

Overview of Environmental and Hydrogeologic Conditions at Unalakleet, Alaska

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Open-File Report 95-347

Prepared in cooperation with the
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION



Overview of Environmental and Hydrogeologic Conditions at Unalakleet, Alaska

By Joseph M. Dorava

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Open-File Report 95-347

Prepared in cooperation with the
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION



Anchorage, Alaska
1995

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BRUCE BABBITT, Secretary

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Gordon P. Eaton, Director

For additional information write to:

District Chief
U.S. Geological Survey
4230 University Drive, Suite 201
Anchorage, AK 99508-4664

Copies of this report may be purchased from:

U.S. Geological Survey
Earth Science Information Center
Open-File Reports Section
Box 25286, MS 517
Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225-0425

CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Background	1
Location	1
History	3
Physical setting	3
Climate	3
Vegetation	4
Geology	4
Hydrology	4
Surface water	4
Floods	5
Ground water	5
Drinking water	6
Summary	7
References cited	7
Appendix 1. Well drillers' logs	A-1
Appendix 2. Ground-water data	A-2
Appendix 3. Drinking-water sources	A-3

FIGURES

1. Map showing location of Unalakleet, Alaska, and Federal Aviation Administration facilities	2
---	---

TABLES

1. Mean monthly and annual temperature, precipitation and snowfall, Unalakleet, Alaska, 1941–87	3
---	---

CONVERSION FACTORS

Multiply	By	To obtain
millimeter (mm)	0.03937	inch
meter (m)	3.281	foot
kilometer (km)	0.6214	mile
square kilometer (km ²)	0.3861	square mile
cubic meter per second (m ³ /s)	35.3107	cubic foot per second
cubic meter per second per square kilometer [(m ³ /s)/km ²]	91.49	cubic foot per second per square mile
liter (L)	0.2642	gallon
liters per second (L/s)	15.85	gallon per minute
liter per day (L/d)	0.2642	gallon per day

In this report, temperature is reported in degrees Celsius (°C), which can be converted to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) by the following equation:

$$^{\circ}\text{F} = 1.8 (^{\circ}\text{C}) + 32$$

VERTICAL DATUM

Sea level: In this report, “sea level” refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929—A geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of the United States and Canada, formerly called Sea Level Datum of 1929.

Overview of Environmental and Hydrogeologic Conditions at Unalakleet, Alaska

By Joseph M. Dorava

Abstract

The remote village of Unalakleet is on the alluvial plain of the Unalakleet River in northwestern Alaska. The Federal Aviation Administration has operated airway-support facilities at Unalakleet since 1942 and wishes to consider the severity of contamination, the environmental setting, and hydrogeologic conditions when evaluating options for compliance with environmental regulations. The transitional climatic conditions near Unalakleet provide a mean annual temperature of -3.2 degrees Celsius and a mean annual precipitation of about 360 millimeters. Wet tundra vegetation surrounds the abundant surface-water bodies near Unalakleet and barren beaches extend along the coast. Unalakleet obtains its drinking water from an infiltration gallery near Powers Creek about 7 kilometers north of the village. Surface spills and disposal of hazardous materials combined with storm-surge flooding in Unalakleet may affect the quality of the drinking water. Alternative drinking-water sources are not locally available. More distant surface-water sources may provide drinking-water alternatives for Unalakleet; however, their quantity and quality are unknown.

INTRODUCTION

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) owns and (or) operates airway-support and navigational facilities throughout Alaska. At many of these sites, fuels and potentially hazardous materials such as solvents, polychlorinated biphenyls, and pesticides may have been used and (or) disposed of. To determine if environmentally hazardous materials have been spilled or disposed of at the sites, the FAA is conducting environmental studies mandated under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. To complete these more comprehensive environmental studies, the FAA requires information on the hydrology and geology of areas surrounding the sites. This report, the product of compilation, review, and summary of existing hydrologic and geologic data by the U.S. Geological Survey, in cooperation with the FAA, provides such supplemental information for the FAA facility and nearby areas at Unalakleet, Alaska. Also presented in this report is a description of the environmental setting of the Unalakleet area.

BACKGROUND

Location

Unalakleet is in northwestern Alaska (fig. 1) at about lat 63°52' N, long 160°47' W., 240 km southeast of Nome and 650 km west of Fairbanks. Unalakleet is a small remote village on the eastern shore of Norton Sound near the mouth of the Unalakleet River, and is accessible only by air or sea. In 1990, the population of Unalakleet was 714 (U.S. Bureau of Census, 1991). The village, an




Figure 1. Location of Unalakleet, Alaska, and Federal Aviation Administration facilities. Light shaded areas represent uplands with elevations greater than 61 m.

airport runway, and many of the FAA facilities are about 8 m above sea level on a narrow spit of land between Kouwegok Slough and Norton Sound (fig. 1). Additional FAA airway-support facilities include navigation aids identified by radio towers east and north of the runway (fig. 1).

History

The FAA or its predecessors have had facilities in Unalakleet since 1942 when the military used the site to ferry aircraft to Siberia. Former Department of Defense facilities in Unalakleet include a radar site and a radio-communications site (fig. 1). A detailed account of FAA-owned, leased, or transferred properties in Unalakleet and a listing of suspected sources of contamination near these facilities can be found in an Environmental Compliance Investigation Report (ECIR) of the FAA facilities in Unalakleet (Ecology and Environment, Inc., 1992).

PHYSICAL SETTING

Climate

Unalakleet lies in the transitional climatic zone where the maritime influence of Norton Sound and the continental climate of interior Alaska combine to produce pronounced temperature variations, low precipitation, and light surface winds (Hartman and Johnson, 1984). The mean annual temperature in Unalakleet for 1941–87 was -3.2 °C; however, temperatures range from a July mean maximum of 16.2 °C to a December mean minimum of -20.6 °C (Leslie, 1989). Mean annual precipitation is about 360 mm and includes about 950 mm of snowfall. The months of greatest rainfall are July and August and the month of highest snowfall is November. Mean monthly and annual temperature, precipitation, and snowfall are summarized in table 1.

Table 1. Mean monthly and annual temperature, precipitation, and snowfall for the period 1941 to 1987, Unalakleet, Alaska

[Modified from Leslie (1989); °C, degree Celsius; mm, millimeter]

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Annual
Temperature (°C)													
Mean maximum ¹	-12.1	-11.9	-8.4	-1.3	7.6	12.7	16.2	15.0	10.5	0.8	-7.4	-13.2	0.7
Mean minimum ²	-19.8	-20.2	-18.1	-10.4	-0.9	5.3	8.8	7.7	2.5	-6.0	-14.1	-20.6	-7.2
Mean	-16.0	-16.1	-13.4	-5.9	3.3	9.0	12.5	11.3	6.5	-2.6	-10.8	-16.8	-3.2
Precipitation (mm)													Total
	12.2	11.2	14.2	12.2	16.3	29.7	59.9	90.9	57.4	25.7	13.7	12.2	355.6
Snowfall (mm)													Total
	124.5	127.0	139.7	88.9	22.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.8	124.5	170.2	132.1	947.4

¹Maximum, for period of record, 30.6, July 1972.

²Minimum for period of record, -46.7, December 1974.

Vegetation

Vegetation in the Unalakleet area consists of a closed spruce-hardwood forest inland along the Unalakleet River, wet tundra near sloughs and along the coast, and alpine tundra on the dry upland slopes of the Nulato Hills north and west of the village (Viereck and Little, 1972). The forested riparian areas have widely spaced, mature white spruce, black spruce, tamarack, white birch, poplar, and cottonwood. Undergrowth consists of willow and young cottonwood (Sloan and others, 1986; Viereck and Little, 1972). The wet tundra areas adjacent to Kouwegok Slough, the mouth of Unalakleet River, and Norton Sound consists predominantly of sedges and grasses. The alpine tundra areas inland from Unalakleet are covered with lichens, mosses, sedges, dwarf birch, lingonberry, crowberry, Labrador tea, and other low-growing shrubs (Viereck and Little, 1972).

Geology

The geology of the Unalakleet area has been described at a reconnaissance level by Cass (1959) and in more detail by Patton and Moll (1985). Bedrock exposures are not at the FAA facilities in Unalakleet. Volcanic graywacke and mudstone are exposed along the coastline north and south of Unalakleet and in steep banks along the Unalakleet River. Sandstone and shale are exposed in the Nulato Hills across the North River. The village of Unalakleet and the FAA facilities are situated on sand-and-gravel flood-plain deposits of the Unalakleet River (Patton and Moll, 1985). Drillers' logs indicate that the depth to bedrock is about 12 m near Powers Creek, 7 km north of Unalakleet (appendix 1).

Permafrost generally lies under the coastal areas along Norton Sound and polygonal ice wedges are along the coast; however, a test boring near Unalakleet did not reach permafrost within about 10 m below land surface (Ferrians, 1965). Drillers' logs from wells near Powers Creek indicate that the top of frozen ground occurs at depths ranging from 4.6 to 15.2 m (appendix 1). Areas adjacent to and beneath streams and lakes are typically thawed by the heat from these water bodies and generally are unfrozen (Ferrians, 1965).

Organic-rich soils in the Unalakleet area are characterized by a thick peaty surface mat and a maximum active layer thickness of about 0.5 m where permafrost is present (Rieger and others, 1979). Because of the seasonal variations in temperature and precipitation, the soils are alternately wet and dry as indicated by their characteristic mottled, dark-gray appearance (Rieger and others, 1979). The predominant silt loam soils are developed on alluvium and colluvium (Rieger and others, 1979).

HYDROLOGY

Surface Water

The village of Unalakleet is nearly surrounded by water. The Unalakleet River flows from west to east along the southern edge of the village. Kouwegok Slough extends from the north along the western edge of the village, and Norton Sound is to the east (fig. 1). Many abandoned channels along Kouwegok Slough and the Unalakleet River drain to the south and west. The Unalakleet River is part of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (Sloan and others, 1986; U.S. Bureau of Land Management, 1983)

Snowmelt and rainfall runoff supply most of the water in the streams of the region. Discharge in local streams typically increases in late May or early June and increases again during heavy rainfall in late summer or early fall. Minimum discharge will occur following extended periods of reduced runoff in late winter or early spring.

The drainage basin of the Unalakleet River has an area of about 5,300 km² upstream from the mouth at Unalakleet (Sloan and others, 1986). During a hydrologic reconnaissance study in 1983, Sloan and others, (1986) found that the river and its major tributaries contributed between 0.1 and 0.26 (m³/s)/km² of water in August, and between 0.0 and 0.003 (m³/s)/km² of water during March. These values are almost the extremes for unit discharge in the river because the August measurements were made after heavy rains when the river was about 0.3 m higher than normal, and the March measurements were made after an extended period of reduced runoff.

Floods

The flood hazard in Unalakleet is considered to be high (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1993). The primary sources of flooding in this coastal village are high tides and storm-driven waves. Major flooding occurred in 1965, 1968, 1971, and 1974 (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1993). Data from the files of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Flood Plain Management Section indicate that in 1965, the largest recorded flood in Unalakleet was caused by storm-driven waves. The flood inundated the entire village and had a peak stage of about 6.6 m above sea level (Harlan Legare, hydrologist, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, oral commun., 1995). The Corps of Engineers plans to use the recorded occurrence of floods in Unalakleet to produce a refined approximation of the probability of storm-surge tide flooding (Harlan Legare, hydrologist, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, oral commun., 1995). This refinement is required because the 100-year storm-surge tide is currently estimated to be about 23 m high in the marine area near Unalakleet (Brower and others, 1977). Storm surges usually occur during the fall when Norton Sound is free of ice. Strong, persistent, onshore winds blowing across vast open stretches of Norton Sound generate high waves and may cause coastal flooding especially during high tide. Normal tide range for the Norton Sound area is less than 1 m (Brower and others, 1977; Hartman and Johnson, 1984).

