.7	.9	3.1	л
G 7 8-9 7	69.6	15.8	1.4	2.8	5.0	1.0	1.0	.2	-	-	_	-	.6	.4	1,6	.4	-	.2	-
G 78 -9 9	64,0	5.0	.8	_	_4	1.4	5 .0	÷	.2	.6	.6	-	,2	6.2	11.0	2.4	-	4,2	-
133 X 5P 431	57.9	16.5	.7	.5	2.5	1.2	2.9	.5	-	1.1	1.0	-	.4	3.6	4.9	1.4	1.2	3.7	-
1331 x 59° 432	60.9	21.1	1.1	1.7	5.2	.4	1,9	.6		.7	1.2	-	.8	ê,	2.3	1	_	1.2	-
F 45-79	52.7	11.6	.8	.4	1.2	.5	.4	, 5	.5	,1	.6	-	=	12,4	12.5	2.5	421	3.2	.1
F 47-79	59.0	18.3	B.	-	1.0	2.0	1.0	-	-	-	.э	_	.2	3.6	9.8	1.6	-	2.4	-
AS SP 801	58.9	35.1	3.3	.2	1.3	-	-		-	-	-	-	2	-	٩	-	-	7	-
RS 9P 6D5	60.6	19,2	.9	.1	2.8	.4	2.5	_	_	1.3	.4	-	8.	2.9	4.4	.9	.3	2.4	.1
137 X SP 656	67.9	18,5	2.9	-	5.5	.5	1.5	.7	-	-	-	-	-	.4	-	-	-	2.1	-
137 X SP 686	73.2	21.6	1.4	-	_	-	1,0		~	.2	-	-	.B	5	.6	-	-	1.4	-

Table 42 Distribution of Macerals in Elusive Creek Coals

Sampla Number	Vitrinite	Pseudovitrinite	Gelinite	Phiobaphinite	Pseudo phiobaphinite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macriníte	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
605 9P 307	72.4	14.6	.8	.9	1,9	1.2	1.2	2.8	_	1.6	_		1.4	_4	.6	-	-	.2	_
605 9P 327	59,5	6,9	.5	1.0	5.5	1.8	1.8	.6	_	.8	.0	_	.2	8.1	9.9	2.7	-	5,4	-
606 SP 328	69.0	7.2	1.2	.9	2.5	2.2	1.8	.4	~~	.5	1.4		.4	6.9	11.5	1.8	1	3.4	
605 9P 329	64.4	11.2	1.8	.6	1.4	1.0	2.5	.6	_	.9	1.0	_	.6	4.7	4.7	2.1	-	2.5	-
805 SP 330	52.0	7.2	.4	.3	1.1	.7	5.5	.2	.2	1.3	.6		.4	4.5	17.1	5.2	-	6.6	-
606 SP 331	58 ,2	21,0	. 3	.5	1,9	.7	2.8	.4	.5	.7	.2	_	.4	2.6	5.4	2.2	-	2.8	-
605 SP 332	54,4	5.8	2.0	-	.B	1.9	5.B	2.4	_	1.3	_	_	.8	19.0	6.0	.8	71	3.2	-
- 805 9P 336	56.5	26.4	1.0	.4	1.0	1_ 9	5.5	.6	.2	1.2	1.0		1.4	2.0	2.2	1.0	-	1.0	-
805 SP 337	64.6	16.8	1.0	1.2	1.9	1.9	2.1	.6	.1	1.0	.5	_	1.6	1.5	5.6	. 8	÷.	1.8	-
605 99 338	60.8	12,4	1.2	.2	1.6	2.2	1,5	.6	.3	1,4	в,	~	1.0	5.2	4.6	2.4	-	2.8	-
605 9P 339	65.2	18.2	2.2	.7	5'3	1.0	1.5	.4		e.	1.2	_	.4	1,5	1.5	е.	-	1.3	_
805 9 ° 340	62.1	16.4	6.3	.7	.9	.8	1.9	-	.4	1.3	.3		.5	1.7	3.1	1.7	4	1.9	-
805 SP 342	61.6	7.0	1.4	1.3	2.5	1.0	1.9	.2	~	1.3	5.	_	.0	4,9	9.2	3. 0	- 5	3.0	-
605 SP 347	79.5	8.7	1.3	1.3	1.7	.9	2.0	.3	.4	1.0	B,		.6	.1	1.4	.2	-	.е	
137 X 99 736	63,9	21.0	2.1	1.1	5.5	~	1,4	-	_	<i>3</i> .	-		8,	1.2	-	_	^	2.4	-
137 X 99 737	62.6	23.6	.9	.7	7.8	.3	-3	1.0	_	.3	-	_	1.1	.3	—	_	-	1.1	-
137 X 99 742	59,4	10.0	1.6	11-	3.6	1.6	1.0	.2	_	.2	1.4	_	,4	7.6	9.0	1.4	-	3.6	—
137 X 99 744	54,9	5.8	3.9	.3	8.9	1.2	1.1	.6	_	1.1	.9	-	_	Ø.4	5.2	1.5	.9	5.9	-
137 X SP 746	60.2	13.8	1.5	.7	6.2	5،	1,3	2	_	,4	1,9	.1	_	4.5	4,9	1,5	-	2,8	-
137 X SP 749	58.6	11.6	.3	.9	3.6	1.2	1.3	.2	~	.5	1.7	-	1.D	6.8	5.6	2,1	.7	3.9	-
137 X SP 753	62.8	20.A	1.9	و.	7.1	.4	B.	-	-	.3	.4	.3	.4	1.7	.9	8,	-	.4	-
137 X 99 754	56.1	24.5	2.2	-3	1,9	.8	1.5	_2	_	.7	.8	_	_	3.0	1,9	2.7	1,1	2.3	
137 X 99 755	61.9	18.5	1.5	,5	6.8	.2	1.2	_	_	_	.4	_	_	4,2	2,1	.7	а	1.9	-
137 X SP 757	80.4	12.8	1,1	.7	5.6	1.2	2.7	_	-	.7	.4		1.0	6.6	2.4	1.0	-	3.6	-
137 X 99 758	70.6	6.7	.8	.2	1.2	1.1	2.8	.1	_	.8	1.2	_	_	4.3	6.6	1.7	- }	2.1	-

