Please do not destroy or throw away this publication. If you have no further use for it write to the Geological Survey at Washington and ask for a frank to return it

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Harold L. Ickes, Secretary

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY W. C. Mendenhall, Director

Bulletin 857-B

PAST PLACER-GOLD PRODUCTION FROM ALASKA

BY
PHILIP S. SMITH

Mineral resources of Alaska, 1932 (Pages 93-98)



UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1933



PAST PLACER-GOLD PRODUCTION FROM ALASKA

By PHILIP S. SMITH

To the end of 1930 Alaska, according to the records of the Geological Survey, had produced placer gold to the value of \$258,962,000 from mines widely scattered throughout its length and breadth. The distribution of the placers from which the gold was recovered has been stated in more or less detail in the annual summaries published by the Geological Survey on the mineral industry of Alaska and also in its more complete reports on many of the individual mining districts. Although these summaries and reports have furnished information regarding the larger regions, they have not always given specific details regarding the smaller districts. Furthermore, there has been no recent attempt to assemble and publish in one place the scattered statistics regarding the placer-gold production by years and by regions The purpose of the present report is to set forth in and districts. condensed but comprehensive form a summary of the placer-gold production of Alaska so far as it can be determined from the available official records.

At the outset it should be realized that the records on which the accompanying tabulations are based are not all complete or detailed enough to be used for as minute analysis as is desired for the present purpose. This is especially true of the older records, for in some of them the subdivisions used do not correspond with those now adopted and the data are not such as to permit their recalculation to fit the present need. For instance, it is almost certain that some small amounts of gold were recovered by prospectors from nearly every district in the year preceding the first recorded production for that district as given in the following tables. These amounts, however, were small and so far as known have been attributed to one or another of the older nearby districts, so that they appear in the regional totals and affect the district totals only to a minor extent.

Even in the more recent records there are uncertainties that cannot be entirely eliminated. One of the most serious causes of uncertainty is due to the methods employed even currently in collecting this information regarding the placer production for each year. The uncertainties due to this cause have been described as follows:

The methods used in collecting and interpreting the information that forms the basis of this report indicate that it is more difficult to obtain accurate facts regarding the production of placer gold than regarding any of the other items.

¹ Smith, P. S., Mineral industry of Alaska in 1930: U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 836, pp. 25-26, 1931.

This is due to the great number of small producers, who are widely scattered and many of whom are in the most remote parts of the Territory. they produce frequently passes through many hands before it finally reaches a mint or assay office, so that a single lot is difficult to trace. It may appear in the reports of the individual and then lose its identity by being lumped with other gold by the storekeeper who took it in exchange for supplies, and still further consolidated by the bank, perhaps in some distant district, to which it was sent by the merchant, and its course perhaps still further obscured by being shipped to another bank before being turned in to the mint. Every reasonable effort has been made to check the information from different sources and to adjust discrepancies so far as possible. As a result it is believed that the figures given for the total placer production and its distribution by years among the larger regions are in accord with the actual facts. The distribution of this total among the different districts, however, is open to much more serious errors, as gold produced in one district, unless reported to the Geological Survey by the original producer, may be credited to some other district through which it passed in the course of trade.

Another cause of uncertainty that affects current records and becomes even more troublesome in interpreting the older ones arises through the necessity of combining the records of certain districts so as to avoid disclosing information given to the Geological Survey in confidence, because publishing the separate records would afford insight into an individual's production of placer gold. This difficulty is believed to have been largely avoided in the present report, because the records here given have not been brought up beyond 1930 and because the grouping adopted prevents recognition of the production of individuals.

In the interest of arithmetical accuracy the statistics as tabulated have been followed precisely in arriving at the totals stated. has led to an appearance of greater accuracy than is warranted by the quality of the data used. For instance, the total production from the Nome district is stated as \$67,707,200, though as is evident from the detailed table, an estimated figure that may be in error as much as \$100,000 makes up part of that total. Furthermore, most of the annual figures for that camp are stated only to the nearest whole number of thousands, and as the table embraces the record for 34 years its total as given may be some tens of thousands of dollars different from the total that would have been obtained if the annual figures had been determined to the last integer. However, had the figures in the table been rounded off or recomputed to conform with the mathematical process of indicating significant figures, they would not have coincided with the available district or regional records nor with the records that have been published for Alaska's annual placergold production.