Although not the primary source of flooding in Unalakleet, overbank flooding on the Unalakleet River also is possible and may affect the village and the FAA facilities along the river. During August 1983, Sloan and others (1986) found evidence for a flood with a peak stage of about 1.5 m above the normal water surface. No evidence of ice-jam flooding was observed, which suggests that the springtime flood heights were not augmented by backwater from ice (Sloan and others, 1986). Because river floods have not been a significant problem in Unalakleet, no new flood-frequency calculations were made. On the basis of regional-flood characteristics (Lamke, 1979), estimates of the 2-year flood and the 50-year flood for the Unalakleet River at the mouth are 600 and 1,240 m³/s respectively (Sloan and others, 1986). The potential for flooding at specific locations along the river, however, is difficult to evaluate without detailed investigations of flood heights and local topography.

Ground Water

Because of permafrost and the low permeability of bedrock in most of the area, ground water is recharged and discharged principally in the alluvium along stream courses (Sloan and others, 1986). Surface-water drainage from the Nulato Hills north and east of Unalakleet enters the North

River, Powers Creek, and Unalakleet River, which flow to the southwest and west respectively. An alluvial aquifer in this setting probably would be confined to the area between these hills and the coast. Environmental remediation at former Defense Department facilities, located between the Nulato Hills and the coast northeast of Unalakleet, is planned (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1990a, b and 1991a, b; Woodward-Clyde Consultants, 1985).

Although few details of aquifer characteristics are available, Selkregg (1976) states that the alluvial plain of the Unalakleet River can provide as much as 0.6 L/s of ground water. Furthermore, Williams (1970) states that ground water is available in a similar environmental setting in an unfrozen alluvial aquifer under the Koyukuk River about 150 km northeast of Unalakleet. Marine gravel and sand in spits, barrier bars, raised beaches, and some deltas near Unalakleet may contain small quantities of fresh-water. These features are known to contain ground water in the Nome area; however, heavy pumping or seasonal storm surges may result in saltwater intrusion into these coastal aquifers. Ground-water investigations at the nearby villages of Koyuk, about 120 km north of Unalakleet, and Shaktolik, about 50 km north, which are in a similar climatologic, hydrologic, and geologic setting as Unalakleet, indicate that adequate sources of drinking water could be obtained by installing shallow horizontal infiltration pipes or drilling shallow vertical wells in inland areas away from the coast (Waller, 1958).

Information about several wells near the FAA facilities in Unalakleet—including depth, yield, water-surface elevation measurements, and miscellaneous water-quality properties—is given in appendix 2. A 8.5-meter-deep well that supplies water to the quarters area had a reported yield of about 1 L/s. Another 13-meter-deep well reported to be inside a garage also yielded about 1 L/s. A third well at the Control Building was 9.4 m deep and yielded about 1.3 L/s of brackish water from an aquifer that was 4.6 m below land surface. The 16.8-meter-deep fire well is reported to contain saltwater, but its potential yield and exact location are unknown. On November 24, 1958, a 5.1-meter-deep well at the Unalakleet school had a water level of 2.44 m below land surface. Water-quality constituents and properties measured in water samples from these wells include concentrations of major ions—silica, aluminum, magnesium, chlorine, fluorine, and dissolved solids—and measurements of alkalinity, specific conductance, pH, and color (appendix 2).

The U.S. Public Health Service (USPHS) provided records describing 16 wells in the Unalakleet area (appendix 1). The USPHS records include wells that range from 6 to 33 m below land surface. These wells were developed in aquifer materials ranging from sandy gravel to clay and rock. Several wells were contaminated with saltwater, and others had an inadequate quantity of water. The 10.4-meter-deep well near Powers Creek is identified as an excellent source of water and yielded 2.5 L/s (appendix 1).

DRINKING WATER

Drinking water is provided by a public water system that collects water from an infiltration gallery near Powers Creek (Environmental Services, Ltd., 1980). The village water is stored in a 3.8-million-liter tank and is treated before distribution. The quality of public water supplies is monitored regularly, and the water supply must meet current regulations (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1995; Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, 1995).

Water-use withdrawals of about 190 L/d per person were estimated for Unalakleet on the basis of the 1990 population of 714. The water use compares with an average water use per person of 1,960 L/d estimated for all uses for the entire State of Alaska in 1990 (Solley and others, 1993).

In 1977, alternative sources of drinking water for Unalakleet were investigated by the Indian Health Services (A.D. Ronimus, hydrologist, Indian Health Service, written commun., 1977; appendix 3, this report). Ground-water and surface-water alternatives were evaluated for their potential to supply Unalakleet with acceptable drinking water. All local drinking-water sources that were investigated (except for a single 10.4-meter-deep well near Powers Creek) were discounted because they produced salty water or because they had significant accessibility problems (appendix 3). These results indicate that Unalakleet's present source of drinking water may not have a reasonable alternative as defined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1987). A more distant alternative source of drinking water may be expensive to develop, but may be available from the South River or perhaps from the Ryan and Coral Lakes (fig. 1) if they are deep enough so that they do not freeze completely in the winter. However, data are not adequate to characterize the quantity and quality of waters from these more distant alternative sources.

SUMMARY

The remote location of Unalakleet makes the village dependent on the air or sea for transportation. The transitional climatic conditions of the area provide long cold winters and short cool summers. These climatic conditions also influence streamflow in the Unalakleet area, which is greatly reduced in the winter and dominated by snowmelt and rainfall runoff during other times. The potential for flooding generated by storm-driven waves is high, especially for the village and the FAA facilities, which are on the narrow spit of land between Norton Sound and Kouwegok Slough. Drinking water in Unalakleet is provided by a public system that collects water from an infiltration gallery near Powers Creek. Local alternative sources of drinking water may not be available because they are difficult to access, have an inadequate quantity, or are affected by salt-water. More distant alternatives may be available from the South River or perhaps from Coral or Ryan Lakes if they are deep enough. Data, however, are not adequate to determine if these alternative sources provide enough water to meet the needs of Unalakleet or if they meet current drinking-water regulations.

REFERENCES CITED


- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, 1995, Alaska water-quality standards 18 AAC 70: Juneau, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Water-Quality Management Section, 47 p.
- Brower, W.A. Jr., Diaz, H.F., Prectel, A.S. Searby, H.W. and Wise, J.L., 1977, Climatic atlas of the outer continental shelf waters and coastal regions of Alaska—Volume II, Bering Sea: University of Alaska, Anchorage, Arctic Environmental Information and Data Center, Publication B-77, 443 p.
- Cass, J.T., 1959, Reconnaissance geologic map of the Unalakleet quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-288, scale 1:250,000.
- Ecology and Environment, Inc., 1992, Environmental compliance investigation report, Unalakleet FAA Station, Unalakleet Alaska: Anchorage [Copy available through the Environmental Compliance Section AAL-465, Federal Aviation Administration, Alaskan Region], variously paged.
- Environmental Services, Ltd., 1980, Bering Straits Region community profiles—A background for planning: Anchorage, Alaska Department of Regional and Community Affairs, 18 sheets.

- Ferrians, O.J., Jr., 1965, Permafrost map of Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Geologic Investigations Map I-445, 1 sheet, scale 1:250,000.
- Hartman, C.W., and Johnson, P.R., 1984, Environmental atlas of Alaska: University of Alaska Fairbanks, Institute of Water Resources/Engineering Experiment Station, 95 p.
- Lamke, R.D., 1979, Flood characteristics of Alaskan streams: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations 78-129, 61 p.
- Leslie, L.D., 1989, Alaska climate summaries (2d ed.): University of Alaska Anchorage, Arctic Environmental Information and Data Center, Climate Center Technical Note 5.
- Patton, W.W.J., and Moll, E.J., 1985, Geologic map of northern and central parts of Unalakleet quadrangle, Alaska.: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Field Studies Map MF-1749, scale 1:250,000.
- Rieger, Samuel, Schoephorster, D.B., and Furbush, C.E., 1979, Exploratory soil survey of Alaska: Soil Conservation Service report, 213 p.
- Selkregg, L.L., 1976, Alaska regional profiles—Northwest Region: University of Alaska Fairbanks, Arctic Environmental and Data Center, 265 p.
- Sloan, C.E., Kernodle, D.R., and Huntsinger, R., 1986, Hydrologic reconnaissance of the Unalakleet River basin, Alaska, 1982-83: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 86-4089, 18 p.
- Solley, W.B., Pierce, R.R., and Perlman, H.A., 1993, Estimated use of water in the United States in 1990: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1081, 76 p.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1990a, Environmental assessment—Department of Defense Environmental Restoration Program—Unalakleet—Aircraft and warning site, Alaska: Anchorage, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, 54 p.
- 1990b, Environmental assessment—Department of Defense Environmental Restoration Program, Unalakleet—North River White Alice communication site, Alaska: Anchorage, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, variously paged.
- 1991a, Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact—Department of Defense Environmental Restoration Program, aircraft and warning site, Unalakleet, Alaska: Anchorage, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, variously paged.
- 1991b, Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact—Department of Defense Environmental Restoration Program, North River White Alice communication site, Unalakleet, Alaska: Anchorage, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, 1 v., variously paged.
- 1993, Alaskan communities flood hazard data: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Alaska District, Flood Plain Management Services report, 335 p.
- U.S. Bureau of Census, 1991, Percent distribution Alaska population by sex, race and Hispanic origin—1990 census: U.S. Bureau of Census report compiled by Alaska Department of Labor, Research and Analysis, 3 p.
- U.S. Bureau of Land Management, 1983, River management plan for the Unalakleet National Wild River: U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Anchorage District Office, 34 p.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1987, Sole source aquifer designation—Petitioner guidance: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency report, 30 p.
- 1995, Drinking water regulations and health advisories: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency report, May 1995, 11 p.
- Viereck, L.A., and Little, E.L. Jr., 1972, Alaska trees and shrubs: U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook No. 410, 265 p.
- Waller, R.M., 1958, Ground-water reconnaissance of Koyuk and Shaktolik villages, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrological Data Report 7, 10 p.
- Williams, J.R. 1970, Ground water in permafrost regions of Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 696, 83 p.
- Woodward-Clyde Consultants, 1985, Field inspection report—Defense environmental restoration account, Unalakleet Alaska sites: Anchorage, Woodward-Clyde Consultants, 92 p.

APPENDIX 1
WELL-DRILLERS' LOGS

(Data from the files of the U.S. Public Health Service)

Approximate road
#1
#2
#3
#4
#5
#6
#7
#8
#9
#10
#11
#12
#13
#14
#15
#16
#17
#18
#19
#20
#21
#22
#23
#24
#25
#26
#27
#28
#29
#30
#31
#32
#33
#34
#35
#36
#37
#38
#39
#40
#41
#42
#43
#44
#45
#46
#47
#48
#49
#50
#51
#52
#53
#54
#55
#56
#57
#58
#59
#60
#61
#62
#63
#64
#65
#66
#67
#68
#69
#70
#71
#72
#73
#74
#75
#76
#77
#78
#79
#80
#81
#82
#83
#84
#85
#86
#87
#88
#89
#90
#91
#92
#93
#94
#95
#96
#97
#98
#99
#100



→ Tunnel
→ Brush

Each Square = 10'

SCOTT WHEATON HAS MEASUREMENTS
OF SIZE & DEPTH OF PITS

WELL LOG

U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DIVISION OF INDIAN HEALTH

LOCATION WIVALAKLET POWER NO 1 DATE STARTED 1-27-77
 DATE COMPLETED 1-27-77 DRILLER MARIL F ANDERSON
 TOTAL DEPTH OF WELL 40 FT. CASING INSTALLED 45 DIAMETER 6"
 GROUT N/A SCREEN SIZE N/A MFG. N/A LENGTH 1' A
 STATIC WATER LEVEL 7 FT. HRS. PUMPED N/A @ N/A GPM DRAWDOWN N/A FT.