Table 42 Distribution of Macerals in Elusive Creek Coals (cont.)

Sample Number	VitrInfte	Pseudovitrinite	Gelinite	Phlobaphlníte	Pseudo phlobaphinite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
137 X 5P 764	48,8	17,8	1.9	.5	6.0	1.3	2.5		-	1,7	.8	-	.5	4.6	2.7	2,3	.5	6.1	-
137 X 9P 765	49.6	8.8	2.3	.5	6.2	1.6	3.7	.4	.1	2.1	.6	-	.3	7.2	4.9	s.0	-	9.7	
137 X 59 767	51 . 8	22,2	2,2	-	3.2	1.3	1.7	_	—	1.7	1.2	-	.3	4.4	3,8	2.8	-	3.4	-
137 X 99 788	57.6	17.6	2.1	.5	3.0	.7	3.9	.6	-	2.1	.6	T	1.1	3.8	3.2	1.1	-	2,1	-
137 X 99 771	55,4	8.4	2.1	.7	10.6	.4	1.5	e C	-	.5	4	-	.2	10.4	4.3	.7	.3	4,5	-
137 X 99 773	54.0	11.8	1.9	.4	4.4	.7	2.1		-	1,3	э	-	1.1	7.5	7.7	3.1	.5	3,4	-
137 X 99 775	54.1	13.9	2.4	.5	4.9	.4	1.7	.2	-	.9	.5	-	.7	5.6	7,9	3.8	.4	2.1	
137 × 99 777	66.7	19.9	3.0	.5	5.9	.2	.6	.1	.2	_	_4	-	.5		,5	.1	-	1.4	-
137 X 59 802	59.1	26.3	2.7	.5	4.8	.8	1.7	.4	5	.3	-	87	-	.9	.4	.9	-	2.3	_
137 X 99 804	60.5	12.8	2.1	-	3.5	.5	1.9	-	-	.5	.8		.1	5.5	6.4	2.1	,5	2.8	—
137 X 9P 609	57.1	19.3	2,5	1.3	5.2	1.3	.9	-	.1	з	.7		.3	4.2	3.0	1.1	-	2.7	-
- AH 34-78	65.5	8.2	1.0	.2	.9	.3	1.3	.1	-	-	1.4		.1	3.7	9.2	3.7	.6	3.7	.1
AH 37 -7 8U	63 <i>.</i> 0	5 . Ø	2.0	.6	3.2	.8	.8	-	.6	-	.4	-	-	3.4	14.4	1.4	.4	3.2	-
ah 37-781.	71.2	0.2	1.0	.7	1.1	.3	1.2	.1	.3	1	1.7	-	.1	4.5	6.3	.9	<u> </u>	2.4	-
AH 43-78	66.4	6.4	1.0	.4	.8	1.1	e,	.1	5		.8	-	.1	3.0	10.8	2,5	1.1	4.7	-
AH 48-7 8	59.0	3,2	1.1	.7	2.7	1.1	2.0	.6	L	Ł	1.5	4	-	2.4	14.9	2,5	.4	7,9	-
AH 518-78	70,9	10,7	1.6	.5	1.4	1.4	.9	-	-		.8		F	1.6	5.9	1.0	-	3.3	
AH 60-78	85. 7	15 , 3	.7	.1	.7	.9	1.1	.4	.3	H	.7	-	-	3.2	6.1	.6	.2	4,0	-
AH 61-78U	ស.1	15.1	2.1	.4	3.8	1.0	.7	.9	-	-	.8	-	-	3.5	7.2	1.1	.5	1.8	-
AH 61-78L	69 .0	16.2	د.	.2	2.2	.9	1.5	-	.1	-	.3	15	.3	1.9	4,8	1.4	-	1.9	-
AH 63-78	79.8	10.0	.6	.9	2.6	.2	.9	3	-	.1	.7	-	行為	.4	2.3	.5	1.75	1.7	-
AH 8-79	60.9	24,1	1.1	.4	.9	1.9	1.2	.2	.2	-	-	-	3 7	-	5.1	.7	-	3,3	
AH 10-79	64.0	8.0	.8	.6	1.2	1.0	.4	.2	-	-	.2	-	-	3.0	16.2	1.1	.5	2.8	-
AH 13-79	73,0	19.8	.в	.6	2	8.	1.0	.4	-		1.4	-	-	.2	1.8	-	-	-	-
AH 15-79	64,8	22.0	.4	.4	8,	8.	.4	.4	.4	-	8.	4		.8	5.2	2.0	-	.8	-