The most reliable group of figures in the following tabulation are the totals for each of the calendar years. This comes about through the fact that practically all Alaskan gold entering the channels of trade passes at different times through various depositories such as banks, smelters, mints, or assay offices, to reach which it undergoes transportation by mail or express or passes through customs. There are therefore many diverse modes of checking the gross output of gold from Alaska in addition to the direct inquiry that is sent by the Geological Survey to each known mine or operator who may have produced some gold.

Next in degree of accuracy are the records regarding the annual production of each of the major Alaska regions. Here too the facilities for checking the reports from one source against those from other sources permit determining the facts with considerable reliability. The sum of the outputs from all the major regions has been verified and made to equal exactly the total production of Alaska for the year

or the period stated.

When, however, this regional production is broken down for distribution among the different districts that constitute the region, serious difficulties of reconciling the various available records arise. For instance, in the Kuskokwim region in 1914, 1915, and 1916 all the placer-gold production is recorded as having come from the McKinley district, though it is known from other sources that some gold was also produced during these years in the Goodnews Bay district. At this late date it is obviously impossible to determine positively the reason for the omission of the record of the Goodnews Bay district in those years. It is possible that the gold from Goodnews Bay was incorrectly credited to some region other than the Kuskokwim, but the simplest and most likely explanation is that in the old records the district was not separately listed from the McKinley district and therefore its gold production is included with the gold from that district. In order that possibilities of this sort may be reflected in the tables, appropriate symbols have been interjected to show that the amount in one column is believed to be too low or in another too high. In this way the writer has avoided altering the statements afforded by the official records. Such a device, however, does not meet the need of supplying some sort of quantitative value for the omitted or duplicated records, if a total for the district is to be struck. Therefore with full realization of the probability of introducing errors of considerable magnitude, the writer has stated as a single gross figure his estimate of the amounts that should be added to or subtracted from the figures given in the column to correct these deficiencies. This procedure has been adopted because it was believed to be subject to less inaccuracy than that of inserting separate estimates in the various columns for each of the missing years. In other words, it was believed that whereas an estimated total for a period of several years might be out perhaps 10 percent, an estimate for each of the individual years comprising that period might well be out as much as 100 percent.

Arranged in the order of the magnitude of their total placer-gold production up to the end of 1930 the larger Alaskan regions ranked as follows:

Yukon	\$147, 353, 900
Seward Peninsula	94, 191, 000
Copper River	5, 361, 700
Cook Inlet	
Kuskokwim	
Southeastern Alaska	2, 788, 980
Northern Alaska	373, 200
	258, 962, 000

Arranged in the order of the magnitude of their total placer-gold production up to the end of 1930 the Alaskan districts ranked in the following order:

District	Region	Value
Fairbanks	Yukon	\$79, 630, 600
Nome	Seward Peninsula	67, 707, 200
Iditarod		20, 321, 400
ditarod		20, 321, 400
Council	Seward Peninsula	11, 809, 600
Circle	Yukon	7, 132, 300
Hot Springs	do	6, 814, 000
Fortymile	do	6, 165, 900
Ruby	do	5, 798, 800
Fairbaven	Seward Peninsula	5, 727, 100
Polovana	Yukon	5, 233, 600
Solomon-Bluff	Seward Peninsula	5, 196, 900
Koyukuk	Yukon	4, 971, 500
nnoko	do	4, 486, 600
McKinley	Kuskokwim	2, 702, 400
Chistochina	Copper River	2, 696, 800
Nizina	do	2, 606, 600
Yentna.	Cook Inlet-Susitna	2, 443, 500
Kougarok	Seward Peninsula	2, 223, 200
Kenai	Cook Inlet	1, 990, 200
Rampart	Yukon	1, 422, 900
	Southeastern Alaska	
uneau	Southeastern Alaska	1, 368, 880
Richardson	Yukon	1, 329, 900
Marshall	do	1, 247, 300
Porcupine	Southeastern Alaska	1, 100, 300
Koyuk.	Seward Peninsula	970, 500
Chisana	Yukon	786, 200
Tuluksak-Anjak	Kuskokwim	680,000
Eagle.	Yukon	593, 300
Port Clarence	Seward Peninsula	556, 500
Valdez Creek	Cook Inlet-Susitna	475, 700
Kantishna	Yukon	475, 100
Bonnifield	do	434, 500
	Northern Alaska	373, 200
Chandalar	Yukon	356, 400
Yakataga	Southeastern Alaska	319, 800
Goodnews Bay	Kuskokwim	243, 200
Georgetown	do	214, 800
Indian River	Yukon	129, 500
Southwestern Alaska	Cook Inlet-Susitna	97, 320
	Cook atter-susteds	
Nelchina	Copper River	58, 300
Willow Creek	Cook Inlet-Sustina	46, 100
Gold Hill	Yukon	24, 100
	-	258, 962, 000