Drilled by
 Anderson

DEPTH HOLE DIAMETER
 CASING DIAMETER
 FORMATION

0-20

Blue S.I.T
FROZEN

20-32

Yellow S.I.T
+ clay
FROZEN

32-40

Blue Clay
FROZEN40
B. DRILLLITTLE SAND
IN JUNCTION BR.
Some WATER
TASTED FRESH

SOIL DATA TO 15 FT.

FEET THAWED 3BOTTOM OF FROST & MATERIAL 3' 10"SEASONAL OR PERMA FROST PERMA

WATER DATA FIELD TEST

TASTE N/AAPPEARANCE FRESH N/AAFTER 24 HOURS N/AIRON N/ACHLORIDES N/ATDS N/APUMP TEST N/A - STATIC LEVELPUMPING LEVEL N/A @ N/A GPMAFTER N/A HRS.

HIGHEST RECOMMENDED PUMP RATE

WILL STATIC LEVEL CHANGE WITH

TIDES N/A OR FROST N/ADEVELOP PROCEDURE N/AESTIMATED MAN HOURS FOR DRILLING 20HOURS FOR TOTAL JOB 25CREW N/A

WELL LOG

U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DIVISION OF INDIAN HEALTH

LOCATION UNALAKLEET NO. 2 DATE STARTED 1-28-77
 DATE COMPLETED 1-31-77 DRILLER ANDERSON
 TOTAL DEPTH OF WELL 50 FT. CASING INSTALLED 40 DIAMETER 6"
 GROUT NA SCREEN SIZE NA MFG. NA LENGTH NA
 STATIC WATER LEVEL NO HRS. PUMPED NA @ NA GPM DRAWDOWN NA FT.

DEPTH HOLE DIAMETER
CASING DIAMETER
FORMATION

BROWN MUD
PARTIALLY FROZEN
LOTS OF ORGANIC
MATTER. MADE SOME WATER
SOIL DATA TO 15 FT.
FEET THAWED TO 17'
BOTTOM OF FROST & MATERIAL 50
SEASONAL OR PERMA FROST PERMANENT

WATER DATA FIELD TEST

TASTE _____
 APPEARANCE FRESH _____
 AFTER 24 HOURS NA
 IRON _____
 CHLORIDES _____
 TDS _____

PUMP TEST NA - STATIC LEVEL
 PUMPING LEVEL NA @ _____ GPM
 AFTER _____ HRS.

HIGHEST RECOMMENDED PUMP RATE NA
 WILL STATIC LEVEL CHANGE WITH
 TIDES _____ OR FROST _____

DEVELOP PROCEDURE NAESTIMATED MAN HOURS FOR DRILLING 40HOURS FOR TOTAL JOB 45CREW ANDERSON - ST. ANTHONY

WELL LOG

U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DIVISION OF INDIAN HEALTH

LOCATION UNALASKA LET-NO. 3 DATE STARTED 2-2-77
 DATE COMPLETED 2-6-77 DRILLER ANDERSON
 TOTAL DEPTH OF WELL 50 FT. CASING INSTALLED 45 DIAMETER 6"
 GROUT NA SCREEN SIZE NA MFG. NA LENGTH NA
 STATIC WATER LEVEL NA HRS. PUMPED NA GPM DRAWDOWN NA FT.

DEPTH HOLE DIAMETER
 CASING DIAMETER
 FORMATION

0'-3'		Brown MUSKEG
3'-7'		Blue clay perm
7-14		Yellow clay perm
14-30		ROCK-SHALE VERY HARD DRILLING + DRIVING
30-50		BLUE CLAY

SOIL DATA TO 15 FT.

FEET THAWED 0BOTTOM OF FROST & MATERIAL 15

SEASONAL OR PERMA FROST

WATER DATA FIELD TEST

TASTE

APPEARANCE FRESH

AFTER 24 HOURS

IRON

CHLORIDES

TDS

PUMP TEST

- STATIC LEVEL

PUMPING LEVEL

@

GPM

AFTER

HRS.

HIGHEST RECOMMENDED PUMP RATE

WILL STATIC LEVEL CHANGE WITH

TIDES

OR FROST

DEVELOP PROCEDURE

ESTIMATED MAN HOURS FOR DRILLING

HOURS FOR TOTAL JOB

CREW

ANDERSON - ST. ANTHONY

WELL LOG

U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DIVISION OF INDIAN HEALTH

LOCATION UNALAKLEET WC-4 DATE STARTED 2-7-77
DATE COMPLETED 2-10-77 DRILLER MARK F. ANDERSON
TOTAL DEPTH OF WELL 49 FT. CASING INSTALLED 49 DIAMETER 6
GROUT NA SCREEN SIZE NA MFG. NA LENGTH NA
STATIC WATER LEVEL NA HRS. PUMPED NA @ NA GPM DRAWDOWN NA FT.

DEPTH HOLE DIAMETER
CASING DIAMETER
FORMATION

0'-3'

muskeg

3'-17'

Blue clay

17-49

BROWNISH
MIXED - WITH
SAND & GRAVEL

SOIL DATA TO 15 FT.

FEET THAWED 18BOTTOM OF FROST & MATERIAL 18

SEASONAL OR PERMA FROST

WATER DATA FIELD TEST

TASTE

APPEARANCE FRESH

AFTER 24 HOURS

IRON

CHLORIDES

TDS

PUMP TEST NA - STATIC LEVELPUMPING LEVEL NA @ NA GPMAFTER NA HRS.HIGHEST RECOMMENDED PUMP RATE NA

WILL STATIC LEVEL CHANGE WITH

TIDES OR FROST NADEVELOP PROCEDURE NAESTIMATED MAN HOURS FOR DRILLING 40HOURS FOR TOTAL JOB 50

CREW

ANDERSON - ST ANTHONY

U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DIVISION OF INDIAN HEALTH

DRILLED IN STREAM CHANNEL

SOIL DATA TO 15 FT.

FEET THAWED _____
 BOTTOM OF FROST & MATERIAL _____
 SEASONAL OR PERMA FROST _____

WATER DATA FIELD TEST

TASTE _____
 APPEARANCE FRESH _____
 AFTER 24 HOURS _____
 IRON _____
 CHLORIDES _____
 TDS _____

PUMP TEST _____ - STATIC LEVEL
PUMPING LEVEL _____ @ _____ GPM
AFTER _____ HRS.

HIGHEST RECOMMENDED PUMP RATE

WILL STATIC LEVEL CHANGE WITH
TIDES _____ OR FROST _____

DEVELOP PROCEDURE _____

ESTIMATED MAN HOURS FOR DRILLING _____ HOURS FOR TOTAL JOB 24

CREW *Amos - 31 FR 4317*

WELL LOG

U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DIVISION OF INDIAN HEALTH

LOCATION UNALASKA-POWERS CREEK W-11 N-4 DATE STARTED 2-19-77
 DATE COMPLETED 2/23/77 DRILLER MARK F ANDERSON
 TOTAL DEPTH OF WELL _____ FT. CASING INSTALLED 4' DIAMETER 6"
 GROUT NA SCREEN SIZE _____ MFG. _____ LENGTH 26'
 STATIC WATER LEVEL _____ HRS. PUMPED _____ @ _____ GPM DRAWDOWN _____ FT.

DEPTH HOLE DIAMETER
 CASING DIAMETER
 FORMATION

SOIL DATA TO 15 FT.

FEET THAWED _____
 BOTTOM OF FROST & MATERIAL _____
 SEASONAL OR PERMA FROST _____

WATER DATA FIELD TEST

TASTE _____
 APPEARANCE FRESH _____
 AFTER 24 HOURS _____
 IRON _____
 CHLORIDES _____
 TDS _____

PUMP TEST _____ - STATIC LEVEL
 PUMPING LEVEL _____ @ _____ GPM
 AFTER _____ HRS.

HIGHEST RECOMMENDED PUMP RATE

WILL STATIC LEVEL CHANGE WITH
 TIDES _____ OR FROST _____

DEVELOP PROCEDURE _____

ESTIMATED MAN HOURS FOR DRILLING _____ HOURS FOR TOTAL JOB _____

CREW _____

WELL LOG

U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DIVISION OF INDIAN HEALTH

LOCATION UNALAKLEET-POWERS CREEK - Well No. 4 DATE STARTED 3-10-77
 DATE COMPLETED 3-11-77 DRILLER MARK ANDERSON
 TOTAL DEPTH OF WELL 18' FT. CASING INSTALLED PULLED DIAMETER 6"
 GROUT NA SCREEN SIZE NA MFG. NA LENGTH NA
 STATIC WATER LEVEL NA HRS. PUMPED NA @ NA GPM DRAWDOWN NA FT.

HOLE DIAMETER
CASING DIAMETER
FORMATION

DEPTH

0' 2'		SEASONAL FROST
2' - 8 1/2'		Blue Clay
8 1/2' - 9'		SAND & GRAV. WET
18'		Blue Clay
18'		Yellow Clay

SOIL DATA TO 15 FT.

FEET THAWED _____

BOTTOM OF FROST & MATERIAL _____

SEASONAL OR PERMA FROST Seasonal - 2'

WATER DATA FIELD TEST

TASTE _____

APPEARANCE FRESH _____

AFTER 24 HOURS NA

IRON _____

CHLORIDES _____

TDS _____

PUMP TEST NA - STATIC LEVEL

PUMPING LEVEL NA @ _____ GPM

AFTER _____ HRS.

HIGHEST RECOMMENDED PUMP RATE

WILL STATIC LEVEL CHANGE WITH NA

TIDES _____ OR FROST _____

DEVELOP PROCEDURE NA

ESTIMATED MAN HOURS FOR DRILLING _____ HOURS FOR TOTAL JOB 22

CREW ANDERSON - ST. ANTHONY

WELL LOG

U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DIVISION OF INDIAN HEALTH

LOCATION UNALAKLET-POWERS CRACK-Well No. 5 DATE STARTED 3-13-77
 DATE COMPLETED 3-17-77 DRILLER MARK ANDERSON
 TOTAL DEPTH OF WELL 38' FT. CASING INSTALLED 20' DIAMETER 6"
 GROUT NA SCREEN SIZE Full MFG. NA LENGTH NA
 STATIC WATER LEVEL #5 HRS. PUMPED Below Ground @ 12 GPM DRAWDOWN FT.

HOLE DIAMETER
CASING DIAMETER

DEPTH

FORMATION

0'-2'		Seas Frost
2'-4'		Blue Clay
4'-6'		BROWN SLUSH PROBABLY SEAS
6'-9'		SAND + GRAVEL 3 G.P.M.
9'-11'		Yellow Clay
11-12		Blue Clay
12-13		SAND + GRAVEL Bailed DRY
13'-18		Blue Clay
18'-19		SANDSTONE + SOME ROCKS
19-21		Blue Clay
21-26		Yellow Clay
26-30		Yellow Clay SOME GRAVEL in it
30-31		SAND + GRAVEL
31-38		Blue Clay
38		BED ROCK MADE WATER AS IN OTHERS

SOIL DATA TO 15 FT.