Table 42 Distribution of Macerals in Elusive Creek Coals (cont.)

Sample Number	Vltrinite	Pseudovitrlníte	Gelinite	Phlobaphinite	Pseudo phlobaphinlte	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cuthrite	Suberinite	Other Liptínites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
AH 16-79	67_A	18,9	1.8	1.4	1.8	1.8	2.2	-6	_	_		.2	_	.4	1.8	.2	-	1,2	-
AH 22-79	64,2	8.03	.6	1.3	1.6	1.0	.в	.2	.4	_	.2	.3	.2	_4	6.0	B,	-	1.2	-
AH 25-79	59.0	32.0	.6	.6	1,2	1,4	.3	.4	_	.1	_		.2	1,0	1_8	_	-	1.4	-
AH 26-79	58.4	25.8	.8	.9	.6	1.4	2.0	,4	,2	-	_	.1	_	1.2	6.2	.4	~	2,8	_
AH 34-79	₿,4	16.8	1.0	.2	5.0	3.8	1,7	5،	.Э	3	.4	-	_	1.8	9.0	1.2	-	2,8	-
AH 35-79	68 .4	18,4	ß,	1.2	1.6	.6	.6	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	6,4	1.2	-	.8	
AK 36-79	53.8	6.6		.2	2,8	.8	ھ	.4	~	~	-5	_	_	3.4	21 " B	1_9	.3	5.0	-
ah 37-79	69.6	12.6	.4	<u>9</u> +	.8	1.2	1.0	,4	-	_	1.B	_	.2	1.2	8.6	1.8	-	2.6	-
AH 38-79	60.0	27.2	Ŧ	.2	1.6	1.4	2.2	.8	_	_	.2		_	.8	3.6	6.	-	1,4	-
78-35	9, r	17.3	9,	1.0	1.2	.5	.5	.2	.5	_	_	_	.1	3.2	1.9	.5	-	.3	-
F 75-79	74.4	10.4	2.4	1.6	1.6	5.0	5.0	.4	-	-	1.6	_	_	_	3.2	.4	1	-	-
UA 125	70.7	17.3	.3	-8	_	1.5	.1	.6	.2	_	.1	_	_	-	7.1	.4	-	.9	-
632 9 P 300	67.6	18,0	.4	.6	-5	1_8	2.0	1.4	-	1.4	—	_	_	. 8	6.0	.5	12	1.2	-
632 SP 307	51.2	12,4	1.2	2.4	2.4	3.6	2 .2	5.0	,4	1.8	_	.1	_	8.0	10.8	.4	-	1.1	-
632 SP 321	62.1	4.4	.3	.1	.4	1.B	3.8	1.0	,5	1.3	1.6	_	_	5.6	1 1. 8	8,9	-	2.9	-
632 SP 322	64.7	8,5	1,5	.2	1.0	1.6	2 <i>.</i> 0	.4	.3	.7	.5	_	-	3.7	11.6	1.2	-	2.1	-
632 SP 324	57.0	23,5	3.0	۹.	4.5	1,0	1.4	.7	.1	1.2	.4	_	_	,7	4,5	.5	-	.7	-
632 9P 340	67.8	6.3	1,3	.3	4,9	.9	2,0	.9	.1	1.3	.e		-	6,0	11.6	1.6	- 1	4,2	-
632 9° 341	58.1	7.7	.9	د.	4.2	1.1	3.6	.7	.2	1.9	.4	-	-	7.3	6.5	2.1	-	5.1	-
632 9P 342	64.5	13.1	1.4	.2	4.9	1.6	2,0	.9	,4	1.1	.7	_	-	3.4	2.4	1,1	14	2.3	-
734 99 216	70.6	14.8	.2	.6	_	1_B	1,8	.8	-	-	-	_	.2	.2	7.6	.2		1.2	_
137 X 9P 748	50.5	15.7	2.2	.4	7.0	-	1,0	-	.5	.8	1,2	_	.2	7.2	7,9	2.0	-	3.2	_