In the accompanying table are set forth the complete statistics of the production of the various districts so far as they can now be determined from the official records. In addition to the specific facts regarding the value of the placer-gold production of each area for each year, as well as the total value by years, districts, and regions, the table serves as a more or less graphic representation of the history of the development of the placer industry throughout the Territory. Thus at a glance may be visualized the relative time when each of the

different districts began to furnish some significant amounts of gold and the continuity of production since that time. In fact, the complete span of productive life of some of the camps may be recognized by the shortness of the column of figures. The record of the Indian River district of the Yukon region is a good example of this condition, for the camp first became notably productive in 1911 and had ceased to yield any significant amounts of placer gold by 1921. The reader should be cautioned, however, against interpreting a marked falling off in the production of a district as indicating that the life of the district is drawing to a close. The history of all placer camps shows that the years immediately following the discovery of the camp mark a time of high production, which comes mainly from rich deposits that are easily and cheaply mined. As these deposits are relatively soon exhausted, the boom days are succeeded before long by a decline in the production that marks a period before the introduction of extensive mechanical devices for the mining of the lowergrade deposits and, in some camps, a period when owing to the remoteness of the camp costs are high. Some camps never pass to the stage of being developed by extensive equipment, and consequently their decline is unchecked. In others the introduction of extensive mechanical equipment or additional discoveries follow so quickly on the bonanza period that the inevitable decline is not strongly marked. A graph of the production of placer gold in the Fairbanks district up to 1927 is fairly representative of the growth and decline of a placer camp. In that district there was the sudden jump in production to a peak soon after the discovery of the camp, after which there was a decline, followed by an even larger production a few years later. Then followed decreasing production for a number of years during which practically all parts of this area were more or less thoroughly searched. In that search many deposits which could not then be worked at a profit were disclosed. the completion of the Alaska Railroad, however, and as an outcome of the various events that made the region more accessible and lowered mining costs, some of these formerly unprofitable deposits became attractive, and their development was undertaken. The result has been that for the last 4 years the production from that camp has taken a decided upward turn, and there is no reason to predict that for some time to come this trend will be interrupted by a serious decline.

AND THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O

The methods used in winning the placer gold reported in these tables range widely in their efficiency and cost. The records as to the amounts of gold produced by each of the different mining methods are not available. In fact, it is difficult to make any simple classification of the varied methods that have been used, because there is an almost uninterrupted sequence of elaboration from the individual prospector using only a shovel and gold pan to the complex dredge with most

complete and up-to-date equipment. However, it appears that by far the greatest amount of placer gold has been recovered by methods involving only manual labor or simple mechanical equipment such as can be operated as a small boiler for power or water under a moderate head such as can be supplied by relatively short ditches. Of the more elaborate mechanical methods of mining placer ground, dredging has yielded the greatest amount of gold. This method was first tried in Alaska in 1903 and, having proved successful, has been used more and more extensively until in 1930 nearly 81 percent of the placer gold recovered was mined by dredges. In the period between 1903 and 1930 nearly 18% percent of all the placer gold recovered was produced by dredges, but dredge output had been more than 16% percent of the total amount of placer produced by all methods since placer mining began in the Territory. In the course of dredge-mining operations about 90,097,000 cubic yards of gravel has been mined, which has yielded placer gold worth \$42,920,000, or an average value per cubic vard of 47.6 cents.

The fellowing table furnishes a record of the production of Alaska dredges for each year. The persistent decrease shown in the gold content of the gravel mined indicates that increased efficiency of the machines and of their operation permits successful mining of deposits that formerly would not have been attractive:

Placer gold produced by dredges in Alaska

Year	Dredges operated	Value of gold out- put from dradges	Cubic yards of gravel handled by dredges	Value of gold re- covered by dredges per cubic yard	Percentage of gold recovered by dredges to total placer gold
1968	14 127 385 42 34 35 28 28 22 24 22 24 23 24 23 24 24 24 25 27 27 28 28 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	\$20, 086 25, 000 40, 000 120, 000 250, 000 171, 000 171, 000 1, 500, 000 2, 200, 000 2, 350, 000 2, 350, 000 2, 350, 000 1, 425, 000 1, 425, 000 1, 562, 500 1, 578, 000 1, 582, 500 1, 578, 000 1, 582, 500 1, 578, 000 1, 582, 500 1, 578, 000 2, 200, 000 1, 582, 500 1, 578, 000 1, 578, 000 1, 578, 000 2, 185, 000 2, 185, 000 2, 185, 000 2, 185, 000 2, 185, 000 2, 195, 000 2, 195, 000 2, 195, 000 3, 912, 600	2, 644, 300 2, 508, 096 3, 400, 090 4, 450, 000 4, 900, 090 3, 700, 090 1, 700, 090 2, 790, 000 1, 783, 900 2, 799, 500 3, 184, 400 4, 445, 000 4, 446, 000 6, 870, 600 8, 700, 600 9, 906, 600	\$0.70 100 05 05 05 05 05 08 08 08 08 09 08 08 08 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09 09	0. 1. 1. 2. 6. 12. 18. 20. 21. 22. 24. 27. 40. 40. 40. 60. 50. 65. 71.
s of 6 s s s g		42, 920, 000	90, 097, 000	.476	16.