FEET THAWED

BOTTOM OF FROST & MATERIAL

SEASONAL OR PERMA FROST Seasonal 0'-2'

WATER DATA FIELD TEST

TASTE FRESH

APPEARANCE FRESH ✓

AFTER 24 HOURS

IRON

CHLORIDES

TDS

PUMP TEST 30' - STATIC LEVEL

PUMPING LEVEL @ 12 GPM

AFTER 1 HRS.

PREPARED WITH 20 #

HIGHEST RECOMMENDED PUMP RATE

WILL STATIC LEVEL CHANGE WITH

TIDES OR FROST NA

DEVELOP PROCEDURE NA

ESTIMATED MAN HOURS FOR DRILLING

HOURS FOR TOTAL JOB

CREW

ANDERSON ST. ANTHONY

WELL LOG

U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DIVISION OF INDIAN HEALTH

LOCATION UNALASKA LEST-POWERS CREEK - Well NO. 6 DATE STARTED 3-17-77
 DATE COMPLETED 3-19-77 DRILLER MARK F ANDERSON
 TOTAL DEPTH OF WELL _____ FT. CASING INSTALLED pulled DIAMETER _____
 GROUT _____ SCREEN SIZE _____ MFG. _____ LENGTH _____
 STATIC WATER LEVEL _____ HRS. PUMPED _____ @ _____ GPM DRAWDOWN _____ FT.

DEPTH
 HOLE DIAMETER
 CASING DIAMETER
 FORMATION

SOIL DATA TO 15 FT.

FEET THAWED _____
 BOTTOM OF FROST & MATERIAL _____
 SEASONAL OR PERMA FROST _____

WATER DATA FIELD TEST

TASTE _____
 APPEARANCE FRESH _____
 AFTER 24 HOURS _____
 IRON _____
 CHLORIDES _____
 TDS _____

PUMP TEST _____ - STATIC LEVEL

PUMPING LEVEL _____ @ _____ GPM
 AFTER _____ HRS.

HIGHEST RECOMMENDED PUMP RATE

WILL STATIC LEVEL CHANGE WITH
 TIDES _____ OR FROST _____

DEVELOP PROCEDURE _____

ESTIMATED MAN HOURS FOR DRILLING _____ HOURS FOR TOTAL JOB _____

CREW _____

WELL LOG

U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DIVISION OF INDIAN HEALTH

LOCATION UNALASKA T. POWERS CREEK - Well No. 7 DATE STARTED 3-20-77
 DATE COMPLETED 3-22-77 DRILLER MARK F. ANDERSON
 TOTAL DEPTH OF WELL 31 FT. CASING INSTALLED 21 DIAMETER 6"
 GROUT NA SCREEN SIZE 30 MFG. NA LENGTH 10'-8"
 STATIC WATER LEVEL 5 HRS. PUMPED NA GPM DRAWDOWN NA FT.

DEPTH	HOLE DIAMETER	CASING DIAMETER	FORMATION
<u>0-2'</u>			<u>SEASONAL FROST</u>
<u>2-4'</u>			<u>BROWN CLAY</u>
<u>4'-10'</u>			<u>SAND & GRAVEL</u> <u>LENSE of CLAY AT 7'</u>
<u>10-12</u>			<u>YELLOW CLAY</u>
<u>12-19</u>			<u>BLUE CLAY - THIN LAYER of SAND & ROCK AT 15'</u>
<u>19-21</u>			<u>YELLOW CLAY</u>
<u>21-22'</u>			<u>CLAY GOT GRITTY</u> <u>H₂O AT 22'</u>
<u>22'-25</u>			<u>SANDY GRAVEL</u> <u>SILTY - MADE H₂O</u>
<u>25-26</u>			<u>YELLOW CLAY</u>
<u>26-27</u>			<u>SAND & GRAVEL</u>
<u>27-28</u>			<u>YELLOW - CLAY</u>
<u>28-29</u>			<u>SAND - MADE</u> <u>WATER</u>
<u>29-31</u>			<u>YELLOW CLAY</u>
<u>31</u>			<u>Blue clay</u>
<u>SCREENED FROM</u>			<u>31'-21'</u>

SOIL DATA TO 15 FT.

FEET THAWED _____
 BOTTOM OF FROST & MATERIAL _____
 SEASONAL OR PERMA FROST _____

WATER DATA FIELD TEST

TASTE _____
 APPEARANCE FRESH _____
 AFTER 24 HOURS _____
 IRON _____
 CHLORIDES _____
 TDS _____

PUMP TEST _____ - STATIC LEVEL _____
 PUMPING LEVEL NA @ _____ GPM
 AFTER _____ HRS.

HIGHEST RECOMMENDED PUMP RATE

WILL STATIC LEVEL CHANGE WITH
 TIDES _____ OR FROST _____

DEVELOP PROCEDURE NA

ESTIMATED MAN HOURS FOR DRILLING _____ HOURS FOR TOTAL JOB _____

CREW _____

WELL LOG

U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DIVISION OF INDIAN HEALTH

LOCATION UNALAKLEET POWERS CREEK DATE STARTED 4-7-77
 DATE COMPLETED 4-9-77 DRILLER ANDERSON
 TOTAL DEPTH OF WELL 32 FT. CASING INSTALLED 16' DIAMETER 8"
 GROUT NA SCREEN SIZE 8" MFG. JOHNSON LENGTH 15'
 STATIC WATER LEVEL 5 HRS. PUMPED NA @ NA GPM DRAWDOWN NA FT.

DEPTH HOLE DIAMETER
CASING DIAMETER
FORMATION

0-2		SEAS FROST
2-4		BROWN SLUSH
4-10		SAND & GRAVEL
10-12		YELLOW-CLAY
12-18		BLUE CLAY
18-20		SAND & CLAY
20-21		Yellow CLAY
21-24		SAND & GRAV
24-25		Clay yellow
25-26		SANDSTONE GRAVEL
26-27		11
27-29		yellow clay
29-32		SAND & GRAVEL

SOIL DATA TO 15 FT.

FEET THAWED _____

BOTTOM OF FROST & MATERIAL _____

SEASONAL OR PERMA FROST SEAS

WATER DATA FIELD TEST

TASTE FRESHAPPEARANCE FRESH ☒

AFTER 24 HOURS _____

IRON _____

CHLORIDES _____

TDS _____

PUMP TEST

- STATIC LEVEL

PUMPING LEVEL NA @ _____ GPM

AFTER _____ HRS.

HIGHEST RECOMMENDED PUMP RATE

WILL STATIC LEVEL CHANGE WITH

TIDES _____ OR FROST NA

Test pumped @ 10 gpm - drew down
 to pump in 1 minute. then
 pumped @ 20 gpm, drew down
 to 21'

DEVELOP PROCEDURE JETTING FOR 7 HOURS

ESTIMATED MAN HOURS FOR DRILLING _____ HOURS FOR TOTAL JOB _____

CREW ANDERSON-ST. ANTHONY

APPENDIX 2
GROUND WATER

(Data from the files of the U.S. Geological Survey)

9-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date November 24, 1958 Field No. WNA-2
Record by PR Lord Office No. _____
Source of data Circ. 169

1. Location: State Alaska County W
Map _____

_____ $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. _____ T _____ $\frac{1}{4}$ R _____ E
S W

2. Owner: mission Address Unalakleet
Tenant _____ Address _____
Driller _____ Address _____

3. Topography _____

4. Elevation _____ ft. above
below

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted _____ 19 _____

6. Depth: Rept. 30 ft. Meas. _____ ft.

7. Casing: Diam. _____ in., to _____ in., Type _____

Depth _____ ft., Finish _____

8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.

Others _____

9. Water level 8 ft. rept. _____ 19 _____ above
meas. _____ below

_____ which is _____ ft. above
below surface

10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.

Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____

11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump 16 G. M., Meas., Rept. Est. _____

Drawdown 26 ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs. _____

Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality _____ Temp _____ °F.

Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes
No

Unfit for _____

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) _____

9-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE

Date November 24, 1958 Field No. WNA-1
Record by PR Lord Office No. _____
Source of data Circ. 169

1. Location: State Alaska County W
Map _____

_____ $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. _____ T _____ $\frac{1}{4}$ R _____ E
S W

2. Owner: Shore Address Unalakleet
Tenant _____ Address _____
Driller _____ Address _____

3. Topography _____

4. Elevation _____ ft. above
below

5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted _____ 19 _____

6. Depth: Rept. 30 ft. Meas. _____ ft.

7. Casing: Diam. _____ in., to _____ in., Type _____

Depth _____ ft., Finish _____

8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.

Others _____

9. Water level 8 ft. rept. _____ 19 _____ above
meas. _____ below

_____ which is _____ ft. above
below surface

10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.

Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____

11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump _____ G. M., Meas., Rept. Est. _____

Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs. _____

Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality _____ Temp _____ °F.

Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes
No

Unfit for _____

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) _____

9-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE


Date 3-31-60, 19____ Field No. WNA-4
Record by P.R. Lord Office No. _____
Source of data Chem. Analyses

1. Location: State Alaska County W
Map _____

_____ $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. _____ T _____ N S R _____ E W

2. Owner: Federal Aviation Agency Address Unalakleet
Tenant _____ Address _____
Driller _____ Address _____

3. Topography _____
4. Elevation _____ ft. above _____ below _____
5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted _____ 19____
6. Depth: Rept. 26 ft. Meas. _____ ft.
7. Casing: Diam. 6 in., to _____ in., Type _____
Depth _____ ft., Finish _____



8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.
Others _____

9. Water level _____ ft. rept. _____ 19____ above _____ below _____
_____ which is _____ ft. above _____ below surface

10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.
Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____

11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump _____ G. M., Meas., Rept. Est. _____
Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs. _____
Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality _____ Temp _____ °F.
Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes 10-27-59
Unfit for No Lab No 5583

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) _____

9-185
(October 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

WELL SCHEDULE


Date 3-31-60, 19____ Field No. WNA-3
Record by P.R. Lord Office No. _____
Source of data Chem. Analyses

1. Location: State Alaska County W
Map _____

_____ $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. _____ T _____ N S R _____ E W

2. Owner: Federal Aviation Agency Address Unalakleet
Tenant Control Bldg Address _____
Driller _____ Address _____

3. Topography _____
4. Elevation _____ ft. above _____ below _____
5. Type: Dug, drilled, driven, bored, jetted _____ 19____
6. Depth: Rept. 27 ft. Meas. _____ ft.
7. Casing: Diam. _____ in., to _____ in., Type _____
Depth _____ ft., Finish _____



8. Chief Aquifer _____ From _____ ft. to _____ ft.
Others _____

9. Water level _____ ft. rept. _____ 19____ above _____ below _____
_____ which is _____ ft. above _____ below surface

10. Pump: Type _____ Capacity _____ G. M.
Power: Kind _____ Horsepower _____

11. Yield: Flow _____ G. M., Pump _____ G. M., Meas., Rept. Est. _____
Drawdown _____ ft. after _____ hours pumping _____ G. M.

12. Use: Dom., Stock, PS., RR., Ind., Irr., Obs. _____
Adequacy, permanence _____

13. Quality _____ Temp _____ °F.
Taste, odor, color _____ Sample Yes 10-27-59
Unfit for No Lab No 5582

14. Remarks: (Log, Analyses, etc.) _____

WRD Exp. (GW) from 'Place Names'
April 1966

Well No.