111

Table 43 Distribution of Macerals in Central Utukok River Coals

Sample Number	Vitrinite	Pseudovitrinite	Gelinite	Phlobaphinite	Pseudo phlobaphinite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
632 59 368	ជា.1	8.0	5'3	1.4	13.5	1.3	1.2	.5	.э	.4	.6	۰. س	-	5.5	3.2	1.2	-	8,	-
632 9P 370	54,9	5.4	2.3	.9	4.6	2.7	1,4	.8	_	.7	1,1	-	-	5.9	9.6	3.7	-	4,9	,2
632 SP 363	43.9	6.5	2.1	.6	3.0	5.B	1.3	.9	_	.9	1,1	-	-	10,5	14.6	5.9	-	5.2	-
632 99 366	72.3	4,3	.7	1,1	3,4	1.0	2.2	.9	.1	2.4	.2	_	-	4.3	4.3	1.4	-	1.4	-
635 89 392	65,B	2.5	.9	1.8	3.8	3,4	1.2	.9	.1	1.1	.5	_	5	8.0	4,1	2,2	-	3,7	-
632 99 400	64.9	2.3	1.7	1,6	6.4	1.0	1,2	.5	-	.5	.5	_	.8	9.2	3.8	1.B	.5	3,3	-
632 SP 402	55.2	_4	1,1	.2	2.2	1.8	.9	.1	.1	,8	-		-	13.2	11.7	5.5	-	5,9	-
632 59 422	89.4	7.2	2.0	.2	5.2	.9	2.0	.6	.2	1,8	1,0	-	-	6.2	3.8	.8	-	2.0	I
832 SP 436	85.4	.6	.6	,4	5.0	1,8	. B	,4	.4	.8	-	-	-	5,8	7,0	3,0	-	8,0	-
532 SP 440	56,1	,2	1.1	.4	2.7	1,3	1,0	,1	-	.9	-		10-	12.4	11,1	6.3		6.4	-
B32 59 442	₿.2	1,2	.4	-	1.2	3.2	2.4	-	-	.4	-	1	-	7.2	15.6	6.4	~-	8.8	-
632 SP 447	58.6	1,1	2.0	8,	4.2	1.2	1.1	.1	.2	.5	-2	4	.4	14.3	6.4	3,5		5,5	-
632 SP 457	67.8	-	1.4	3.9	2.6	2,5	2.7	.2	.4	1.5	.2	-	2,8	8,4	3.3	.7	-	1.6	-
532 5P 48 0	64.3	8.7	2.0	.7	1.9	2.0	1.7	.2		1,2	.5		.5	6.D	4.1	3.0	-	3,2	-
725 SP 169	59.4	3. 1	.4	1,2	1.4	1,5	1,1	.2	.2	đ	-	-	.1	.9	22.0	2.5	1.8	4.3	-
725 SP 206	70.6	19.0	,В	_	.2	2.0	1.4	.6	-	-	54	-	-	.2	4,4	.5	-	.2	-
725 SP 216	60.8	22.0	1.0	.2	.5	1,9	.0	з	.1	.2	.5	-	.1	.5	6.7	.7	.4	1.5	-
725 SP 219	63.8	20.0	.9	.1	.9	.3	.7	.2	-	-	,6	<u>-</u>	-	2,4	7,4	8,	-	1.9	-
725 SP 227	6.80	17,4	.6	_	.2	1.8	2.2	.6	E	-	-	-	-	-	7,2	.6	-	1.4	-
725 SP 230	68 ,4	12,8	1.3	_4	.3	1.0	1.1	-	,3	24	-	-		.4	9.2	1.0	.5	3.3	-
7 25 S P 271	76.4	14,5	.9	_	.6	1.9	2.3	.9	-		.9	-	-	-	.9	,5	-	,4	-
723 SP 114	60.0	15,2	1.4	.6	1.6	1,2	.6	-	-	.4	1.0	-	.4	.4	10.2	1.4	-	5.6	-
137 X 5P 24	54.0	2.7	7.1	.3	9.4	1.1	.5	.3	_	1.1	.8	-	.3	8.9	10.7	1.9	.9	6,0	-

