UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Delineation of an anomalous lead-zinc area in the Phil ip Smith Mountains A-2 quadrangle, **Alaska**

PROPERTY OF DGGS LIBRARY

BY

D. E. Detra

U.S. Geological Survey

Open-File Report 77-223

1977

This report is preliminary and has not been edited or reviewed for confi rmity with **U.S.** Geological Survey standards and nomenclature.

Interpretation of spectrographic (Grimes and flarranzino, 1968) and wet-chemical (Ward and others, 1969) analyses of crushed-rock samples, minus- and plus-80-mesh stream-sediment samples, and the nonmagnetic fraction of heavy-mineral concentrates delineates an anomalous lead-zinc area in the southeast sector of the Philip Smith Mountains **A-2** quadrangle, Alaska. Although the results from these sample media support the existence of a lead-zinc area, the extent of the anomalous area cannot be determined without further investigation.

Two mineralized areas, A and B on figure 1, contain small outcrops of lead and zinc veins that are intersected by two streams, S1 and **S2.** Stream S1 intersects area A, is approximately 2.0 m wide, and averages 0.2 m deep. Stream S2 intersects area B and is less than 1 m in width and less than 0.1 m in depth. The water in both streams is rapid-flowing and has a pH of less than 4.5 . The area is generally characterized as arctic, with limited tundra-type ground cover overlying permafrost in the drainage basins. The relief on either. side of the valley, where the mineralization occurs, is approximately 500 m. The consistently anomalous metals in the mineralized veins are zinc, lead, and silver. The upper values obtained for these metals from rock samples taken in the area are zinc, 34 percent; lead, greater than 2 percent; silver, 150 ppm (table 1 and fig. 2). The anomalous metals occur in a chert cap on a limestone bed that is overlain by yellow-weathering phyllitic silt shales and sandstones which, in turn, are overlain by the black slates of the typical Hunt Fork Shale (fig. **3).**

 $\mathbf{1}$

TABLE 1.--Rock **.sampZes**

 \overline{a}

 \overline{r}

 \bullet

 $\binom{2}{2}$

[Results reported in parts per million (ppm). G = greater than value shown; N = not detected at limit of detection; L = detected, but below limit of detection]

 $($

 ω

FIGURE Z.--Lead (Pb), copper (Cu), silver {Ag), and zinc (Zn) in rocks. Pb, Cu, and
Ag determinations by emission spectrography; Zn determinations by atomic absorption.

147'43'40"

^Astream-sediment sample (232) taken in one of the mineralized areas (B) yields anomalous values for lead and zinc, but these values do not persist in a sample (230) taken downstream frcm the prospect area. This change in values suggests that the minus-80-mesh dispersion train of anomalous lead and zinc particulate material is limited to a short distance from the exposure. The absence of highly anomalous lead and zinc values in the minus-80-mesh stream sediments and nonmagnetic fraction of heavymineral concentrates can be explained by a combination of pH of hydrolysis (of the metals) and stream-transport mechanics.

Much of the minus-80-mesh, lead-zinc particulate material is possibly being transported downstream in sol ution, because the stream waters **are** producing an acidic environment ($pH < 4.5$) and the values for pH of hydrolysis of lead and zinc are 6.0 and 7.0, respectively. Exposures of the mineralized veins are 1 imi ted, 1 ess than **3** m in length and not much wider than the stream. The production of 1 ead-zinc particulate material is relatively small, and this material is rapidly diluted with that of the surrounding country rock. The lead-zinc particulate material that is not going into solution and being transported as cations is being rapidly transported downstream in suspension or within the bed load. This rapid transport of minus-80-mesh lead-zinc particulate material is caused by high stream gradient which produces rapid stream flow.

6.

²To support this explanation, analyses of both minus- and plus-80-mesh stream sediments were carried out by an extractable heavy-metal method (Ward and others, 1963). This method analyzes only the loosely bound metal ions. The results show that anomalous zinc values for the plus-80 mesh stream sediments were obtained at sample localities 743 and 230, where minus-80-mesh showed no anomalous val ues (fig. 4). This evidence suggests that lead-zinc particulate matter is present in the coarse fraction of the stream sediment and not in the fine fraction.

 $\left| \begin{array}{c} \cdot \end{array} \right|$ I -

> The rock samples taken from the mineralized veins contain galena, sphaleri te, and chalcopyrite. Galena reacts with sulfuric acid produced by the oxidation of sulfide minerals to form an insoluble lead sulfate, resulting in anomalous lead values in some of the heavy-mineral concentrates (table 2, fig. 5) **(743** and 230). The same does not hold for zinc and copper, because sulfates produced by the reaction between sphalerite and chalcophyri te and sulfuric acid are very sol uble. These sulfates remain in solution, and residual values for copper and zinc in the stream sediments and heavy-mineral concentrates are low.

In conclusion, geochemical analyses of sediments, heavy-mineral concentrates, and rock samples positively delineate an anomalous lead-zinc area within the Philip Smith Mountains **A-2** quadrangle, which shows promise for additional exploration.

7

I TABLE 2.--Stream-sediment and heavy-mineral concentrates

[Geometric mean includes all stream sediments taken during the 1976 field season in the Philip Smith Mountains A-2 quadrangle, Alaska. Results reported in ppm (parts per million). N = not detected at limit of detection; L = detected, but below limit of detection; INS = insufficient amount of sample; $N/A =$ data not available]

1 -

 \leftarrow

FIGU-E K.--Lead (Pb), copper (Cu), and zinc (Zn) in parts per million. Pb and Cu from the nommagnetic fraction of the heavy mineral
- concentrate. In from the minus-All-mesh stream sediment. Pb, Cu, and In determinations b

 \overline{a}

Grimes, D. J., and Marranzino, **A.** P., 1968, Direct-current arc and a1 ternating-current spark emission spectrographic field methods for the semiquanti tative analysis of geologic materials: U.S. Geol. Survey Circ. 591, 6 p.

- Ward, F. **N.,** Lakin, H. **H.,** Canney, F. C., and others, 1963, Analytical methods used in geochemical exploration by the U.S. Geological Survey: U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1152, 100 p.
- Ward, F. **N.,** Nakagawa, H. M., Harms, T. F., and Van Sickle, G. H., 1969, . Atomic-absorption methods of analysis useful in geochemical exploration: U.S. Geol. Survey Bull. 1289, 45 p.