WELL SCHEDULE

U. S. DEPT. OF THE INTERIOR

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

MASTER CARD

Record by _____ Source of data _____ Date _____

State _____ County _____ (or town) _____

Latitude: _____ N _____ S _____ Longitude: _____ 12 degrees _____ 15 min _____ sec _____

Lat-long accuracy: _____ T. _____ S, R _____ W, Sec _____ k, _____ k, _____ k

Local well number: _____ Other number: _____ B & M _____

Local use: _____ Owner or name: (FSS) FAA _____ Address: _____

Ownership: (C) County, Fed Gov't, City, Corp or Co, Private, State Agency, Water Dist _____ (F) _____ (M) _____ (N) _____ (P) _____ (S) _____ (W) _____

Use of water: (A) Air cond, Bottling, Comm, Dewater, Power, Fire, Dom, Irr, Med, Ind, P S, Rec, _____ (B) _____ (C) _____ (D) _____ (E) _____ (F) _____ (H) _____ (I) _____ (M) _____ (N) _____ (P) _____ (R) _____

(S) Stock, Instit, Unused, Repressure, Recharge, Desal-P S, Desal-other, Other _____ (T) _____ (U) _____ (V) _____ (W) _____ (X) _____ (Y) _____ (Z) _____

Use of well: (A) Anode, Drain, Seismic, Heat Res, Obs, Oil-gas, Recharge, Test, Unused, Withdraw, Waste, Destroyed _____ (D) _____ (G) _____ (H) _____ (I) _____ (M) _____ (N) _____ (P) _____ (R) _____ (T) _____ (U) _____ (W) _____ (X) _____ (Z) _____

DATA AVAILABLE: Well data _____ Freq. W/L meas.: _____ Field aquifer char. _____

Hyd. lab. data: _____

Qual. water data; type: _____

Freq. sampling: _____ Pumpage inventory: yes _____ no, period: _____

Aperture cards: _____

Log data: _____

WELL-DESCRIPTION CARD

SAME AS ON MASTER CARD Depth well: _____ ft _____ Mess. _____

Depth cased; (first perf.) _____ ft _____ Casing type: _____; Diam. _____ in _____

Finish: (C) porous concrete, (F) gravel w. (perf.), (G) gravel w. (screen), (H) horiz. open gallery, end, (I) perf., screen, sd. pt., shored, open hole, (J) other _____

Method: (A) air bored, cable, dug, hyd jetted, (B) air reverse trenching, driven, drive wash, (C) rot., (D) percussion, rotary, (E) other _____

Date Drilled: _____ Pump intake setting: _____ ft _____

Driller: _____ name _____ address _____

Lift (type): (A) air, bucket, cent, jet, (B) multiple, (C) none, piston, rot, submerg, turb, other _____ (D) _____ (E) _____ (F) _____ (G) _____ (H) _____ (I) _____ (J) _____ (K) _____ (L) _____ (M) _____ (N) _____ (O) _____ (P) _____ (Q) _____ (R) _____ (S) _____ (T) _____ (U) _____ (V) _____ (W) _____ (X) _____ (Y) _____ (Z) _____

Power (type): (A) diesel, elec, gas, gasoline, hand, gas, wind; H.P. _____ (B) _____ (C) _____ (D) _____ (E) _____ (F) _____ (G) _____ (H) _____ (I) _____ (J) _____ (K) _____ (L) _____ (M) _____ (N) _____ (O) _____ (P) _____ (Q) _____ (R) _____ (S) _____ (T) _____ (U) _____ (V) _____ (W) _____ (X) _____ (Y) _____ (Z) _____

Descrip. MP _____ ft above _____ ft below LSD. Alt. MP _____

Alt. LSD: _____ Accuracy: _____ (source) _____

Water Level: _____ ft above _____ ft below MP; Ft below LSD _____ Accuracy: _____

Date meas: _____ Yield: _____ gpm _____ Method determined _____

Drawdown: _____ ft _____ Accuracy: _____ Pumping period _____ hrs _____

QUALITY OF WATER DATA: Iron _____ ppm _____ Sulfate _____ ppm _____ Chloride _____ ppm _____ Hard. _____ ppm _____

Sp. Conduct _____ K x 10⁶ _____ Temp. _____ °F _____ Date sampled _____

Taste, color, etc. _____

Well No. _____

Latitude-longitude _____
 d m s N S d m s

HYDROGEOLOGIC CARD

1 SAME AS ON MASTER CARD 19 Physiographic Province: 20 21 Section: _____

22 Drainage Basin: 23 24 Subbasin: 25 26

Topo of well site: (D) depression, stream channel, dunes, flat, hilltop, sink, swamp, (E) (F) (H) (K) (L) (M) (P) (S) (T) (U) (V) offshore, pediment, hillside, terrace, undulating, valley flat 27

MAJOR AQUIFER: system series 28 29 aquifer, formation, group 30 31

Lithology: 32 33 Origin: 34 Aquifer Thickness: _____ ft

Length of well open to: 35 36 37 ft 38 39 40 Depth to top of: 41 42 43 ft 44 45

MINOR AQUIFER: system series 46 47 aquifer, formation, group 48 49

Lithology: 50 51 Origin: 52 Aquifer Thickness: _____ ft

Length of well open to: 53 54 55 ft 56 57 58 Depth to top of: 59 60 61 ft 62 63

Intervals Screened: _____

Depth to consolidated rock: _____ ft 64 65 Source of data: 66 67


Depth to basement: _____ ft 68 69 Source of data: 70 71

Surficial material: 72 73 Infiltration characteristics: 74 75

Coefficient Trans: _____ gpd/ft 76 77 Coefficient Storage: 78 79

Perm: _____ gpd/ft²; Spec cap: _____ gpm/ft; Number of geologic cards: _____

Secured well
 42' 3" deep
 pump 800 gph Arid - 90 gph



Quarters area well

28' deep - across from qpts.
 drinkable 90 gph -
 Fire well - 55' 3"
 all water there is -
 Soft.

ANALYTICAL NOTES

Location 718th A. C. & W. Sqd. County
Source Deep well Depth (ft) Diam (in.)
Cased to (ft) Date drilled Point of coll. Pump House #1
Owner 718th A. C. & W. Sqd. (USAF)
Treatment Use Domestic
WBF WL 17' Yield
Temp (° F) Appear. w.c. clear
Collected 11-19-57 By S/Sgt. Steele
Remarks

[illegible]

Lab. No. Col- 4393

Field No. _____

R No. _____

Lab. No. Col- 4343

			ppm	eppm				ppm	eppm
Na	Reading	Avg	3.5	0.15				ml	
	std	100							
	Sample		42.5						
	std								
K	Reading	Avg	0.1	0.00				ml	
	std	100							
	Sample		8.5						
	std								
			ml					ml	
			ml						
Total cations				1.78	Total anions				1.80
Total ions, eppm			3.58		Difference, eppm			0.02	
					Percent difference			- 0.6	
			ppm		Specific Conductance (micromhos at 25° C) R KCl 326 R Sample 1940			168	
					pH 7.6				
					Color 20				
Dissolved Solids	Sum		99						
	Residue on evap. at 180° C ml								
Hardness as CaCO ₃	ml								
	TH		1.63 - 1.69 = 0.06	82					
	Noncarbonate			0					
					By			Date	
					Analyzed			12-12-57	
					Calc. checked			12-18-57	
					Reviewed			12-30-57	
					Reviewed				
					Typed			1-6-58	
					Typing checked			1-7-58	

ANALYTICAL NOTES

Location 718th A.C. & W. Sqd. County _____
 Source Storage Tank Depth (ft) _____ Diam (in.) _____
 Cased to (ft) _____ Date drilled _____ Point of coll. _____
 Owner _____
 Treatment _____ Use Dom.
 WBF _____ WL _____ Yield _____
 Temp (° F) _____ Appear. w.c. clear
 Collected 11-19-57 By _____
 Remarks _____

		ppm	epm			ppm	epm
SiO ₂	<u>5</u> ml	<u>11</u> ✓		HCO ₃	<u>50</u> ml	<u>10.8</u> ✓	<u>1.77</u> ✓
Abs.	<u>.175</u>					<u>53</u> ✓	
						<u>23.50</u>	
						<u>18.10</u>	
						<u>5.40</u> ✓	
Al	<u> </u> ml			CO ₃	<u> </u> ml	<u>88</u>	
Abs. (Al+Fe)	<u> </u>			ALK on CaCO ₃			
Abs. (Fe)	<u> </u>						
Fe	<u>25</u> ml	<u>0.11</u> ✓		OH	<u> </u> ml		
Abs.	<u>.020</u>						
MI std	<u> </u>						
Fe	<u> </u> ml						
Abs.	<u> </u>						
MI std	<u> </u>						
Mn	<u> </u> ml	<u>0.01</u>		SO ₄	<u>25</u> ml	<u>2.0</u>	<u>.04</u> ✓
Abs.	<u> </u>					<u>+10 ppm</u>	
MI std	<u> </u>					<u>.085</u>	
	<u> </u> ml						
				Cl	<u>25</u> ml	<u>2.0</u>	<u>.06</u> ✓
						<u>0.90</u>	
						<u>0.70</u>	
						<u>0.20</u> ✓	
						<u>.10</u>	
						<u>0.10</u>	
	<u> </u> ml			F	<u>10</u> ml	<u>0.0</u>	<u>.00</u> ✓
				MI std	<u>4.00</u>		
Ca	<u>50</u> ml	<u>23</u> ✓	<u>1.15</u> ✓		<u> </u> ml		
Mg	<u>50</u> ml	<u>6.9</u> ✓	<u>.57</u>		<u> </u> ml		
	<u> </u> ml			NO ₃	<u>25</u> ml	<u>0.0</u>	<u>.00</u> ✓
				Abs.	<u> </u>		
				MI std	<u> </u>		
	<u> </u> ml				<u> </u> ml		

Lab. No. Col- 4394

Field No. _____

R No. _____

ANALYTICAL NOTES

Location 718th A. C. & W. Sqd. County _____
 Source Dispensary Depth (ft) _____ Diam (in.) _____
 Cased to (ft) _____ Date drilled _____ Point of coll. _____
 Owner _____
 Treatment _____ Use _____
 WBF _____ WL _____ Yield _____
 Temp (° F) _____ Appear. w.c. clear
 Collected 11-19-57 By S/Sgt. Pasbbig
 Remarks _____

		ppm	epm			ppm	epm
SiO ₂	<u>10</u> ml	<u>10</u> ✓		HCO ₃	<u>50</u> ml	<u>11.0</u> ✓	<u>1.80</u> ✓
Abs.	<u>.310</u>					<u>54</u> ✓	
Al	<u> </u> ml			CO ₃	<u> </u> ml	<u>90</u>	
Abs. (Al+Fe)	<u> </u>						
Abs. (Fe)	<u> </u>						
Fe	<u>25</u> ml	<u>0.11</u> ✓					
Abs.	<u>.020</u>						
MI std	<u> </u>			OH	<u> </u> ml		
Fe	<u> </u> ml						
Abs.	<u> </u>						
MI std	<u> </u>						
Mn	<u> </u> ml	<u>0.01</u>		SO ₄	<u>25</u> ml	<u>2.0</u>	<u>.04</u> ✓
Abs.	<u> </u>						
MI std	<u> </u>						
	<u> </u> ml						
	<u> </u> ml			Cl	<u>25</u> ml	<u>2.0</u>	<u>.06</u> ✓
	<u> </u> ml						
	<u> </u> ml						
	<u> </u> ml						
	<u> </u> ml			F	<u>10</u> ml	<u>0.0</u>	<u>.00</u> ✓
	<u> </u> ml						
Ca	<u>50</u> ml	<u>21</u> ✓	<u>1.05</u> ✓	MI std	<u>4.00</u>		
Mg	<u>50</u> ml	<u>8.4</u> ✓	<u>.73</u> ✓				
		<u>8.1</u> ✓	<u>.67</u> ✓				
	<u> </u> ml			NO ₃	<u>25</u> ml	<u>1.2</u>	<u>.02</u> ✓
	<u> </u> ml						
	<u> </u> ml						
	<u> </u> ml						
	<u> </u> ml						