Table 44 Distribution of Macerals in East Utukok River Coals

Sample Number	Vitrinite	Pseudovitrinite	Gelinite	Phiobaphinite	Pseudo phlobaphinite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
600 SP 211	69.2	18.5	2.4	.9	1.3	1.8	1.7	.6	_	.7	.6	_	.6	1.7	.3	.2	- 1	1.4	-
603 SP 232	60.0	18.1	2,4	1.6	6.7	1.2	3.3	.5		_	1,7	_	.9	1,4	.7	С,	-	1.2	-
603 SP 267	65.9	14.1	3.6	1.1	4.5	2.5	1.3	.4	-	.3	1.6	`5	.7	1.3	.9	.4	-	1.2	-
F73-78	68.4	16.6	2,1	1.4	.6	1.6	.5	.4	_	_	,5	_	_	~	5.3	٩	.3	1.4	_
R4 8P 2	70.5	11.9	ß	2 . B	1.5	1.6	1.7	.2	-2	.5	-	_	.1	3.0	1.9	1.5	Η.	1.8	-
R4 SP 21	73.8	17,4	1.0	.9	3.4	.4	1.5	.1	-	.1	-	-	.4	6،	.2	-	-	.2	-
725 SP 342	71.1	11.2	1.0	.2	.5	1,1	5.3	.4	.3	_	.3	_	,1	1.2	7.5	.5	.1	2,2	_
723 SP 204	67.9	22.5	1.3	.6	2.1	1.4	.9	.6	-	-	.8	-	_	-3	1.4	-	-	.2	-
rcx1 99 390	50.4	.5	732	6,	1,9	3.4	1.0	1.5	.4	-4	.1	_	_	9.3	22.2	2.7	-	5.3	-
R3XN 9P 397	65.5	2.3	2,8	1.1	16.7	1,3	1.5	З	,1	.1	.1	_	-	1.5	3.1	1.6	-	2.1	-
R334N 8P 443	67.7	1.4	.2	.6	2.0	1,9	1.7	.2	.1	.7	6	_	_	8.6	11.9	1.5	1	1.5	-
R304N 5P 448	52.2	3.0	-	.7	1,4	11.3	2.4	1.0	-5	3.0	.6	_	_	5,0	12.6	3.5	-	3.1	-

Table 45 Distribution of Macerals in Lookout Ridge Coals

Sample Number	Vitrinite	Pseudovitrinite	Gellníte	Phlobaphinlte	Pseudo phlobaphínite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cuthrite	Alglníte	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semitusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
FI 754N 5P 413	66.6	4.4	3.8	2.4	6.2	1.4	.6	ه.	~	4.	1,1	-	.5	4.4	4,6	1.7	-	1.7	-
R 7∧4N 9P 42B	63.0	16.9	3.3	3.1	4,8	1,8	.3	.8	.4	_	1.7	-	.4	.5	1.1	.9	-	1.1	-
R 7XN SP 445	64.3	2.1	1.2	.7	5.7	2.4	.8	.8	.9	_	.9	12	T.	7.7	6.0	2.5	-	4.0	-
R 7xN 99 482	67.7	4.7	8.8	3.1	3.7	3.6	1.7	.e	_	1.1	. B	- 7	-	6.1	1.5	-	-	2.4	-
R 7XN 99 486	87,1	5.4	.9	_	.7	.6	1.2	.3	_	-	з	-5	-	.8	1.9	.1	-	.7	-
R 7XN 97 466	56.1	16.1	4,8	1.3	7.5	1.2	2.7	.8	~	.1	.4		-	6.3	1.7	-	-	2.0	-
R 7xN 5P 494	56,2	.2	1.6	1.0	2.8	1.7	,7	.2	_		.5		.9	8,5	7.2	1,9	.5	6.1	
R 7x1 SP 520	71.6	.4	1.2	1.1	3.7	2.0	1.7	.4	-	.3	.4	-	2.4	2.5	3.9	1.3	.3	6.8	-
R 7XN SP 531	73.2	.4	1.2	.5	1.9	2.4	.5	-	-	.7	5'0	-	.4	6,9	4.8	.5	-	4.7	
r 7xn 59 562	60.3	1,3	1.2	9.1	3.7	2.8	,9	-	_	.7	-	-	1.2	5.6	10.5	2,0	-	6.7	-