Placer gold produced in Alaska, 1880-1930

	Southeastern Alaska Copper River region Cook Inlet-Susitna region							Yukon region															Kusko	kwim region		Seward Peninsula																		
Year	Juneau Porcupine	Yakataga	Total	Nizina	Chisto- china	Nelchina T		enai Wil insula Cre	low Yentna eek Cache	a- Valdez Creek	South- western Alaska	Total Fort	ymile Eagle	Circle	Rampart	Ho t Springs	Tolovana F	airbank s R	tichard- son sin	i- na field	i- Kan- l tishna	Chanda- lar	Koyukuk R Hi	dian ver- ighes Gold Hill	Ruby	Innoko	Iditarod	Marshall	Total [McKinley	George- town A	uksak- niak Good	inews ay Total	Nome	Solomon and Bluff	Council K	Koyuk Fair	rhaven Kou	garok Port Claren	ce Total	western Alaska	Grand total	Year	
1880 1881. 1882. 1883. 1884. 1885. 1886. 1887. 1888. 1889. 1890. 1891. 1892. 1893. 1894. 1895. 1896. 1897. 1898. 1899. 1900. 1901. 1902. 1903. 1904. 1905. 1906. 1907. 1908. 1909. 1910. 1911. 1912. 1913. 1914. 1915. 1916. 1917. 1918. 1919. 1911. 1912. 1913. 1914. 1915. 1916. 1917. 1918. 1919. 1922. 1923. 1924. 1922. 1923. 1924. 1925. 1926. 1927. 1928. 1929. 1929. 1929. 1930. Estimated additions for omissions (a). Estimated deductions for excesses (b).	\$6,000	\$3,000 2,500 18,000 39,000 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	25, 000 4, 000 2, 000 3, 000 3, 500 7, 000 5, 000 8, 000 20, 000 9, 800 10, 000	\$32,000 50,000 150,000 65,000 65,000 65,000 65,000 70,000 30,000 50,000 100,000 115,000 140,000 140,000 120,00	23, 000	\$10,000 2,000 4,000 5,000 2,000 1,500 2,500 1,500 2,500 3,000 5,000 5,000 5,000 5,000 5,000 5,000 5,000	\$25,000 115,000 60 115,000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	30,000 5 40,000 25,000 225,000 225,000 4 45,000 6 70,000 70,000 23,000 30,0	45, (000 60, (000 15	000	5,000 5,000 8,000 9,000 0,4,000 0,5,000 0,	\$550,000 120,000 150,000 150,000 120,000 140,000 120,000 14100,000 120	00, 000	00	0	180, 000 175, 000 125, 000 325, 000 320, 000 785, 000 400, 000 750, 000 610, 000 800, 000 450, 000 150, 000 55, 000 55, 000 62, 000 83, 400 73, 200 65, 000 75, 000 75, 000 77, 000 82, 000 78, 500	80,000 700,000 1,150,000 875,000 525,000 200,000 221,000 164,000 189,500 194,100 148,000 151,000 118,000 82,000	7, 845, 000 9, 180, 000 9, 650, 000 6, 224, 300 4, 174, 000 3, 328, 000 2, 514, 000 1, 800, 000 1, 800, 000 730, 000 580, 000 603, 000 603, 000 603, 000 603, 000 603, 000 462, 000 470, 000 482, 000 482, 000 482, 000 482, 000 482, 000 483, 000 484, 000 485, 000 485, 000 487, 000 488, 000 488, 000 488, 000 489, 000 489	16,000 23, a 23, a 24, 6,000 15, 7,400 16, 7,000 7, 3,500 5, 12,000	000 21,0 000 12,0 000 12,0 000 10,0 000 10,0 000 10,0 000 10,0 000 13,0 000 13,0 000 10,0 000 5,0 000 5,0 000 5,0 000 5,0	000 75, 000 10, 000 10, 000 10, 000 10, 000 10, 000 10, 000 10, 000 10, 000 15, 000 15, 000 15, 000 24, 000 20, 000 20, 000 20, 000 20, 000 20, 000 15, 000 15, 000 15, 000 15, 000 15, 000 12, 000 12, 000 12, 000 12, 000 12, 000 12, 000 13, 000 12, 000 13, 000 12, 000 13, 000 11, 900 100 11, 90	28, 000 25, 000 5, 000 1, 000 1, 000 15, 000 15, 000 15, 000 15, 000 10, 000 1	107,000 173,500 200,000 301,000 165,000 165,000 160,000 120,500 160,000 120,000 170,00	5, 00 0, 000 5, 00 1, 000 1, 00 1, 000 1, 00 2, 00 1, 000 1, 00 1, 000 1, 00 2, 000 1, 00 1, 000 1, 00 2, 000 1, 000 1, 00 2, 000 1,	000	10, 000 70, 000 300, 000 200, 000 190, 000 150, 000 150, 000 150, 000 161, 200 161, 200 167, 500 244, 000 142, 000 244, 000 142, 000 244, 000 142, 000 86, 000	500, 000 2, 600, 000 2, 600, 000 2, 100, 000 2, 100, 000 1, 950, 000 1, 500, 000 1, 500, 000 1, 500, 000 280, 0	20,000 20,000 320,000 425,000 150,000 100,000 90,000 30,000 22,000 12,000 19,900 9,900 7,000 9,900 3,500 4,000	1, 000 1, 000 25, 000 30, 000 30, 000 30, 000 30, 000 100, 000 100, 000 100, 000 400, 000 400, 000 400, 000 500, 000 400, 000 500, 000 500, 000 1, 242, 000 650, 000 550, 000 1, 242, 000 6, 900, 000 1, 250, 000 1, 250	3, 000 40, 000 25, 000 15, 000 50, 000 50, 000 50, 000 471, 000 457, 000 245, 000 275, 000 290, 000 215, 000 471, 000 457, 000 245, 000 245, 000 50, 0	5,000 1 3,000 1 11,800 20,000	15, 000 3 8, 000 3 17, 000 3 16, 000 1 18, 000 1 13, 000 1 13, 000 1 10, 000 80, 000 90, 000 98, 600 13, 000 16, 500 14, 500	3,00 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000 5,000 3,000 5,000 3,000 5,0	000 a a 000	0	1, 000, 000 1, 000, 000 500, 000 500, 000 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	22 33 55 66 36,000 1 39,000 20 30,000 1 39,000 1 39,000 1 39,000 1 31,700 1	50,000 45,50,000 25,50,000 25,50,000 26,50,000 27,50,000 27,50,000 28,50,50,000 28,50,50,50 28,50,50	50,000 76,56,000 75,000	0 7,000,000 5,120,000 4,290,000 3,500,000 3,100,000 3,100,000 2,500,000 2,700,000 0 2,950,000 0 2,950,000 0 1,360,000 0 1,360,000 0 1,360,000 0 1,360,000 0 1,265,000 0 1,245,000 0 1,245,000 0 1,888,500 0 1,873,000 0 1,873,000 0 1,873,000 0 1,873,000 0 1,988,500 0 1,988,500 0 1,988,500	5,000 15,000 12,000 30,000 35,000 25,000 25,000 20,000 20,000 25,000 15,000 25,000 15,000 25,000 15,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 3,00	fo Est	2.). u c -
	1, 500, 600 1, 100, 500	319,000	2, 100, 900	<u> </u>	2, 090, 000	00,000 0	, 501, 700 1, 8	200, 200	,, 100 2, 110,	110,10		., 002, 020		7, 102, 00	1, 122, 500	3,522,000	-, -00, 000 1	-, -50, 000 1	-,,,			*,	-,,			,,	-,,						-,,,,,,,,,,	1,,	-,,	, ,		., -1,		,,		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_	