Lab. No. Col- 4395

Field No. _____

R No. _____

	ppm	epm		ppm	epm
Na	3.3 ✓	0.14 ✓		_____ ml	
Reading					
std					
Sample	41.0				
std					
K	0.1 ✓	0.00 ✓		_____ ml	
Reading					
std					
Sample	8.5				
std					
	_____ ml			_____ ml	
	_____ ml				
Total cations		1.42 ✓ 1.86 ✓	Total anions		1.92 ✓

Total ions, epm 3.84 3.78 ✓ Difference, epm 0.06 - 0.06 ✓ Percent difference 1.6 - 1.6 ✓

	ppm		
		Specific Conductance (micromhos at 25° C) R KCl <u>326</u> R Sample <u>1830</u>	178 ✓
		pH <u>7.5</u>	
		Color <u>20</u>	
Dissolved Solids	Sum	103/102 ✓	
	Residue on evap. at 180° C _____ ml		
Hardness as CaCO ₃	_____ ml		
	1.72 - 1.90 = 0.08	86 ✓	
	Noncarbonate	0 ✓	
		By	Date
		Analyzed	EWB 12-12-57
		Calc. checked	CAB 12-18-57
		Reviewed	JBW 12-30-57
		Reviewed	
		Typed	BGM 1-6-58
		Typing checked	JBW 1-7-57

ANALYTICAL NOTES

Location 718th A. C. & W. Sqd. County _____
Source _____ Depth (ft) _____ Diam (in.) _____
Cased to (ft) _____ Date drilled _____ Point of coll. Kitchen
Owner _____
Treatment _____ Use _____
WBF _____ WL _____ Yield _____
Temp (° F) _____ Appear. w.c. clear
Collected 11-19-57 By S/Sgt. Pasbrig
Remarks /

ppm			epm			ppm			epm		
SiO ₂	5 ml	11				HCO ₃	50 ml	10.4		1.70	
Abs.	.170							51			
Al	ml					CO ₃	ml	83			
Abs. (Al+Fe)						alk cor Ca CO ₃					
Abs. (Fe)						OH	ml				
Fe	25 ml	0.11				SO ₄	25 ml	2.6		.05	
Abs.	.020							.090	+10ppm		
MI std						Cl	25 ml	2.0		.06	
Fe	ml							1.30			
Abs.								1.10			
MI std								.20			
Mn	ml	0.01						.10			
Abs.								.10			
MI std								.10			
	ml					F	10 ml	0.0		.00	
						MI std		3.95			
Ca	50 ml	21	1.05				ml				
Mg	50 ml	7.4	.61				ml				
	ml					NO ₃	25 ml	0.0		.00	
						Abs.					
						MI std					
	ml						ml				

Lab. No. Col- 4396

Field No. _____

R No. _____

		ppm	epm			ppm	epm
Na	Reading 3.3	0.14			ml		
	std 100						
	Sample 41.0						
	std						
K	Reading 0.0	0.00			ml		
	std 100						
	Sample 8.0						
	std						
	ml				ml		
	ml						
Total cations		1.80		Total anions		1.81	
Total ions, epm 3.62		Difference, epm 0.01		Percent difference - 0.3			

		ppm		
			Specific Conductance (micromhos at 25° C) R KCl 326 R Sample 1920	170
			pH 7.4	
			Color 20	
Dissolved Solids	Sum	98		
	Residue on evap. at 180° C ml			
Hardness as CaCO ₃	ml			
	1.66 - 1.70 = 0.09	83		
	TN			
	Noncarbonate	0		
			By	Date
		Analyzed	ESB	12-12-57
		Calc. checked	C & B	12-18-57
		Reviewed	JBN	12-30-57
		Reviewed		
		Typed	RBN	1-6-58
		Typing checked	JBN	1-7-57

1. $\lambda b_1 \mu \bar{c}$ and $\lambda b_2 \mu \bar{c}$

Remarks WELL SCREEN JOHNSON EYEDOA 35 THOUSAND OPENING

[illegible]

R No. _____

		ppm	epm			ppm	epm
Na		74 ✓					
Reading Avg 80 std 100 Sample 96.5 10 ml 80 std 88			3.22 ✓				
K		9.0 ✓					
Reading Avg 5 std 100 Sample 95 15 = 80 10 = 56 5 = 29.5 1 = 7.0			0.23 ✓				
		ml				ml	
		ml					
Total cations			5.55 ✓	Total anions			5.47 ✓
Total ions, epm 11.02 ✓		Difference, epm +0.08 ✓		Percent difference +0.7 ✓			
		ppm		Specific Conductance (micromhos at 25° C) R KCl 323 R Sample 645		501 ✓	
				pH		7.8	
				Color		180 120	
Dissolved Solids	Sum	193	312 ✓				
	Residue on evap. at 180° C ml						
Hardness as CaCO ₃	ml	2.08 4.39	104 ✓				
	Noncarbonate		0 ✓				
alk as CaCO ₃ = 220 ✓							
Ca = 7							
				Analyzed	CLB	4 DEC 5	
				Calc. checked	HBN	12/16/59	
				Reviewed	HBN	12/16/59	
				Reviewed			
				Typed			
				Typing checked			

GROUND WATER

LAB. NO. 68 28

County : <u>2ND DIVISION</u>		Loc. : <u>W of 141</u>		WELL DATA		Drilled:	
Sample No. :		Region: <u>W of 141</u>		Type :	Depth : <u>20'</u> Ft.	Dia. :	In.
Inv. : <u>AIR FORCE POTABLE</u>				Cased :	Ft.	Perf. :	
W.O.No. :				Gravel packed:			
GW Basin : <u>BERING COASTAL</u>				Use :	<u>AIR FORCE</u>		
Loc. : <u>Q 718TH AC & W Sqd.</u>				Owner :			
Samp. Pt. : <u>UNALASKA LEET ALASKA</u>				Remarks :			
: <u>UNALASKA LEET QUADRANGLE</u>				<u>before 1 JAN 62</u>			
Pumptime :		Disch. :		CALCIUM <u>50</u> ml			
Temp. : <u>*F.</u>		Coll. :		MAGNESIUM			
Agency :		PST		epm TH <u>1.84</u>			
Remarks : <u>1ST SAMPLE NEW SYSTEM</u>				epm Ca <u>1.15</u>			
				epm Mg <u>0.69</u>			
SILICA <u>10</u> ml		IRON(dis) <u>25</u> ml		IRON(total) _____ ml			
A <u>43.0</u> mg <u>0.10</u>		A <u>11.6</u> mg <u>0.01</u>		A _____ mg			
Factor <u>0.233</u>		Factor <u>0.0346</u>		Factor _____			
Asample <u>40.5</u>		Asample <u>0.5</u>		Asample _____			
SiO ₂ ppm <u>9.4</u>		Fe ppm <u>0.02</u>		Fe ppm _____			
SODIUM _____ dil		POTASSIUM _____ dil		F <u>8.01</u>			
Sample <u>44.5</u> %T		Sample <u>+2.5</u> %T × 0.118		Ca ppm <u>23</u>		Mg ppm <u>8.4</u>	
Curve _____				ALKALINITY			
Na ppm <u>3.8</u>		K ppm <u>0.3</u>		HCO ₃ <u>117</u> 50 ml CO ₃ _____			
				<u>15.75</u> <u>- 9.90</u> <u>5.85</u>			
SULFATE <u>10</u> ml		CHLORIDE <u>50</u> ml		FLUORIDE <u>10</u> ml		TOTAL ALKALINITY as CO ₃ <u>58</u>	
<u>5.00</u> <u>- 4.65</u> <u>0.15</u> <u>- 0.05</u> <u>0.10</u>		1 ml = 0.5 mg Cl <u>7.70</u> <u>- 7.30</u> <u>0.40</u> <u>- 0.10</u> <u>0.30</u>		Corr. ml <u>-0.20</u>		as HCO ₃ <u>117</u> as CaCO ₃ <u>96</u>	
				mg Std <u>0.01</u>		NITRATE <u>25</u> ml	
SO ₄ ppm <u>2.0</u>		Cl ppm <u>3.0</u>		F ppm <u>0.2</u>		BORON _____ ml	
						ml Ag ₂ SO ₄ _____	
SUM <u>110</u>		HARDNESS <u>50</u> ml		% Na <u>8.4</u>		A <u>9.2</u> mg <u>0.01</u>	
T/A ft _____		as CaCO ₃ <u>1.60</u> <u>- 0.00</u> <u>1.60</u>		SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE		Factor <u>0.0435</u>	
DISSOLVED SOLIDS _____ ml		Total <u>92</u>		R(KCl) <u>322</u>		Asample <u>52.5</u>	
		HCO ₃ (O-82) <u>96</u>		R sample <u>1701</u>		Asample _____	
D.S. ppm _____		Non-Carb <u>-</u>		Micromhos at 25°C <u>189</u>		Factor _____	
						Asample _____	
						NO ₃ ppm <u>2.3</u>	
						B ppm _____	
						pH <u>7.4</u>	
						epm <u>1.15</u> Ca	
						epm <u>0.69</u> Mg	
						epm <u>1.92</u> HCO ₃	
						epm <u>0.04</u> SO ₄	
						epm <u>0.08</u> Cl	
						epm <u>0.01</u> F	
						epm <u>0.04</u> NO ₃	
						Sum <u>2.02</u>	
						Sum <u>2.09</u>	
						Δ <u>-0.07</u>	
						Σ <u>4.11</u>	

6028

ALUMINUM _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Appar. Al _____ A sample _____ Fe X .12 _____ Factor _____ Mn X .04 _____ F X .05+ _____ Al ppm <input type="text"/>	COPPER _____ ml A _____ mg _____ A sample _____ Factor _____ A color _____ A corr. _____ Cu ppm <input type="text"/>
MANGANESE (qual.) _____ ml A _____ mg _____ A sample _____ Factor _____ Mn ppm <input type="text" value="0.00"/>	LEAD _____ ml A _____ mg _____ A sample _____ Factor _____ Pb ppm <input type="text"/>
CHROMIUM _____ ml A _____ mg _____ A sample _____ Factor _____ Cr ppm <input type="text"/>	ZINC _____ ml A _____ mg _____ A sample _____ Factor _____ Zn ppm <input type="text"/>
A _____ mg _____ A sample _____ Factor _____ ppm <input type="text"/>	ARSENIC _____ ml A _____ mg _____ A sample _____ Factor _____ As ppm <input type="text"/> A _____ mg _____ A sample _____ Factor _____ ppm <input type="text"/>

GROUND WATER

LAB. NO. 7870

County : Loc. No. : WELL #1
 Sample No. : Region: W of 141 Type :
 Inv. : USAF POTABLE Depth : Ft. Dia. : In.
 W.O.No. : Cased : Ft. Perf. :
 GW Basin : HORTON SOUND Gravel packed: GALLERY
 Loc. : UNALAKLEET AFS, ALASKA Use :
 718 AC & W Sgd. Owner :
 Remarks : USAF
 Samp. Pt. : @ WATER GALLERY
 Pump time : Disch. :
 Temp. : °F. Coll. :
 Agency : USAF PST
 Remarks : 9 JAN 64

SILICA 10 ml IRON(dis) 25 ml IRON(total) 25 ml
 A 40.0 mg 0.10 A 11.6 mg 0.01 A 11.6 mg 0.01
 Factor 0.25 Factor 0.0345 Factor 0.0345
 A sample 38.0 A sample 0.5 A sample 11.5
 SiO₂ ppm 9.5 Fe ppm 0.02 Fe ppm 0.40