Table 46 Distribution of Macerals in Tunalik Test Well Coals

Sample Number	Vitrinite	Pseudovitrinite	Gelinite	Phlobaphinite	Pseudo phiobaphínite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinhe	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
225-735	59.5	1.7	1.2	1.9	3.7	2.0	1.3	.8	.4	1.1	-	~	.4	9.3	7,1	2.1	1.1	6.4	-
220-360	55.3	8.4	1.6	-	4,8	1.6	5.6	_	~	1.0	8,	-	1.6	5.0	5.8	2.9	1.1	6.8	.1
400-430	60.7	6.3	1.7	1.2	6,3	e,	1,3	.3	.5	1,1		_	1.3	8.0	3.2	. 8	-1	6.4	-
430-460	46.4	4,8	1,8	.5	3.7	1,1	1.9	.5	_	3.1	.2	-	1,0	8,4	8,0	6.5	.7	10.6	-
470490	81.3	8,9	1.2	-	2.5	.0	.9	.2	_	.3	-	-	2.4	5.1	2.1	4,9	.7	8.7	-
895-705	67.8	10.8	2.8	1.1	8,5	-	1.5	.4	_	.6	,4	_	.0	3.6	2.5	1.1	-	.4	~
725-735	52.7	10,1	4,4	1,9	8.3	1.5	3.7	.2	_	-	.3	-	.7	7.0	3,5	2,3	.9	2.5	-
785-800	65.8	9.6	හ	3	3.5	13	25	_	_	1.1	-	-	ς	3.2	3,8	19	5	3.4	
910-940	64.0	8.4	2,0	.3	4.5	_	2.7	.8	_	_	-	-	.9	4,3	5.9	3.1	-	6.1	-
955-1005	69.2	13.6	1.8	2.5	3.9	.4	.6	_	_	_	-	-	۵.	1.2	5.2	T.	-	.2	
1025-1140	64.5	3,3	9.0	1.7	3.3	.8	2 . 3	~	.4	~	8.	-	.1	3.8	3.9	1.3	-	4.9	-
1160-1203	63.5	15.3	3,0	1.9	4.1	-	2.1	_	-	.5	-	-	_	4.5	5.2	,2	-	2.4	-
1230-1280	63.5	5.3	2,4	1,1	4,9	-	3.1	.4	-	1.7	~	-	~	5.6	5.0	2.1	1.1	6.8	-
1305-1410	60.3	1D.1	3.1	5'0	7.7	.8	2.3	.2	_	.5	_	-	.2	4,1	4.1	1,8	-	2.8	-
1400-1635	98 ,9	5.9	2.0	ε,	4.3	.3	2.3	.4	.2	,3	-	-	.3	δ.1	3.3	1.3	.5	3.5	-
1630-4672	68.2	6.8	3,8	2.5	11.9	,1	1,1	.4	-	8.	-	-	_	1.3	.5	.2	-	.4	-
1672-1800	68,7	5.1	-	.9	5.9	.в	2.3	.4	-	2.9	-		.4	12.3	3.3	1.0	.2	4.8	-
1830-1880	64.5	13.1	1,9	1.1	10.2	1.2	.8	,a	-	-	.4	-	.5	2.0	8.	.5	-	2.7	-
1905-1915	57.9	20.1	1,9	.7	7.4	_	1.3	_	-	.3	-	_	1.6	2.3	1,7	1.2	-	3.6	-
1960-1975	69.6	3.6	5.8	.9	12.1	1.3	1.7	.2	.4	.1	.6	-	,5	1,1	.3	.5	-	1.3	-
2015-2020	80.3	3.7	1.5	1,1	7.4	~-	.6	_	_	-	-	-	.4	1.9	1.7	_	-	1.4	-
2070-2400	69.1	3,7	6.7	1.5	9.8	_	в.	_	_	-	.3	-	.5	3.1	1.3	,5	.3	2.4	-
2490-2480	73,5	4.8	1,9	1.1	6.2	.9	1,9	-	~	.5	~		1,2	2,1	.4	1,1	.5	3.9	-
250-2540	69.3	5.1	1,5	1,1	7.0	.5	1.3	.4	-	.3	.7	_	.6	4,2	2.2	1.6	.5	4,8	-
2590-2600	68.7	8.5	3.1	.4	6.5	_	1,7	-	-	.7	.3	_	.9	8.0	5.0	1,3	-2	1.9	-