SODIUM dil POTASSIUM dil
 Sample 40.0 %T Sample 3.0 %T 0.125
 Curve 110
 Na ppm 3.2 K ppm 0.4

SULFATE 10 ml CHLORIDE 50 ml FLUORIDE 10 ml
 0.15-3 = 0.10 ml 1 ml = 0.5 mg Cl -1.0 X -0.027
 0.45-8 = 0.35 ml
 Corr. ml
 mg Std
 SO₄ ppm 2.0 Cl ppm 3.5 F ppm 0.0

SUM 111 HARDNESS 50 ml % Na 6.9
 T/A ft as CaCO₃
 4.70 ml
 DISSOLVED SOLIDS ml
 R(KCl) 345
 R sample 1773
 Total 94
 HCO₃(0-82) 96
 D.S. ppm Non-Carb
 Micromhos at 25°C 195

CALCIUM 50 ml MAGNESIUM
 3.00 ml epm TH 1.88
 epm Ca 1.20
 epm Mg 0.68

F 20 EPM
 Ca ppm 24 Mg ppm 8.3
 ALKALINITY
 HCO₃ 117 50 ml CO₃
 5.85 ml

CO₂ = 7
 TOTAL ALKALINITY as CO₃ 58
 as HCO₃ 117 as CaCO₃ 96

NITRATE 25 ml BORON ml
 ml Ag₂SO₄
 A 9.2 mg 0.01 A mg
 Factor 0.0435 Factor
 A sample 54.0 A sample
 NO₃ ppm 2.3 B ppm

pH epm
 7.4 1.20 Ca
 COLOR 0.68 Mg 1.92 HCO₃
 5 0.04 SO₄
 TURB 0.14 Na 0.10 Cl
 0.01 K 0.00 F
 0.04 NO₃
 % E 2.03 Sum 2.10 Sum
 -1.7

Δ -0.07
 Σ 4.13

70

ALUMINUM _____ ml		COPPER _____ ml	
A _____ mg _____	Appar. Al _____	A _____ mg _____	
A sample _____	Fe X .12 _____	A sample _____	Factor _____
Factor _____	Mn X .04 _____	A color _____	
	F X .05+ _____	A corr. _____	Cu ppm <input type="text"/>
	Al ppm <input type="text"/>	LEAD _____ ml	
MANGANESE (qual.) _____ ml		A _____ mg _____	
A _____ mg _____	SPOT	A sample _____	
A sample _____		Factor _____	Pb ppm <input type="text"/>
Factor _____	Mn ppm <input type="text" value="0.00"/>	ZINC _____ ml	
CHROMIUM _____ ml		A _____ mg _____	
A _____ mg _____		A sample _____	
A sample _____		Factor _____	Zn ppm <input type="text"/>
Factor _____	Cr ppm <input type="text"/>	ARSENIC _____ ml	
		A _____ mg _____	
		A sample _____	
		Factor _____	As ppm <input type="text"/>
		_____ ml	
A _____ mg _____		A _____ mg _____	
A sample _____		A sample _____	
Factor _____	ppm <input type="text"/>	Factor _____	ppm <input type="text"/>

GROUND WATER

LAB. NO.

8136

County : Loc.No: WELL DATA
 Sample No.: Region: Type :
 Inv. : Depth : Ft. Drilled:
 W.O.No. : UNALAKLEET Cased : Ft. Dia. : In.
 GW Basin : 718# AC+W SQ Gravel packed:
 Loc. : Use :
 Owner :
 Remarks :

Samp. Pt. : GALLERY

Pumptime : Disch. :
 Temp. : *F. Coll. :
 Agency : 8/10/64/0900 AST
 Remarks :

CALCIUM 50 ml
 505
 240
 2.65
 8.01
 Ca ppm 21
 MAGNESIUM
 epm TH 1.78
 epm Ca 1.05
 epm Mg 0.73
 Mg ppm 8.9

SILICA 10 ml
 A 0.435 mg 0.1
 Factor 22.99
 A sample 0.465
 SiO₂ ppm 11
 IRON(dis) 25 ml
 A 0.310 mg 0.25
 Factor 3.226
 A sample 0.015
 Fe ppm 0.05
 IRON(total) 25 ml
 A 0.310 mg 0.25
 Factor 3.226
 A sample 0.015
 Fe ppm 0.05

ALKALINITY
 HCO₃ 100 50 ml CO₃ 0
 11.10
 7.00
 4.10

SODIUM 0 dil
 Sample 37 %T
 Curve 0-10
 Na ppm 2.9
 POTASSIUM 0 dil
 Sample 6 %T
 K ppm 0.1

TOTAL ALKALINITY as CO₃ 49
 as HCO₃ 100 as CaCO₃ 82

SULFATE 10 ml
 0.70
 0.00
 0.70
 0.05
 0.65
 SO₄ ppm 6.2
 CHLORIDE 50 ml
 1 ml = 0.5 mg Cl
 0.65
 0.00
 0.65
 0.10
 0.55
 Cl ppm 3.9
 FLUORIDE 10 ml
 A Sample - 1
 Corr. ml - 1
 A Std - 38
 mg Std - 38
 F ppm 0.0

NITRATE 10 ml
 ml Ag₂SO₄ 0.0
 A 1.408 mg 0.3
 Factor 21.43
 A sample 0.055
 NO₃ ppm 1.2
 BORON ml
 A mg
 Factor
 A sample
 B ppm -

SUM 104
 T/A ft 0.14
 DISSOLVED SOLIDS ml
 19.00
 14.55
 4.45
 Total 89
 HCO₃ (0-82) 82
 D.S. ppm -
 Non-Carb 7
 HARDNESS 50 ml
 as CaCO₃
 19.00
 14.55
 4.45
 Total 89
 HCO₃ (0-82) 82
 Non-Carb 7
 % Na 7
 SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE
 R (KCl) 319
 R sample 1868
 Micromhos at 25°C 171

pH 7.2
 COLOR 5
 TURB -
 % E +0.3
 epm
 1.05 Ca
 0.73 Mg
 0.13 Na
 0.00 K
 1.91 Sum
 epm
 0.00 CO₃
 1.64 HCO₃
 0.13 SO₄
 0.11 Cl
 0.00 F
 0.02 NO₃
 1.90 Sum

CO₂ 100
 100
 10 ppm

Δ 1
 Σ 381

8136

ALUMINUM _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Appar. Al _____ Asample _____ Fe X .12 _____ Factor _____ Mn X .04 _____ F X .05+ _____ Al ppm <input type="text"/>		COPPER _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Acolor _____ Acorr. _____ Cu ppm <input type="text"/>	
MANGANESE (qual.) <u>100</u> ml A <u>0.310</u> mg <u>0.2</u> Asample <u>0.000</u> Factor _____ Mn ppm <input type="text"/> <u>8.0</u>		LEAD _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Pb ppm <input type="text"/>	
CHROMIUM _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Cr ppm <input type="text"/>		ZINC _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Zn ppm <input type="text"/>	
_____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ ppm <input type="text"/>		ARSENIC _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ As ppm <input type="text"/>	
_____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ ppm <input type="text"/>		_____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ ppm <input type="text"/>	

GROUND WATER

LAB. NO.

8585

County :	Loc. No. :	WELL DATA
Sample No. :	Region :	Type :
Inv. :		Depth : Ft.
W.O.No. :		Cased : Ft.
GW Basin :		Gravel packed :
Loc. : 718th ACWRON - Unalakleet		Use : domestic
		Owner : USAF
		Remarks :

Samp. Pt. : water gallery

Pumptime :
 Temp. : 45 °F.
 Agency :
 Remarks : clear at collection

Disch. :
 Coll. : 9/1/65 by
 Ormonde PST

CALCIUM 50 ml
 1.85
 0.00
 1.85
 F 8.01
 Ca ppm 15

MAGNESIUM
 epm TH 1.32
 epm Ca 0.74
 epm Mg 0.58
 Mg ppm 2.1

SILICA 10 ml
 A 0.495 mg/10
 Factor 2020
 Asample 0.490
 SiO₂ ppm 10

IRON(dis) 25 ml
 A 0.255 mg/0.025
 Factor 3.922
 Asample 0.045
 Fe ppm 1.8

IRON(total) 25 ml
 A 0.255 mg/0.025
 Factor 3.922
 Asample 0.052
 Fe ppm 2.0

ALKALINITY
 HCO₃ 73 ml
 3.65
 0.00
 3.65
 CO₃ -0-

SODIUM 0 dil
 Sample 36 %T
 Curve 0-10
 Na ppm 2.8

POTASSIUM 0 dil
 Sample 17-13 %T
 K ppm 0.5

TOTAL ALKALINITY as CO₃ 36
 as HCO₃ 78 as CaCO₃ 60

SULFATE 10 ml
 0.25 1.00
 0.00 0.00
 1.00 1.00

CHLORIDE 25 ml
 1 ml = 0.5 mg Cl
 0.25
 0.00
 0.25
 0.10
 0.15

FLUORIDE 10 ml
 A STD
 Goff. ml 0.085
 mg Std 0.325
 F ppm 0.2

NITRATE 10 ml
 ml Ag₂SO₄ -
 A 1.500 mg 0.325
 Factor 20
 Asample 0.045
 NO₃ ppm 0.1

BORON - ml
 A - mg
 Factor -
 Asample -
 B ppm -

SUM 85
 T/A ft 1.2
 DISSOLVED SOLIDS - ml

HARDNESS 50 ml
 as CaCO₃
 3.30
 0.00
 3.30
 Total 66
 HCO₃(0-82) 60
 Non-Carb 6
 D.S. ppm

% Na 8
 SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE
 R(KCl) 339
 R sample 2532
 Micromhos at 25°C 134

pH 7.0
 COLOR 10
 TURB -
 % E -1.6

epm
 0.74 Ca
 0.58 Mg
 0.12 Na
 0.01 K
 1.45 Sum

epm
 CO₃
 1.20 HCO₃
 0.06 Cl
 0.01 F
 0.01 NO₃
 1.48 Sum

$ppm\ CO_2 = 73 \times 0.159 = 12$

Δ 3
 Σ 1.93

Palmer 1958

GPO 976283

DATE STARTED

9-9-65

CHEMIST

DATE COMPLETED

9-14-65

CHECKED

H. 8585

8585

ALUMINUM _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Appar. Al _____ Asample _____ Fe X .12 _____ Factor _____ Mn X .04 _____ F X .05+ _____ Al ppm <input type="text"/>	COPPER _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Acolor _____ Acorr. _____ Cu ppm <input type="text"/>
MANGANESE (qual.) _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Mn ppm <input type="text" value="102"/>	LEAD _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Pb ppm <input type="text"/>
CHROMIUM _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Cr ppm <input type="text"/>	ZINC _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Zn ppm <input type="text"/>
A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ ppm <input type="text"/>	ARSENIC _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ As ppm <input type="text"/> A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ ppm <input type="text"/>

SURFACE WATER WASTE

LAB. NO. 9779

Source :
 Loc. : Unalakleet

County :
 Loc. No. : 63° 52' 00"
 Inv : 160° 47' 00"
 W.O. No. : #75002
 Temp. : °F.
 Coll. : 11/6/66 - H. Bartol
 Agency :
 Remarks :
 Region :
 Sample No. :
 GH :
 DO :
 pH :
 PST.

Dr. Basin :
 Pt. Coll. : Tap In Well House

Type Waste :
 Treatment :
 Rec. Water :
 Loc. Disch. :
 Remarks :

SILICA _____ ml
 A _____ mg
 Factor _____
 A sample _____
 SiO₂ ppm

IRON (dis) _____ ml
 A _____ mg
 Factor _____
 A sample _____
 Fe ppm

IRON (total) _____ ml
 A _____ mg
 Factor _____
 A sample _____
 Fe ppm

SODIUM _____ dil
 Sample _____ %T
 Curve _____
 Na ppm

POTASSIUM _____ dil
 Sample _____ %T
 K ppm

SULFATE _____ ml
 SO₄ ppm

CHLORIDE 50 ml
 1 ml = 0.5 mg Cl
 15.18
 Cl ppm

FLUORIDE _____ ml
 Corr. ml _____
 mg Std _____
 F ppm

SUM
 T/A ft
 DISSOLVED SOLIDS _____ ml
 D.S. ppm

HARDNESS _____ ml
 as CaCO₃
 12.40
 800
 4.40
 Total 88
 HCO₃ (0.82) _____
 Non-Carb

% Na
 SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE
 R (KCl) 334
 R sample 900
 Micromhos at 25°C 371

CALCIUM _____ ml
 F _____
 Ca ppm

MAGNESIUM
 epm TH 1.26
 epm Ca _____
 epm Mg _____
 Mg ppm

ALKALINITY
 HCO₃ ml CO₃
 12.4

TOTAL ALKALINITY as CO₃ _____
 as HCO₃ as CaCO₃

NITRATE _____ ml
 ml Ag₂SO₄ _____
 A _____ mg
 Factor _____
 A sample 0.030
 NO₃ + NO₂ = 0.74
 NO₃ ppm 0.7
 BORON _____ ml
 A _____ mg
 Factor _____
 A sample _____
 B ppm

pH 7.1
 COLOR 20
 TURB 288 mg/l
 % E _____

epm
 Ca _____
 Mg _____
 Na _____
 K _____
 Sum

epm
 CO₃ _____
 HCO₃ _____
 SO₄ _____
 3.02 Cl
 F _____
 0.01 NO₃ + NO₂
 Sum

TS = 288 mg/l
 Organic 33 mg/l

Δ _____
 Σ _____

F: (Ca + Mg) ^X epm Na
 SAR

ALUMINUM _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Appar. Al _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Fe X .12 _____ Mn X .04 _____ F X .05+ _____ Al ppm <input type="text"/>	COPPER _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Acolor _____ Acorr. _____ Cu ppm <input type="text"/>
MANGANESE (qual) _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Mn ppm <input type="text"/>	LEAD _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Pb ppm <input type="text"/>
CHROMIUM _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Cr ppm <input type="text"/>	ZINC _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ Zn ppm <input type="text"/>
A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ ppm <input type="text"/>	ARSENIC _____ ml A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ As ppm <input type="text"/>
	A _____ mg _____ Asample _____ Factor _____ ppm <input type="text"/>

Long.

1	6	0	4	7	3	0
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

75003
Type^c

WBE

Appearance _____

Total Alkalinity 50

'62			65
		8	8

B 36 3

66 67

$$\begin{array}{r} 182 \\ 150 \\ \hline 68 \end{array} \cdot 32 \quad \cdot 2$$

Iron 66 Total 12 (dis)

$$\begin{array}{r} 5.78 \\ 3.54 \\ \hline 2.19 \end{array}$$

Cu 50

73				/	/	70
----	--	--	--	---	---	----

Data Source ^d 79	Card Q	Q
-----------------------------	--------	---

Pb 53

F	10
---	----

Zn. 55

1.950

Dissolved Solids	58	Residue
------------------	----	---------

 NO_3^- 2

64 Calc

	PO4
--	-----

Hardness	70
----------	----

Total	1	7
-------	---	---

$$\text{HCO}_3(0.82) \quad \underline{\quad 7 \quad}$$

Non-Carb			
----------	--	--	--

Color	78 79	Card R
-------	-------	--------

epm
anions

% of Error ✓

Color	78 79	Card R
	25	

ate 1 2 County 3 4 Lat. 0 1 11 NS Long. 0 1 11 12

eq. No. 19 Date Coll. 2 8 2 2 4 5 Sampling Depth 26 29 Type 30

all Location Unalakleet SAR School Collected by Fanny Koten WBF 0930 hours
 Appearance 23°C

Specific Conductance		HCO ₃		Total Alkalinity 50	
R (KCl) <u>352</u>		1.61		as HCO ₃ <u>98</u>	
R Sample <u>1803</u>		62 65 <u>98</u>		as CaCO ₃ <u>80</u>	
31 35 <u>195</u>		CO ₃		as CO ₃ <u>48</u>	
36 38 <u>716</u>		66 67		B 36 38	
Temp °F 39 41		SO ₄ <u>10</u> <u>1.21</u>		Al 39 41	
42 44 <u>9.2</u>		<u>1.95</u>		42 44 <u>72</u>	
Silica <u>399</u>		<u>68</u> <u>26</u> <u>2.5</u>		Iron Total <u>72</u>	
45 49 <u>281</u>		Cl <u>50</u> <u>5.04</u>		42 (dis) <u>4</u>	
Calcium		<u>2.21</u>		Mn 46 48	
TH <u>1.82</u>		<u>2.83</u>		Cu 50 5	
50 53 <u>51</u>		73 78 <u>14</u>		Pb 53 5	
Magnesium		Data Source 79 Card Q Q		Zn 55	
54 58 <u>52</u>		F <u>10</u> <u>1.920</u>		Dissolved Solids <u>58</u> Residue	
Sodium % Na		26 28 <u>2</u>		64 Calc <u>11</u>	
59 61 <u>2</u>		NO ₃ <u>2</u> <u>1.011</u>		Hardness Total <u>70</u>	
Potassium		29 32 <u>2</u>		HCO ₃ (0.82) <u>8</u>	
33 35		PO ₄		Non-Carb 74	
<u>2.05</u>		<u>2.06</u>		Color 78 79 <u>25</u>	
epm cations		epm anions		Card R	
% of Error <u>✓</u>					

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER ANALYSIS

2SW

Location Unalakleet County _____
Source Infiltration gallery area
Point of coll. _____
Owner _____ Treatment _____

Use _____ Gage height (ft) _____ Discharge (cfs) _____ Temp (°F) _____

Appear. when coll. _____

Collected 1/25/67 By _____

Remarks Organic matter - 231 Total CO₂ - 18 ppm

	ppm	epm		ppm	epm
Silica (SiO ₂)	4.8		Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)	35	0.97
Aluminum (Al)	-----		Carbonate (CO ₃)	0	0.00
Iron (Fe)	26				
Sodium (percent)	23		Sulfate (SO ₄)	6.0	0.12
			Chloride (Cl)	8.9	0.25
			Fluoride (F)	0.2	0.01
Calcium (Ca)	9.7	0.48			
Magnesium (Mg)	2.5	0.21	Nitrate (NO ₃)	0.7	0.01
Sodium (Na)	5.0	0.22			
Potassium (K)	1.3	0.03			
Total	94	.94	Total		.96

	ppm		
		Specific conductance (micromhos at 25° C)	106
Dissolved solids:		pH	6.5
Calculated	82	Color <u>greater than</u>	25
Residue on evaporation at 180° C		<u>less than</u>	50
Hardness as CaCO ₃	3 1/2		
Noncarbonate			

Lab. No. 10100

Field No. _____

Project Basic Data

APPENDIX 3
DRINKING-WATER SOURCES

(Data from the files of the Indian Health Service)

WATER SOURCE INVESTIGATION

UNALAKLEET, ALASKA

MAY 1977

PREPARED BY
Arthur D. Ronimus
Office of Environmental Health
Indian Health Service
3350 Commercial Drive
Anchorage, Alaska

<u>YEAR DRILLED</u>	<u>LOCATION:</u>	<u>DEPTH DIAMETER:</u>	<u>SOIL:</u>	<u>WATER:</u>	<u>QUANTITY:</u>	<u>COMMENTS: PHS UNLESS NOTED</u>
1962, January	Downtown, Armory	4", 24'	Sandy	Good	Low, 3 GPM	Draws from perched water table, inadequate for system, BIA drilled
1962, January	Downtown, Armory	4", 24'	Sandy gravel, clay	Salt, iron	Adequate	Saline, BIA drilled
1963, June	Airport	4", 20-30'	Sandy gravel	Saline	Sufficient quality from three wells 15 GPM, Avg	Draws water from perched water table, subject to salt water intrusion,
1963, June	Airport	4", 20-30'	"	Good		
1963, June	Airport	4", 20-30'	"	Good		
1963, June	Airport	4", 20-30'	"	Observation only		
1963, June	Airport	4", 20-30'	"	"		
1975, May	Infiltration gallery	6", 73'	Sandy gravel, silts	Good	15 GPM	Not sufficient in volume as source, Corp of Engineers drilled
1975, December	Pumphouse	6", 109'	Sandy gravel, frozen soils	Salt	Adequate 30 + GPM	Salt water, for use as emergency source
1975, December	Infiltration gallery	6", 34'	Sands & gravel	Saline	40 GPM	Saline, not suitable as potable water source

<u>YEAR DRILLED</u>	<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>DEPTH DIAMETER:</u>	<u>SOIL:</u>	<u>WATER:</u>	<u>QUANTITY:</u>	<u>COMMENTS:</u> <u>WELLS DRILLED BY PHS UNLESS NOTED</u>
1977, January	Powers Creek	6", 40'	Sandy, silts, frozen	Low water	NA	1-2 GPM maximum
1977, January	Spring source, A. F. Hill	6", 50'	Silt, Clay frozen	No water	NA	Dry Hole
1977, February	Bluff region FAA site	6", 50'	Clay, rock	No water	NA	Dry Hole
1977, February	Unalakleet River	6", 49'	Clays, sand, gravel	None	NA	Dry Hole
1977, February	Powers Creek	6", 34'	Silt, sand & gravel	Fresh, Clear	Good, 40 + GPM	Appears to be excellent source
1977, March	Powers Creek	6", 35'	Silt, sand, gravel	Good water	Low	Not adequate as source- 3-4 GPM

Source	Water Supply	Potential Water Quality w/Filtration	Access to Gallery Site for Maintenance During Summer	Access to Gallery Site for Maintenance During Winter	Potential Damage to Transmission Line During Onshore Floods with Block Ice	Reliability of Source	Power Availability & Reliability	Potential of Damage to Intake During Onshore Flood	Potential Flood Damage During Spring Breakup intake or Pipeline	Potential Contamination Sources of Watershed
Existing Trail Creek	Not adequate in winter months. Less than 20 gpm in winter	Poor (iron and color in winter) #5	Poor: slough crossing required	Good: snow machine	High damage Potential	Poor	Good (uses FAA stand by)	Washing out of existing line is possible	Yes, Building has flooded	Yes, Musk Ox Farm
Power Creek	80 gpm can be increased with more site development	Good	Good (road access)	Good (road)	Low damage potential	Good	Good, would use stand by generator with power line from pumphouse	None	None	Minimal
Unalakleet River	Yes Unlimited Supply	Potential salt water intrusion during high-tide	Poor will require a boat or slough crossing	Good	high damage potential	Marginal (salty at times)	Good, FAA stand by	Washing out of existing line is possible	bank erosion may interfere with the collection system	Minimal
North River	Yes Unlimited Supply	Good	Poor will require 4 wheel drive vehicle 8 miles	Poor: snow machine steep hills	High damage potential	Good	Would require overhead power line	Washing out of existing line is possible	Bank eroding ^{on} may interfere with the collection system	Minimal
Dam on Trail Creek	Yes 40 + gpm	Poor	Poor	Good: snow machine	High damage potential	Poor: high iron and color	Good FAA stand by	Washing out of existing line is possible	None	Yes, Musk Ox Farm