Table 47 Distribution of Macerals in Kuk River Coals

Sample Numbe	Vitrinite	Pseudovitrinite	Gelinite	Phiobaphinite	Pseudo phiobaphinite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	SuberInite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
802 9P 152	77,3	1.4	1.2	2.3	3.7	1.6	.6	.4	-2	-	.4	-	.4	4,6	1,6	1.5	,3	2,4	.1
703 SP 58	59.0	5.2	1.9	2.4	4.6	5'5	1,4	.4	-	.4	-	-	-	2,2	14.6	1.7	.7	3.4	-
703 SP 110	61.6	5.2	1.2	4,	2.8	1.2	1.7	-	-	.3	-	-	4	2,8	14,4	2.0	-	6.4	-
703 SP 119	54.9	9.6	.6	2.4	3.2	. 8	.4	-	-	-	.1	-	-	2.0	18.0	4.4	-	3.6	
703 59 122	71.4	9.8	2.2	2.2	3.0	.4	.4	.2	-	.4	,2	1	4	.6	7.6	.2	-	1.4	-
703 99 125	56.9	7.9	1.2	1.2	1.6	2.0	.4	.4	-	1	-	-	-	2.4	15.6	5.6	-	4,8	-
710 99 3	73.2	12,4	1.2	_	2,4	.4	1.6	-	-	4	1	1	-	-	7.6	.4	-	.9	-
712 59 1	75.4	6.6	.6	2.4	3.6	3.6	.6	1.8	-	1	-	-	2	.6	3.0	.6	-	1.2	-
712 59 3	67.0	7.8	2.4	1.4	2.2	1.6	.6	-	.2	2	2	-	-	2.6	10.2	1.8	-	2.2	-
712 SP 11	38.0	1.2	1.2	.4	3.0	1.5	.6	8.	-	1	2	-	.3	3.0	36,5	2.3	2.7	8,4	-
712 9P 27	51.2	7.6	.6	.6	1.9	1.8	.6	.6	-	2	2	4	.6	6.0	19.6	3.2	-	6.4	-
040W SP 26	80.7	11.6	2.4	2.6	5.5	9.	2.7	.4	-	-	-	.4	.3	1,2	7.6	1.2	-	2.6	-
0400 SP 29	65.0	8, D	2.4	3-9	3.6	1.4	2.4	.8	.1	.1	.2	.3	.4	1.2	7.8	.8	-	1.8	-

Table 48 Distribution of Macerals in Peard Bay Test Well Coals

Sample Numbe	Vitrinite	Pseudovitrinite	Gelinite	Phlobaphinlte	Pseudo phlobaphínite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thkk Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptlnites	Fusinite	Semítusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
135-145	73.0	5.4	1.9	1.1	2.4	1.8	1,5	.8	-5	,5	.2	_	.4	6.5	2.3	9,	-	1.1	-
190-200	74.8	10.7	4.1	1.3	4.0	1.4	1.2	.4	3	}	.3	_	.8	.4	.4	_	~	~	.1
250-260	80.4	7.2	1.5	1.9	3.3	1.4	.3	.6	-	.5	_	_	-	1,1	1.3	.1	-	.3	-
300-310	77.0	2.6	1.4	1.9	3.5	5.0	1.5	.4	~	1,1	.2	-	.6	4,1	1.1	.3	.1	2.2	-
345-350	2 .7	10,3	3.7	.9	7.8	.9	2.0	-2	-	.5	.2	-	-	4.8	1.6	2.4	-	2.2	-
350-360	69.5	5.6	5'8	8.	5,5	1,4	.9	.1	_	.7	.4	~	1,1	5.9	2.1	E. 1	-	1.7	-
380-365	71,1	5.2	1.6	1.7	4.5	1.2	1,4	Ę	-	3	.5	-	.7	5 <i>.</i> 8	2.3	.6	-	1.7	.1
400-410	66.7	14,8	1.2	۹.	4,9	1.2	2.1	.3	-	1.5	.8	.1	1.0	1.9	1,7	.2	.2	.5	-
690~700	70.4	6.6	1.2	.9	5.1	2.2	1,1	.6	_	1.1	2.4	_	.2	2.6	3.5	.9	-	1.2	-
750-790	72.0	7.0	3.0	1.7	5,1	1.0	-9	.9	_	-	-	_	.8	3.5	2.1	1.0	-	1.0	-
790-600	70.4	6.4	3.1	2.4	5,5	1.5	1.9	.3	-	.5	2.2	_	1.1	.9	3.1	~	-	.6	-

Sample Number	R	Vitrinite	Pseudovitrinite	Gelinite	Phlobaphintte	Pseudo phlobaphinite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
East Simpson	12 5	2.2	3.2	1.0	1 -	7.6	1.8	6,6	.2			-		-	4.2	11.0	3.6	-	8.2	.4
		Та	ble	50) Dis	strib	uti	on	of N	Ma	cer	als	in	Un	niat	Co	bal			
Sample Number	Vitchnite		Pseudovitrinite	Gelinite	Phlobaphinite	Pseudo phlobaphinite	Sporinite	Resinite	Cutinite	Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite	Suberinite	Other Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
U-7 SP 12	70.6	16	.8	1.8	1.2	3.4	.9	1.1	.9	-12	.2	.5	-	.6	.6	1.1	.1	-	.2	-
	Т	ab	le 5	511	Dist	ribu	tior	n of	Ma	ace	eral	is i	n II	kpił	(pu	k C	oal			
Sample Number	Vitrinite	Pseud ovitrInite	Gellnite		Philopaphimure Pseudo	Sporinite	Resinite		Cuthrite Alginite	Exsudatinite	Thick Cutinite		Suberinite	Utner Liptinites	Fusinite	Semifusinite	Macrinite	Globular Macrinite	Inertodetrinite	Sclerotinite
U-2 9P 65	69.6	4,8	1,2	<u>.</u>	2 3,6	.4	2.2	.2	·, -	-		1.7	j)	3.4	7.5	2,6	1.3	.3	1.8	.5

Table 49 Distribution of Macerals in East Simpson Test Well Coal

118



Figure 14 Ternary Diagrams of the Three Maceral Groups and their Corresponding Macerals in the Corwin Bluff Coals.



Figure 15 Ternary Diagrams of the Three Maceral Groups and their Corresponding Macerals in the Cape Beaufort Coals.



Figure 16 Ternary Diagrams of the Three Maceral Groups and their Corresponding Macerals in the Kukpowruk River Coals.



Figure 17 Ternary Diagrams of the Three Maceral Groups and their Corresponding Macerals in the East Utukok River Coals.

Kokolik River Coal Samples

\$.6

0.2

0.6

9.1

40.0

4.2

11.0

13.8

6.4

2.6

23.8

14.8

4.4

50.7

17.4

1.0

2.5

2.0

11.0

16.2





Figure 19 Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups In the Archimedes Bidge Coals.



632 SP341

632 SP342

71.0

84.1

8.0

6.7

21.0

9.2





Figure 24 Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups In the Tunalik Test Well No. 1 Coals,



126



Figure 26 Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups in the Peard Bay Test Well Coals,



o East	Simpson TW	No. 2 Coal	Samples
SAMPLE NO,	VITRINITE	LIPTINITE	INERTINITE
79-137	64.0	8.6	27.4
Ikpii	kpuk Coal Sa	mples	
SAMPLE NO.	VITRINITE	LIPTINITE	INERTINITE
U 2 SP65	79.8	6.2	14.0
• Umiat	Coal Sampl	es	
SAMPLE NO.	VITRINITE	LIPTINITE	INERTINITE
U 7 SP12	93.8	4.2	2.0

83.8

94.7

94.4

86.4

85.2

84.4

85.1

88.5

84.2

88.8

87.8

5.4

4.4

2.8

5.8

3.8

4.6

4.4 7.0 7.6

3.6

7.6

10.8

0.9 2.8

11.0

11.0

10.5

4.5

8.2 7.6

4.6

Figure 27 Ternary Diagram of the Three Maceral Groups In the East Simpson Test Well No. 2 Coal, the lkpikpuk Coal and the Umlat Coal.



Figure 28 Location of Sampling Sites in the Corwin Bluff Area.



Figure 29 Location of Sampling Sites in the Cape Beaufort Area.





Figure 31 Location of Sampling Sites in the West Utukok River Area.



Figure 32 Location of Sampling Sites in the Kokolik River and Archimedes Ridge Areas.


Figure 33 Location of Sampling Sites in the Elusive Creek and Central Utukok River Areas.



Figure 34 Location of Sampling Sites in the East Utukok River Area.







Figure 36 Location of the Tunalik Test Well No. 1 Drill Site.





Figure 38 Location of the Peard Bay Test Well Drill Site.



Location of the East Simpson Test Well No. 2 Drill Site. Figure 39



Figure 40 Location of the Sampling Site in the Umiat Quadrangle.



Figure 41 Location of the Sampling Site in the Ikpikpuk Quadrangle.